

‘**The Trinity**’ forms the basic doctrine of The (worldly) Christian Church.

It reduces down to the basic doctrine of:

“The figure-head of Almighty God is formed of three parts – (1) The Father, (2) Jesus Christ and (3) The Holy Spirit.”

Thus when Jesus came to Earth, then ‘it/he’ was a mysterious form of The Almighty God that came to Earth and was born to Mary, then later put to death some 33.5 years later. Somehow a dead part of The Almighty God then rose from the grave and went into heaven to prepare a place for all Christians. It is accepted to be too difficult for Christians to understand and so believed to be “The Mystery” spoken of within the Bible.

If this is an accurate description of The Trinity – Does it concord with The Bible?

To answer this we need to go back to The Master Reference Source – The Bible.

The first problem we face are the words - **The Trinity** – that are not written anywhere in The Bible to explain what it is. We then must conclude that this ‘expression’ is a convenient form of words Christian Leaders have proposed to encompass this Triune concept of: The Father, Jesus and the Holy Spirit to be one “Godhead”* comprising somehow of three personages (please also see all appropriate words in Glossary of www.FutureLife.Org).

Note: * “Godhead” is a term used in The Bible but does not specify precisely what is in it!

See Act.17v29, Rom.1v20, Col.2v9 as the only places that this term is used, where we are told in Col.2v10 that True Christians are part of the Godhead – so that seriously dents The Trinity idea in that one verse!

But what the reader will discover is that FutureLife.Org explains how this Bible statement is True.

I will present the information from The Bible from both sides and analyse it to:-

1. Disprove the existence of the Trinity and hence the concept given above.
2. Show some evidence the Trinitarians use to support ‘The Trinity’ doctrine.
3. Then I go down to original language (both Hebrew and Greek) word structure.

From this methodical approach, we together will be able to access the collected information throughout and see what conclusions we can draw from it.

To satisfactorily explain The Bible and the Trinity within it, then it is absolutely incumbent upon the various factions to fully demonstrate at four different levels how their reasoning fits tightly together with zero discrepancies. The Bible is Authored for us to read by a wonderful, loving and caring Almighty God for us to understand. This Almighty God did not write The Bible for ‘His guiding Word’ to be interpreted into uncomprehending mysteries – else logically it cannot be assumed as a guide – especially when we are expressly told in Jesus’ parables to look and then find!

Thus The Bible was written for our edification – which means we are to learn from it, to take heed from what it states, so I propose to study God’s Word in this document for our edification on several levels and thus understand precisely what The Bible means on this particular subject!

Let us go through these levels together

- (1) Top level God’s overall Plan (being very clearly explained elsewhere on this website www.FutureLife.Org
- (2) To explain how The Old and New Testaments link together and so support each other (because a statement made by worldly Christians is that they cannot understand the Harshness of “God” in The Old Testament and the Love of “God” expressed in The New Testament).
- (3) Show within context the meanings of verses that are so often quoted out of context by many people.
- (4) Taking the specific words in the original Hebrew and Greek, putting them back into their original language context to show the deeper meaning and so convey to the reader the true sense of the words and why The Author (Almighty God = Yahweh = Jehovah) used them within their very specific places.

1. Disproving The Trinity

Let us use The Bible to show that there is no Trinity, and thus showing the three statements below to be The Truth:-

The **Father**, that is to mean, Jesus’ Father is Yahweh (Jehovah) God – The Almighty God of The **Universe**.

Jesus is the ‘Son of God’ and a separately thinking Entity – as such, a specific god in his own right.

The **Holy Spirit** is the power (Desire/Knowledge made manifest through action – displayed works) from Yahweh exercised throughout The Universe.

Both names for The Father are conventionally valid, “Yahweh” is best translated from the Ancient Hebrew (???) for the very personal name of The Almighty God made known to Moses and ‘vowel’ pointers of those times suggesting this pronunciation), while “Jehovah” is more accepted from the Greek (of the Tetragrammation of ??? through other ‘vowel’ pointers given by Jewish Scholars some time after 700 CE). At both times in history, the deliberate shortening and mispronunciation of the most sacred word to The Jews (considered they were too ‘soiled’ with sin to speak this Most Holy Name). Thus I am now trying to use a standard that The Old Testament references will use Yahweh and The New Testament references use Jehovah (only to show I have no partiality for any of the two personal names given for The Almighty God of this Universe) – though the reader will often see “Yahweh/Jehovah” used. While I am on this subject there is a third name for The Almighty God being “Allah” but those humans who call Him thus, only know Him through a heavily censored document, which itself is not able to explain the most righteous/equitable and loving nature that The Bible is able to explain to us through the many examples given. Also the censored document has no logical means or plan to explain human redemption and thus has illogical and most unrighteous ideas on how people may reach paradise. Clearly the Qur’an was a hybrid creation that originated from Mohammed’s Jewish and Christian friendly relationships which he had while living/working within Jerusalem.

How do I know?

Because I have personally read the Qur’an several times and thus in the position to know precisely what has been written within it!

1. The Entities of Yahweh and Jesus

A Set of early statements:-

These Two individuals in the beginning made the Heaven(s) and ‘the Adornment/Decoration’ of the Earth.

The Bible refers to ‘Them’ as in the plural sense.

They have never been, nor ever will be the ‘same entity’ and I will use the Bible to show this.

Thus never – coequal or coexistent.

In fact in Gal.3v20, when correctly translated, The Bible specifically tells us that they **are** different!

But standard translations complete mash the original Greek to try and force a single Entity out of it!

See later in this document and also my translation on this website as I show what the correct translation should be!

This is not the only place – but in many places the original Greek has been put ‘through the mangle’ (even in some instances through deliberate mistranslation) to try and force a Trinity doctrine out of the original Greek that was not there originally!

I discuss all these points in my translation of The New Testament on this website www.FutureLife.Org .

Also The Bible is very clear that Yahweh God created ‘The Laws of Nature which then spawned The Universe’, but Jesus as “the specific god” of us (= “ho theos” of the context) was responsible for “The Adornment/Decoration” of The Earth being The Greek for “The World” = all forms of “Life” on this planet.

I discuss all these points elsewhere.

Let us consider the theme of distinctly separately thinking Entities as demonstrated now:-

1 Cor.15v28

‘And when all things shall be subdued unto him (*Jesus*), then shall the son (*Jesus*) also himself (*Jesus now lowers himself before Jehovah*) be subject unto Him (*Jehovah*) that put all things under him (*Jesus using God’s Holy Spirit power*), that God (*Jehovah*) may be all in all (*Jesus acknowledges that Jehovah is the Almighty God to all creation in The Universe*).

Thus Almighty God is and shall always be supreme.

Shown again by reading these words:-

John 17v1 to 3

When Jesus had spoken these words, he lifted up his eyes to heaven and said:- ‘Father (*Jehovah*), the hour has come; glorify your Son (*Jesus*) that the Son may glorify You (*Jehovah*), since You (*Jehovah*) have given power (*to Jesus*) over all flesh, to give eternal life to all (*humans*) whom You (*Jehovah*) have given (to) him (*Jesus having the responsibility to go through with his ransom sacrifice*). And this is eternal life that they (*humans*) know You, the only true God (*Jehovah*), and Jesus Christ who You have commissioned. I glorified You (*Jehovah*) on earth (*in the midst of humans*), having accomplished the work (= *ministry of knowledge and understanding + The Ransom Sacrifice*) that You (*Jehovah*) gave me (*Jesus*) to do.

Clearly, Jesus here is praying to his Father – therefore They are not one personage. But they have the same common purpose shown here in this text to ‘save those humans who ultimately believe/committed/imitate’ (Jesus). They are both of like mind, They both are ‘at-one-ness’ in that They think alike and have the same common interest, etc. This is stated just a little further in the text:-

John 17v21,22

The glory, which You (*Jehovah*) have given to me (*Jesus*), I have given to them (= *the apostles to be elected/chosen as the 144000 Sons of God taken out of the 2000 year Gospel Age*), that they (*144000 Sons of God*) may be one even as We (*Jesus and Jehovah*) are one, I in them (*being the 144000 Sons of God*) and You in me, that they may become perfectly one (*Jehovah + Jesus + 144000 sons of God*), so that the world (*the rest of all humans*) may know (*during the 1000 year Millennium*) that You have sent me and have loved them even as You have loved me (*This is the time when all of mankind will know and be taught righteousness and be given to understand exactly the reasons why and how the whole plan has been executed*).

Which is then supported by the quote above Col.2v9-10 with many others scattered through The New Testament that show True Christians are part of Jesus (being his metaphoric body throughout The Gospel Age) just as they are part of fulfilling Yahweh’s Plan for salvation given to those humans who ultimately desire to imitate their Creator – Jesus, when given the opportunity to find out how to imitate him.

This concept of different Entities is further illustrated by the account of Satan tempting Jesus, and in all three instances asking ‘If you be the Son of God?’ And then Satan also being in the position to offer Jesus ‘all the kingdoms of the world’.

How could Satan say this if Jesus was The Almighty God?

If - as we know, that The Almighty God owns absolutely all things in The Universe already!

Also why ask the question this way: “If you be the son of God” If Jesus was Almighty God!

So if Jesus was The Almighty God then all this would lead to the statement as being but merely a stupid proposition!

Because if Jesus was The Almighty God then he would counter: –

‘I own these things already – how then can you give them to me?’

Secondly: Why ask the question anyway to The Almighty God, for The Bible already states that it is impossible for God (*Jehovah*) to sin, hence it would be a pointless question and thus proposition, – unless of course, the individual Jesus could sin, and then failure would be possible because of Jesus being the Son of God *Jehovah* in a lowly and a potentially fallible fleshly body (a separate individual with separate thoughts, having free will and choice), hence Satan’s now logical proposition that had a possible success rate (for Satan)!

So this logical reasoning (using Biblical material) proves (as Satan said):- ‘Jesus is the Son of God = Son of *Jehovah*.

Also shown from Jesus’ words when speaking of his Father:-

John 14v28

(*Jesus says*) ‘... for the Father is greater than I’.

And finally in Matt.19v16-17, where Jesus states that he is not ideally good, but rather only his Father in the heavens is ideally good. Thus Jesus is showing that his Father is ‘more good’ than himself (Jesus), thus clearly different Entities. I have heard it said by ‘false prophets’ (= all worldly Christian leaders) that this could be explained in this way: Jesus was imperfect because he was in a corruptible fleshly body. However by stating this they have lost the whole point of the instruction given within The Bible, being that it is The Personality’ that is under trial and not ‘the body’, the body is merely the fall-out of Adam and Eve’s original sin of The Personality (the choice made within the mind) – not of The Body! Further, if they are insinuating that Jesus was imperfect, then logically he must have sinned, which if he had sinned then he would not have been resurrected because he would have failed Yahweh’s Decree on sin! Thus again, clearly showing worldly Christian scholars are most errant in their worldly reasoning (Prov.3v5-8)!

All these examples clearly showing different reasoning Entities operating at different levels, both in responsibility and capability.

2. The HOLY SPIRIT

This is not a third personage, but rather it is *Jehovah* God’s Power (being Yahweh’s Desire made manifest) as seen from the Biblical text below.

The Holy Spirit is the power that Jesus received ‘without measure’ (*unlimited*) given to Jesus at his baptism symbolically shown by *Jehovah* showing it as a dove – thus by inference, Jesus only had a little Holy Spirit before his baptism. The

Holy Spirit was given to Jesus by Jehovah without measure to give Jesus the ability to perform the miracles that he showed to us. He also felt this power leave him when the woman with the issue of blood touched him unawares to be healed. Thus Jesus was not aware which person in the crowd received the Holy Spirit's healing abilities, but clearly Yahweh was absolutely aware, because the power came from Yahweh to cause the healing upon that woman. Thus again – demonstrating two separate Entities!

However when we are baptised we only receive a portion of it, shown by:-

Luke 24v49

... but stay in the city of Jerusalem until you are endowed with the power from on high.'

Mat 12v31

Therefore I tell you, every sin and blasphemy will be forgiven (*against*) men, but the blasphemy against the spirit will not be forgiven. And whosoever says a word against the Son of man (*Jesus while in his fleshy body*) will be forgiven; but whosoever speaks against the Holy Spirit will not be forgiven, either in this age (*Gospel age*) or in the age to come (*1000 year Millennium*). Please read “spirit” and “holy spirit” in Glossary where I carefully explain it is similar in meaning to “personality” leading to works expressed.

This clearly shows that the holy spirit is different (*separate*) from Jesus or his “faith”.

(Please see “Blasphemy”, “Holy Spirit”, “faith”, “Jesus and “God” in **Glossary** section to explain these words).

Continuing this:-

Why can one blaspheme against the Son of Man but not the Holy Spirit?

It is interesting that within the standard Doctrine of The Trinity the interpretation of the future for mankind cannot be answered satisfactorily, so the proponents just fall back onto this very often used idea of:-

‘It is a mystery!’

Well it is not a mystery if you split up this Trinity doctrine!

It becomes very clear, logical, obvious, righteous/equitable and very merciful from the all Powerful God Jehovah.

Firstly taking Jesus as The Son of God and also being The Son of Man.

In this instance Jesus was in his fleshly DNA body, and thus he was the son of man (*Adam*) while on this planet during his 33.5 years. During this time and subsequently now, during The Gospel Age (*of 2000 years*) men can blaspheme against Jesus the Son of man because basically they are ignorant of The Truth, and the vast majority do – you hear it every day now!

Thus they are ‘allowed’ in ignorance to get away with it – they are not presently ‘held accountable’!

When The Millennium comes (see Glossary) and all the humans are resurrected into what becomes perfected fleshly DNA bodies (without all the deficiencies within the Junk DNA), then they shall be taught The Absolute Truth.

They will learn the historical facts, perhaps even God’s equivalent to videos / DVDs will be played to the masses showing them what actually happened. Picture it – there will be people (from different time frames of existence in this first life) saying to others watching with them (during The Millennium):-

“**Yes!** – That was **ME** there on ‘the video’ – this did actually happen – I was there – as a witness!”

This might even be performed in the middle of the equivalent to ‘cyber space’ – a historical record replayed!

So within The Millennium, **Jesus will be The Son of God** (*not son of man*) with his helpers of 144000 other “Sons of God” (*humans selected out of this Gospel Age*), people shall not be in the position to blaspheme against this power of Yahweh’s Expressed Desire and survive – Why?

It is often said by Trinitarians that you cannot swear (*speak – to blaspheme*) against a ‘power’, because a power cannot respond to speech, and then use this as their argument to propose that the holy spirit is **a person** and thus part of the Trinity. But is absurd to suggest this must support a personage – Why? – By what logical argument? If that sort of evidence was given in a court of law it would be laughed out of court by ‘the other side’!

However this word – blaspheme, means “to deny (*The Truth*) by rebellion”, and so speak out against factual truth because the one doing it is self-motivated to persevere their hypocrisy (being their spirit of rebellion – thus imitating Satan).

So what drives your mouth?

It is your thought processes!

Thus you are within your mind denying the very power that God has (*the gateway opened by Jesus – when you are alive again by being resurrected into The Millennium*) to save you from your sin. Your very act of sinning is actually blaspheming against what you know = God’s power to keep your fleshly body alive – without God’s power acting on our mortal fleshly bodies in a positive manner, then you begin to die – we shall have this evidence around us during that time.

And the reason why they do this is because they do not desire to take upon their lifestyle Yahweh's requirements of them to make this personality change (as The Bible tells us now) – to leave their selfish nature behind them!

Thus this is not so difficult for people to believe, because we see that around us now - today!

That is, speaking about the future resurrected humans in The Millennium – where the evidence of The Holy Spirit (being Yahweh's Desire in full action displayed through Jesus) 'is right in The Face' – it cannot be denied!

But what about **iniquitous worldly** Christian Leaders operating today during The Gospel Age (of some 2000 years) being those leaders who deliberately distort Yahweh's Word to fuel their own worldly desires and self indulgences?

And as such mislead 'children of the fidelity'? The Bible is very clear on this, stating Judas as an example (better that he should not be born), also the parable about a person having a millstone placed around his neck and jumping into the sea rather than mislead the children, and further, Paul stating that it should be better that some humans might not be converted to Christianity. And in Hebrews, Paul stating that Jesus only died the once – are all examples of instruction that once we have been baptised into The Fidelity, then we must not deliberately distort the message for our own self-indulgences – else there might be no future resurrection for us! – Why?

Because 'we are blaspheming against the holy spirit' = 'we are denying by rebellion Yahweh Desire'. You can only deny by rebellion – when you know what Yahweh's Desire is – and that is stated within His Word The Bible! Thus if you are in a position of authority and claim to represent Yahweh, but as a false ambassador then distort, twist, maneuver Yahweh's Word to suit your own agenda to fuel your own self-indulgences then you are blaspheming against the holy spirit – for which there is no forgiveness. Thus as The Bible states: Vengeance is mine says The Lord, and He will be most vengeful against those who attack His Word by mincing it for self-indulgence in the midst of The Flock!

Now taking God's Power the holy spirit and explaining it a little more fully:

So repeating myself in the next few paragraphs again, from a slightly different angle (because this concept is just so important to grasp through given examples), no human at present can blaspheme against the holy spirit now (iniquitous worldly Christian Leaders) or the age to come (all resurrected humans to be taught righteousness), and be forgiven.

People then might argue that they do not see, or rather, experience the holy spirit now and so cannot blaspheme against it. But they do **not blaspheme against what they accept for their convenience** (see a few lines down for examples), but later in The Millennium when our knowledge will be much more, and extended to learning righteousness. Thus gaining more knowledge of God's holy spirit – see later.

So this word to "blaspheme" actually means to deny (or *refuse to recognise the existence*) or to say against something of the known truth that you do not want to personally accept (hence the accurate definition "to deny by rebellion").

First let me explain during this Gospel Age.

I believe that there are a few miracles occurring now (within 'the environment' to assist those 144000) that enable God's Word to spread across the whole world, but do not need to be as dramatic as those Jesus did while he was here on Earth during the 3.5 year ministry – or as some examples given in The Old Testament. Humans could not blaspheme (*deny – speak against these miracles – the miracles being the Holy Spirit in operation*) because they would be bowled over by the miracle in action – being performed in front of them (*by works*) – they could not stand against the practical operation demonstrated!

However, what is God's Power? It is the ability to harness all the physical Laws (*That HE – Almighty God created*). So it is the link to the physical Laws of Nature. Now I ask the reader (*thus further repeating myself, now by examples*):-

Could you blaspheme against the Law of Gravity? And so deny its existence?

Could the reader put and hold his hand in a furnace and to blaspheme by saying it is not going to hurt me?

No! - So the answer to these illustrations would be:-

You cannot blaspheme against these Laws (*God's universal Power enacted in these Laws of Nature*) in this Age.

Again people would not "deny by rebellion" gravity because again, you shall die – when you hit the ground at speed!

Now let me move to The Millennium, where we shall be taught righteousness.

Firstly if you denied God's Power: - How could you have been resurrected now, to learn righteousness?

But even more so, if you wilfully sin and so deny God's ability to keep you alive through the application (*power - works*) of his Natural Universal Laws of 'Nature' (in reality Laws derived from Jehovah God's power), then you quite simply will die, and be part of a total non-existence (because of the non-acceptance of God's requirements).

So let us tie all this section together - directly from The Bible.

Jesus was God's Son and The Direct Representative (being The Witness), even though he referred to himself as the Son of Man, hence the things spoken against him can properly be defined as "blasphemy".

Luke 22v65 And they went on saying many other things in blasphemy against him.

Since the holy spirit or active force comes from and is intimately connected with Jehovah God, then Jesus could speak of "Blasphemy against the spirit." Jesus referred to this as 'the unforgivable sin'.

Matt. 12v31-32, Mark 3v23-29 and Luke 12v10

"On this account I say to you: Every sort of sin blasphemy will be forgiven men, but the blasphemy against the holy spirit will not be forgiven him. For example, whoever speaks a word against the Son of man, it will be forgiven of him;

but who ever speaks against the holy spirit, it will not be forgiven him, no, not in this system of things nor in that to come.”

So we are able to show from the scriptures that it is the heart condition, thus leading to the deliberate willfulness of iniquitous works in opposing the operation of God’s Spirit that is what God really abhors, and not human weakness.

Matt. 15v19, Mark 7v21-23

“For from inside, out of the heart of men, injurious reasonings issue forth; fornications thieveries, murders, adulteries, acts of wickedness, deceit, loose conduct, an envious eye, blasphemy, haughtiness, unreasonableness. All these things issue forth from within and defile a man”

This is all evident in the circumstances surrounding Jesus’ statement.

Matt 12v22-32. We see here that the Pharisees observed the operation of God’s Spirit, but denied it through rebellion.

Heb. 6v4-6 and 10v26-27.

So what evidence is there in the Bible to support The Trinity doctrine?

Let us find out now in the next section!

2. The Trinitarian examples used to support the Trinity

There is the section in John 1, the Trinitarians quote one verse (out of context), and likewise one verse of John 17 given earlier, and a couple of other similar references, again, these are all examples where one verse plucked out of context, which breaks the very First Rule in understanding precisely what The Bible is telling us!

Taking the easy one first.

The couple of quotes used are where Jesus says: I am one with you (*Father – being The Almighty God*) out of context because:-

The reader will then see in the next verse (or two) where the Bible says: Just like you (*Apostles and other 144000 to be Sons of The Almighty God*) are like me (*Jesus*) as one with the Father.

So clearly the Father, Jesus and the future Sons of God are of like/similar mind and purpose.

It does not mean (by extension of the Trinitarian idea) that the 144000 kings and Priests are thus physically part of The Trinity of The Almighty God (thus why use ‘trinity’ meaning ‘union of three’).

Or is this being suggested?

Because that is precisely where this line of reasoning takes us!

Else, the argument would by default flow:- It means that the Kings and Priests are of one mind with Jesus and they are not physically one entity, then clearly the same applies between Jesus and Jehovah, which is precisely my argument!

Thus this Trinity argument has nowhere to go – caught by both sides of the argument!

Now let us go to the more interesting quote (from American Standard Version, but this is standard for most versions):- Please also refer to the end of this document where I direct the reader to personally study from the ancient languages of Hebrew and Greek to get the correct interpretation from the writers (ultimately from Yahweh / Jehovah) of a couple of examples that Trinitarians may use to support their ideas. I use this as a springboard to then develop this further in the document to take every word for “god” in The New Testament and explain the meaning within context.

John 1v1

In [the] beginning was The Word (*Jesus*), and The Word was with God (*Jehovah*), and The Word was God(?).

The (?) at the end is my addition here.

This is my understanding of The Trinity reasoning:-

The idea falsely portrays that ‘God(?)’ here means The Almighty God Jehovah. The proponents then claim (falsey): This is **what the scriptures say** (half truth) and so must be correct – Jesus is The Almighty God (= a false deduction upon the evidence presented).

Thus it is this mixture of truths, half-truths and falsehoods that the novice must be so very careful about when following through the logic of these ideas. The novice must get behind the façade that these proponents paint, so that genuine truth can come out of The Word of God. And for the novice to be in the position to do this, they must be educated by reading The Bible daily and getting to understand it and thus stop being a novice but mature feeding on solids, just as Paul specifically instructs us!

So we need a little education in original Greek here.

There are different interpretations on ‘theos’ the Greek word for “god”, depending what word(s) precedes it, and possibly the spelling (more later) based upon what is classed as “case” being formed of the “nominative, genitive, dative, accusative”.

Also individual words change their forms in many ways depending on how they are used in a sentence.

However with reference to ‘theos’, meaning ‘the specific god’, then the preceding Article words (of the) and (to the) are omitted (‘a’ is not used).

So the words “ho theos” means “the specific god” which for the last 1800 years or so, has always been assumed (*falsely*) to mean The Almighty God – hence The Trinitarian confused worldly sourced mythological doctrine. But what these traditional Greek ‘scholars’ have missed for the last 18 centuries or so, is that this term is used as a floating/relative index pointer (a concept used extensively within modern software). Thus you can have absolute pointers and relative pointers. Now what these worldly scholars have missed is that “ho theos” was being used in a similar manner by Yahweh/Jehovah during His Authorship of The New Testament some 2000 years earlier than the authors of modern-day software. And likewise exactly the same concept is used in The Old Testament as I show much later in this document within the Hebrew writings of Isaiah.

Thus how does one determine to Whom the pointer is pointing towards?

Well - the reader is to look at the context.

If the context is referring to us or of ‘the creation reference to the earth’ then it is pointing to Jesus Christ.

If the context is referring to Jesus, or those humans imitating him, or of The Creation of The Universe’ then it is pointing to Yahweh.

Thus the reader is to look at what level the pointer is operating at within the context (which most Christian leaders seem unable to do when I cite many other examples of doctrine dragged out of context) – then immediately it becomes clear to us to Whom “the specific god” (nominative case) is pointing towards.

Note: There are within the text “absolute pointers” being the three other “cases” (genitive, dative, accusative). These always point to Jehovah and are based upon the case endings to mean “To the”, “Of the” or “The” God (often reinforced by the preceding pronoun). These are absolute direct pointers to Yahweh.

All this I show with every example for “god” taken out of The New Testament of The Bible in the last section.

So let us go back to the relative/floating pointer “ho theos”.

This is demonstrated in more detail with the Greek in Acts 2v22 below, and can be similarly applied in John 20v28.

This is what we have in the translation, from the original Greek given here in John 1v1.

In [*the*] beginning was the word, also the word was with the god also god was the word.

The second “god” is written as “ho theos” actually translates out to be ‘specific god’ and the context tells us that The Word was with (*and thus not “The”*) The Almighty God at (*“the” [= 2nd word in the sentence] – is excluded from the text – thus = Earth and not Universe*) beginning of the formation of The Earth and thus = the beginning of all life on this planet that immediately points to Jesus!

The reason why (repeating myself):-

Where Almighty God is written in the text in John it is always preceded with the word ‘To the’, ‘Of the’ or ‘The’ (genitive, dative, accusative) to indicate The (Almighty) God, but in the text here - **it is not present** (= nominative), so we can only conclude that this is not “The Almighty God”, but something different, being “specific god” relative to “of us”, and this is within the context “of us” (as I have shown above) is spoken of to be Jesus as the context – which is further telling us he is a separate entity!

So substitute the correct understanding back into John 1v1 to obtain the correct translation and we have:-

John 1v1

In [*the*] beginning (*of the Earth*) was The Word (*Jesus*), and The Word (*Jesus*) was with The God (*Jehovah*), and the Word was [*the*] specific god (*Jesus of us – being the whole point of writing this Gospel relative to us on this planet Earth*).

This understanding and reasoning is further reinforced in the very next verse, shown by:-

John 1v2

The same (= *the word*) was in [*the*] beginning with The God.

Let us again look at the original Greek language and see what it really says:-

This one (= *the word* = *Jesus*) was in beginning towards/with The (= *Almighty*) God.

Thus translated:-

The same (*Jesus*) was in (*the*) beginning towards/with God (*Jehovah*).

Thus explaining:-

Jesus was in “the beginning of the formation of the Earth” towards/with/alongside The Almighty God.

I use “the beginning of the formation of the Earth”, because The Bible is very careful **not** to use the specific pronoun “the” before the word “beginning”, thus it is not as inferred by worldly translators “**The** Beginning of The Universe” but rather “**The Local** to our environment pertaining to humans – beginning” thus can only be The Earth, being specifically the formation of all life on this planet – being specifically attributed to “The Word” which = “Jesus” (actually “JCg” – please see Glossary). Thus The Bible is written for all humans being part of “The Adornment/Decoration” of The Earth, which is given to us in the Greek as being “The World” = “The Adornment/Decoration” being all forms of Life put here specifically by Jesus –as The Bible is tells us in the original Greek – being the reason it was written for our edification.

If the reader cannot read Greek directly, then I invite them to check the various interlinear Greek Translations, Lexicons and Grammar books to see for themselves that what I state here is the truth. I give ISBNs later (but be careful they themselves cannot be a trusted source because I have seen many errors within their supposed ‘precise’ translations). Clearly while Jesus was with The Almighty God in (the) beginning (*Time frame referenced to this earth*) – **But** it does not make these two Entities the Same (being a false assumption or illogical deduction – depending upon which way the ‘traditional reasoning scholar’ tries to argue). A similar argument could be used for twins. They have been together from (the) beginning (*birth*), but this fact does not make them the same entity! However in this example, the Trinitarian argument would declare the twins to be the Same Entity – not the most accurate extension and interpretation of the presented factual information!

So continuing, now everything nicely drops very clearly into place.

Jesus (*Logos* - Word) was towards/with (= *operating alongside* as we are often told in the Greek text – hence *The Witness* as we are also told – which also means ‘outside’ of what is being witnessed) his Father (*Jehovah*) in the beginning (*relative to this Earth*) and Jesus was “the specific god” in his own right (*but being “the specific god” of us = the ‘only mediator god of us’ in context*), who created all Life on this planet Earth using his Father’s holy spirit (*power in action – being Works to fulfil Yahweh’s Desire*).

I invite the reader to look at my accurate translation of The New Testament (especially The Gospel of John) on this website www.FutureLife.Org where I fully explain in context exactly what all these words mean.

This now becomes totally consistent with all references to both of Them within the whole Bible. And when it says in The Bible that Jesus ‘hands The World back to God’ in a cleansed state after Jesus has purged it of all sin, confirms the logic of this correct translation (thus forming a good basis for the correct interpretation) of the original Greek text.

So in conclusion within this example we see:-

With **No Trinity**, there is **No Mystery** – **everything becomes so clear!**

We now see that this Trinity concept is another false message from Satan to confuse ‘the masses’ into ‘a mystery’ and is perpetuated by those very many religious leaders and apparent worldly scholars who self-claim to be teaching God’s Word to those who are more blind than they are. However many people in the congregations are desirous to learn but sadly they are going to those who are blind – because they know of no shepherd any better to go and learn accurate information! Hence Jesus’ teaching of “The Rich man and Lazarus” parable.

However when this Trinity and its supposed mystery has been put to one side in our reasoning, then reader will find The Bible now leaps out at us and comes very much to life. It is this aspect that I want to show to the reader in the rest of this document.

So we are beginning to see that the scriptures seem to indicate the following:-

Jehovah God is The Creator of The Universe and of its Laws that bind and hold it together.

Jesus is the ‘First born’ with regard to This Earth (actually there is more to this (*please see “begotten” in Glossary, because The Bible tells us that standard worldly Christian teaching is most incorrect in this regard*), being a direct creation by Jehovah who then supplied the active force (the holy spirit) with which Jesus (in his pre-human form) used to carry out God’s Desire in populating this planet with all forms of life culminating in his greatest creation – “Us”, being the “human” species.

This we further see from the following references:-

Col 1v15-17 He (*Jesus*) is the image of the invisible God (*Yahweh*), the first-born of all creation; because by means of him all (other) things were created in the heaven and the earth, the things visible and the things invisible, no matter whether they are thrones or lordships or governments or authorities. All (other) things have been created through him and for him. Also he is before all (other) things and by means of him all (other) things were made to exist.

John 1v3 All things came into existence through him, and apart from him not even one thing came into existence.

Rev 3v14 And to the angel of the congregation in Laodicea write: These are the things that the Amen (*to make come true*) says, the faithful and true witness (*Jesus*), the beginning of the creation of God (*Yahweh/Jehovah*).

Prov 8v17-36 (*As a statement and a prophecy written about 900 years before the birth of Jesus*) I love those who love me, and those who seek me find me. With me are riches and honour, enduring wealth and prosperity. My fruit is better than fine gold; what I yield surpasses choice silver. I walk in the ways of righteousness, along the paths of justice, bestowing wealth on those who love me and making their treasures full. Yahweh possessed me at the beginning of his work, before his deeds of old; I was appointed from eternity, from the beginning, before the world began. When there were no oceans, I was given birth, when there were no springs abounding with water; before the hills, I was given birth before he made the earth or its fields or any of the dust of the world. I was there when he set the heavens in place, when he marked out the horizon on the face of the deep, when he established the clouds above and fixed securely the fountains of the deed, when he gave the sea its boundary so the waters would not overstep his command, and when he marked out the foundations of the earth. Then I was the craftsman at his side. I was filled with delight day after day, rejoicing always in his presence, rejoicing in his whole world and delighting in mankind. Now then, my sons listen to me; blessed are those who keep my ways. Listen to my instruction and be wise; do not ignore it. Blessed is the man who listens to me, watching daily at my doors, waiting at my doorway. For whoever finds me finds life and receives favour from Yahweh. But whoever fails to find me harms himself; all who hate me love death.

I now wish to develop a further example and the other references given in the notes above.

John 10v30

I and the Father are one (*This means 'in purpose of thought' = to get Jehovah's objectives done = to give humans a second opportunity for everlasting life in a perfect body as originally proposed, starting with Adam and Eve*).

John 10v38

And if I do them (*works*), even though you do not believe me (*in what I preach*), believe the works, (*it is a very common expression of today, that you know a person by what they do [works] and not what they say – The Bible also says in so many places that faith without works is dead, know the tree by its fruitage, and fruits of the spirit being etc*) that you may know and understand that the Father (*Jehovah*) is in/with me and I am in/with the Father (*to mean that The Father, Almighty God, works through me providing the Holy Spirit, The Power, to perform the miracles. And in a symbiotic manner; I, Jesus, am The Word of my Father speaking as an ambassador and representative of my Father – I am my Father's mouthpiece – speaking The Word of Truth*).

In this way Jesus and Almighty God are in unison = working alongside with one another, working together as a team to provide an escape route **for those humans who wish to be part of Jehovah's Future** during and after their education period in The Millennium.

Humans use a similar expression when they speak of members of a team working as one (= together).

Or an army working as a single body of men united with one objective - to defeat the enemy, just as we should, by using God's Word correctly to effectively defeat Satan's purpose to deceive The World in not fulfilling Jehovah's (Yahweh's) Desire to provide a means of Salvation being The Plan as explicitly exposed on this website.

We need to know what Jehovah's Desire is – But do worldly Christian leaders teach it?

Sadly no! – Because they do not understand exactly what it is – because it is 'a mystery' to them – being a fact of which they are only too proud to boast!

Read the rest of this website www.FutureLife.Org to find out specifically what Jehovah's Desire is of each one of us today.

It ultimately becomes a personal requirement – thus best to start early!

Jesus never said - that **I am The Father**.

Also why did Jesus always put himself lower – He always said:-

I do the will of my Father.

Of 'the end times' – I do not know – Only the Father.

In the Name of the Father this be done

The above examples again show so very clearly, these are **Two** very separate Individuals – but have common purpose to teach Almighty God’s purpose for humans in a truthful manner.
I cordially invite those most ‘eminent’ Bible Scholars who have a difficulty in understanding this concept to contact me through this Website and **present Biblical quoted examples to the contrary** to explain their errant understanding!

Another example of Jesus telling us through his own words that he is a separate entity would be this quotation:-

John 10v17-18

The reason why my Father loves me, because I lay down my life, that I might take it up again. No man takes it away from me, but I lay it down on my own accord. I have the power to take it again. This commandment I have received from my Father.

Jesus clearly tells us here that His Father loves him (a separate entity – because only a conceited and selfish person loves themselves), because Jesus has voluntarily laid down his own life as The Ransom Sacrifice for mankind. Knowing that (through faith = fulfilling the Knowledge of Yahweh’s Desire) he will take up his new life again (within a spiritual/celestial/heavenly body – as was his existence in the heavens prior to his life and ministry on Earth). It is voluntary, because Jesus says: No man will take it away from him – he gives his life on his own accord. Jesus states through faith/fidelity/assurance he knows Yahweh will use His power to enable Jesus to be “Born Again” (see Glossary for what this term really means) within a spiritual/celestial/heavenly body because he (*Jesus*) has proved himself perfect to The Law of Moses (fleshly laws of justification by Work) and so being, becomes The New Law after his resurrection, upon which, the following 144000 kings and priests (The Bride of Christ) are then judged worthy by Jehovah God on their resurrection in “The Special/Greater Judgment”. The commandment from his Father (Jehovah, thus clearly a different Entity) that Jesus receives is the one that Jesus should offer his own life for his creation, to resolve the original sin of Adam and Eve so that all humans can make a personal choice rather than being subject to one forced upon them by their ancestors. Because Jesus is able to balance the moral aspect of this sin before all entities within The Universe at the close of The Millennium, then The Almighty God – Jehovah, is able to use His holy spirit to resurrect all humans into the 2nd part of their life and give all those of the human race who place their complete trust (through works) on Jesus to pass through into eternity within a most wonderfully operating society. (Please see Glossary and other places for further explanation on this subject, “Millennium, Life, Soul, Resurrection”).

As a possible final argument - it could be proposed:-

While Jesus was in an earthly body, he knew what was in Almighty God’s ‘mind’ because They were one Entity and there are examples of this.

How strong is this argument?

Not very! Because while Jesus was able to prophesy of things to occur in the future, and clearly this could only come from his Father, because The Almighty God (*Jehovah*) Desired Jesus to pass that information on to his listeners. But also of this other information – when Jesus’ disciples asked: When will all this occur? - Jesus did not know, and Jesus said it deliberately to show that he did not know and was thus not a ‘clone’ of his Father, but only followed his Father’s Desire as a separate entity – also he choose to be subject to his Father’s Desire and directed as thus – Just as we should be! And the other example as used before was when the woman with an issue of blood was cured – Jesus did not know who it was that Yahweh/Jehovah had cured through Jesus.

Detailed Exposé on the Hebrew and Greek Language.

Further examples (one from The Old and the other from The New Testaments) I have seen extensively used in publications and ‘very loosely argued’ by the Trinitarian supporters:-

1. John 20v28
2. Isaiah 9v6

So how ‘fire-proof’ are their examples, and do these examples really defend their position?

Or perhaps, they may actually support **the very opposite** argument when correctly explained in context!

I will now provide The Absolutely Truthful explanation of these examples, that are used to blur and confuse the distinction between (Yahweh / Jehovah) God, and the separate entity of Jesus as “the specific god” of us in his own right. Also I will introduce a new idea that brings current translations very much closer to the original language – which becomes possible if the Trinity façade of mystery and confusion is removed!

I will be referring directly to the original Greek and Hebrew language with reference to The Almighty God and “a god” (in the Old Testament) to mean “the specific god” (in The New Testament).

In my line of work we must always go back to The Prime Standards else ‘knowledge’ becomes wayward and becomes no more than myths based upon assumptions rather than fact!

For someone who is not skilled in Ancient Hebrew and Ancient Greek, then what I write here can be confirmed by reading interlinear Bibles, even though some of these cannot be trusted in key areas (and thus the reader also make reference to suitable “Exhaustive Concordances”, Lexicons and Grammar books).

I give the following ISBN references (purely as examples only), for people to use and read for themselves, rather than rely either on what I declare or something rather different being what the Trinitarians proclaim. I have to do this because I truly seek ‘The Absolute Truth’, and cannot rely on what others tell me (being an interpretation of what they think, often being very deviant to ‘The Absolute Truth’) – I rather go directly back to God’s Word as originally written! Thus please be like me and use these examples of reference material, they are readily available off the Internet:-

Hebrew-English: ISBN 0-310-40200-X

Greek-English: ISBN 0-310-95070-8

(Though these two examples loose the required precision in many key areas for analytical work/study).

In both of the verses given above, the reader should put each back into context and then identify within the natural language (Hebrew or Greek) where the principal words are used and what the spelling actually is in the original language and where else these spellings of these particular words are used within the surrounding text (that is – **within context** something worldly religious leaders seem utterly incapable of doing).

If the reader does this, then the very verses used to support this proposed Trinity human concept, actually ‘bite-back’ when I later correctly identify exactly what the truthful interpretation is of these given examples (naturally explained within context).

Example (1)

John 20v28

Also answered the Thomas (*a disciple of Jesus*), also said to him (*Jesus*), “The Lord of me also ‘the specific god’ of me!”

The Trinitarians blithely say this is complete proof – quoted directly from the Bible: Jesus is Lord and The Almighty God.

But I say “put it back into context and look at the words used within the text” and then we are able to discern the real interpretation (especially when the word used here is “ho theos” = “the specific god” of the context = ‘of Thomas’ and **not** “Of The” or “To The” God which would then automatically mean Yahweh/Jehovah)!

CONTEXT:

Putting back into overall context Jesus has been resurrected and all the Apostles together with the women have seen Jesus alive. Clearly Thomas, just like us if we were in his position, feels somewhat ‘left out of the action’!

He thinks:

What have I done wrong so that I have not seen the resurrected Jesus, like all these other people in this room with me?

To physiologically defend his position before the rest of those who had seen Jesus, Thomas ‘made his stand’ by saying:

“Unless I see the nail marks in Jesus’ hands and put my fingers into his side, I will not believe!”

He now had exposed himself – and he knew it – that is why he said it – but felt confident in his stance!

He was asserting worldly logic into the situation to show that he had a ‘superior’ mind, and would not be deceived like these lesser mortals around him (sounds like quite a common attitude today)!

A few days pass by and Thomas has then had time to further reinforce this mentally self-charged stance.

While Thomas was in this ‘superior mind-set’ over the others – what happened then?

Jesus (a few days later) walks into the locked room where this group of people are, and then says to Thomas:

“Put your finger here; see my hands. Reach out your hand and put it into my side. Stop doubting and believe.”

If I was in Thomas’ position, my physical stature would be like that of a pool of water on the floor, I would have done exactly what Thomas did – Sink to the lowest point on the floor and said “My Lord and my god”!

But I would not have said: “My Lord and Jehovah”, **or** said: “My Lord and Almighty God”, **or** said “My Lord and Supreme God”, **or** said: “My Lord and Yahweh”

Notice it was “my” and that is the clue. Jesus was ‘The Direct Means’ being the intercessor to Yahweh for Thomas.

Jesus becomes “the specific god” of Thomas – the failed human (having operated as The World).

I will expand this in much more detail later, but for now let us digress sideways and gather more evidence.

OTHER REFERENCES TO OBVIOUS LESSOR ‘GODS’:

Let me write down ALL the references that we can see in The New Testament referring to the word “god” where the context tells us that it is obviously **not** being used for The Almighty God (as translated) nor that could be referred to Jesus Christ - for the reader to see.

There is no need in these instances to put into context (for the sake of room), I am only picking out the leading word prior to “**theos**” used by the writer to indicate the importance of the word “god”. The reader is able to place into context if they by use of the given references.

Acts 7v43 your god Rephan – meaning ‘The absolute’ god Rephan and so $\theta\epsilon\omicron\varsigma$ is used, translated as being “Of The god”. Thus these Jewish dissidents after having seen Yahweh’s power demonstrated a few months earlier rejected Him and made a worthless god effectively their - ‘the almighty god’ because they had no knowledge of any other (ie Jesus as an intercessor).

Acts 12v22 voice (of the) god – meaning voice “of the god” but any god (but great to them) and so just $\theta\epsilon\omicron\varsigma$ is used, translated as (of the) god, meaning “any god” by only the case ending being used rather than the specific (Of The) preceding it.

Acts 28v6 to be (the) god – to be of any god and so just $\theta\epsilon\omicron\varsigma$ is used, translated as god, meaning “any god”

Phil 3v19 their god is their stomach – to be just a god so $\theta\epsilon\omicron\varsigma$ is used, translated as ‘god is’, meaning “the specific god” of themselves thus being (a specific) god (that is relative to themselves) – being a clue to what we will come to discover later.

I have tried to translate the words as they really mean – thus as spelt, and within context.

All references to the word “God” accepted by all (including myself) to mean “The Almighty God” have the combined words: $\theta\epsilon\omicron\varsigma$ (being specifically “Of The”) but also, there are many other places where another variations are used and I will bring that up later!

So we see in the same context as the very one and Absolute God (immediately above); - we have it used as **The Almighty God** and also **the very specific god Repan** of Acts.7v43 (though clearly Rephen is of Satan – the context is to demonstrate the quality of uniqueness and absoluteness in nature).

Well! - Where is all this leading us?

Let us go back to the original quoted text “John 20v28”

The Lord of me and ‘the specific god’ “of me”.

The “of me” at the end is additional wording within the Greek text.

Let us take the wording “the specific god” the actual Greek is $\theta\epsilon\omicron\varsigma$ (= “ho theos” = “the specific god”). Let us look above and see where this is used in the Bible. Yes I can see it at Phil 3v19 !

So are the proponents of the Trinity trying to tell me that Jesus is the equivalent to ‘the specific god’ as that of the stomach? Or that perhaps Rephan is being The Almighty God?

The answer is clearly neither!

So what are these proponents of the Trinity trying to say?

If Thomas was going to say: The Lord of me and The Almighty God, then the text would have $\theta\epsilon\omicron\varsigma$!

But it does not! What Thomas actually says in the Greek **accurately translated** goes as follows:-

The Lord/Master (is) of me and ‘the specific god’ (from “ho theos”) (is) of me (being based upon ‘the specific god’ of me).

It has absolutely nothing directly to do with “The Almighty God”, but only that Thomas is acknowledging that Jesus is his Lord (Master) and “the specific (= the immediate) god” (of him) – just as the text and context specifically tell us.

I have not concocted or made anything up but merely explained the original Greek text specifically as it is!

Clearly this is showing us the relative/floating index pointer mechanism for “ho theos” as ‘the specific god’ of context. Thus because Jesus being termed in the whole scheme of things as “the specific god” to us being of The World (= his Creation) when compared with Yahweh/Jehovah as The Almighty God, knowing that Yahweh/Jehovah is considered to be The Universal Sovereign of all things within The Universe.

This is exactly how I view Jesus myself. Jesus is The Almighty God’s only Begotten Son (as The Bible says) and Jesus is my god (to me), and further, my only vehicle of communication to The Almighty God is through Jesus, because of the most precious and wonderful self-sacrifice - some 2000 years ago to give each one of us The Ransom Sacrifice.

Well let us identify the first of these interesting places; it is verse 22 below - which I mentioned earlier!
I have added a little more either side to fully demonstrate the significance of the deliberate misinterpretation of the original Greek (Trinitarians use to try and make it fit their Trinity myth) – much more later.

Acts 2v22 – 24 (This is the NIV, but all translations approximate to this below – but as usual for the NIV it is **not an accurate translation of the original Greek – but merely a worldly interpretation – with many errors**) and at present ignore the (1-4) markers within the text – they are for later discussions:-
“Men of Israel, listen to this: Jesus of Nazareth was a man accredited by God (1) to you by miracles, wonders and signs, which God (2) did among you through him, as you yourselves know. This man was handed over to you by God’s (3) set purpose and foreknowledge; and you, with the help of the wicked men, put him to death by nailing him to the cross. But God (4) raised him up from the dead, freeing him from the agony of death, because it was impossible for death to keep its hold on him.

The reader may say: “It seems alright to me! What is wrong with it?”

Paraphrasing the ‘official’ but worldly Christian translation, we have:-
Almighty God (1) accrediting Jesus, by giving Jesus the power to perform miracles among men. The power of Almighty God (2) is thus demonstrated among you. Jesus was handed over to evil men to fulfil Almighty God’s (3) purpose. Almighty God (4) then raised his Son Jesus, because death could not hold him (for ever).

If the reader now checked out the original Greek language - it does **not** quite translate out to the given text above!
There is a very subtle difference (to promote the Trinitarian theory), but also the translation given above “just has that feeling” of - not quite reading correctly – there is something just ‘a little odd with it’!
Let us identify positions within the text marked as God as given in the original Greek language:-

God (1)	?? ? ?? ?
God (2)	ó ?? ð?
God (3)	?? ? ?? ?
God (4)	ó ?? ð?

Thus we are faced with something very profound.
We have the original Greek text suggesting that both forms “of address” of God can mean (and perhaps does mean The Almighty God) and so flies in the face of both textual quote from Phil 3v9 and the higher level of the reasoning put forward when verses are put back into context. Similarly my interpretation at this higher level of reading does seem to make sense!
So the result of this just seems like a multi-way confusion (= cynically ‘a plus’ from Satan’s viewpoint)!

So what is going on?
Is there another way – or perhaps another solution to fit the text and also the higher level reasoning that makes the Bible so consistent?

Fortunately for us - there **is a solution!**

But again, it is the interpretation forced upon the Greek text to bend/twist the meaning of words to fit the false Trinity doctrine/myth that becomes the problem. This ‘forced’ interpretation has to be removed, and then we are able to let the literal and **accurate** translation come through (when we combine both reasonings).
For those readers without a Greek interlinear, I will write out directly the English word for the Greek word translation:

Acts 2v22 – 24 (Direct from Greek)
Men Israelites hear you the words these: Jesus the Nazarene a man from **Of The God** (1) having been approved into/among you (*by*) powerful works/deeds also wonders also signs which did through him **the specific god** (2) in/with (*the*) midst of you even as also yourselves you know this one (*Jesus*) to the appointed/restricted/decreed counsel also foreknowledge **Of The God** (3) delivered/given (*up*) through hands (*of*) lawless men fastening you killed whom **the specific god** (4) raised up loosening the pangs of the death because it was not possible to be held him by the same.

So with a bit of ‘a politician’s spin’ you could get the ‘official translation’ from these words!
However you could equally have a different translation – the one that now follows:-

Acts 2v22 – 24 (*My translation is **more direct**, closer to the original Greek and fits the logical ideas shown on this website **but** of most importance - The Bible now has no inconsistencies and a logical plan drops out).*

Israelite Men, you hear these words: Jesus a Nazarene man, was approved from (Yahweh) **The Almighty God** (1), whilst amongst you did powerful deeds and wonders and signs through him (Jesus) “the specific god” (of you) (2) in the midst of you, as yourselves know, this one (Jesus) by having of fixed purpose and foreknowledge of **The Almighty God** (3), was given up through the hands of lawless men who fastened and killed. “The specific god” (Jesus) (of you) (4) rose up, loosening the pangs of death (over humans) because it was not possible to hold him (Jesus as a human when he died).

Suddenly this translation comes to life! It is like a 3D picture jumping out of the 2D text. Not only is it more truthful and accurately translated, but also it fits all the other aspects that we have gleaned from the high level understanding of The Bible to show utter consistency (being Yahweh’s Word) without mysteries!

We see the translation now works and the Trinity doctrine just collapses into a myth! Further more, if the translation for “god” (4) to be Jesus seems too difficult at this stage to accept, then the reader should confer with Acts 26v8, later, where I go into some detail (showing the actual Greek words of both texts – rather than just the translation) to show the similarities and also the differences – to fully support my attestation here!

If we now place this contextual understanding into all the other places in The New Testament where **ô??ô?** appears and then substitute Jesus in this position (where it specifically relates as “the specific god” **to us** humans being “of The World”). Then also find all the other places where **?? ? ??? ?** occurs (being the equivalent to absolute pointers) and then substitute The Almighty God into the text, then the resultant differentiation hits us so clearly.

This I show later for every example for “theos” in The New Testament with contextual explanation where appropriate. With the additional understanding that Jesus’ death and resurrection is written at this time in the past tense – it has already happened at the time of these writings, thus all humans through all the ages now have Jesus as their specific god (to be called “the specific god of us”), only through whom now they can reach The Almighty God and then everything just drops into place. The hierarchy that Jesus speaks of in The Gospels becomes manifestly clear, being his obvious subservience to his Father – thus Both being separate Entities, but Both united in purpose (as I declared earlier).

Please refer to the later section at the end of this section for all references in The New Testament where **ô??ô?** and **?? ? ??? ?** is used. Then I ask the reader to look at these particular places and replace the word **??ô?** given the generic word ‘god’ with the words Jesus Christ as appropriate (explanation given with the accompanying notes being the relative/floating nature depending upon the context). Then take all other positions in The New Testament to be The Almighty God.

Then I think the reader will be surprised with the result!
I thoroughly detail contextual information for every “ho theos” in The new Testament.

This specific difference between Yahweh being The Almighty God and His Son Jesus Christ can be further supported in the Psalm of David (Psalm 110) and **actually quoted by Jesus** several times when he rhetorically asked the Pharisees: What did David mean when he sung “The Lord says to my Lord…….”. The actual Hebrew (and Jesus knew exactly the difference, which is precisely why he asked the question) which is answered by:

“Saying of **Yahweh** (The Almighty God) to the **Lord** (Jesus) of me ……” Again clearly **two different** entities!
So again where can the Trinity reasoning go – it is just knocked down by every shown position (Old and New) in the Bible – I give copious examples later!

But it just goes so much deeper than this.
Jesus actually pointed at this particular psalm as The Key (almost like the Rosetta Stone) to decipher the true understanding of The Old Testament, which has been completely masked by Biblical scholars with the ‘Trinity veil’ pulled very hard down over their eyes!

I will move into that a little later, and show the reader how to decipher the meaning.

Let me now move to The Old Testament to another quoted verse used to support The Trinity idea, namely Isaiah 9v6

Example (2)

Isaiah 9v6 (as typically translated):
For unto us a child is born, to us a son is given, and the government will be on his shoulders. And he will be called Wonderful Counsellor, Mighty God, Everlasting Father, Prince of Peace.

The Trinitarians again blithely say this is the definitive proof:

“This passage is clearly about Jesus Christ and it says here that Jesus is The Almighty God.”
But the answer is a repeat of what I have said before:-
Put the verse back into context and examine the words used within the text and then we are able to discern the real, thus accurate interpretation given to us from The Author (*The Almighty God*) through His scribe Isaiah.

CONTEXT:
Isaiah from Chapter 9v1 to verse 7 tells us that in some 720 years (from the time of the writings) into the future, ‘A Saviour’ to all the inhabitants of the world will be born. This person is clearly Jesus Christ and this writing tells us that the parents of this child will come from Galilee. It goes on to speak of this individual giving all those who ‘believe’ on him the wonderful release from the shackles of this existing world (principally Satan’s death sentence upon all of us).

Let us first take the direct Hebrew translation (each underline is a Hebrew word):-

Isaiah 9v6
For child he is born to us son he is given to us and she will be the government on shoulder of him and he will call name of him wonder of one counselling god of might father of everlasting prince of peace
Please Note: The word ‘she’ is used because ‘government’ is a feminine word.

The Hebrew word for “god (of)” used in Isaiah 9v6 above is **??** to mean ‘a god’ or ‘mighty one’ or ‘one capable of great things’.
The Hebrew word for The Almighty God (Yahweh), being the Tetragrammation of **????** is a very personal, and very specific to the **One Almighty God**.

Immediately the reader is able to see here that the two words are distinctly very different!
But we also see a similar demarcation for the word “God” in the original Hebrew as we did for “Theos” in the original Greek – this is a most important observation.
So why do traditional Christian Scholars get it so wrong? We come to the reason a little later.

Well perhaps it could be argued that the writer mixes the two words. This is a possible argument – though I think that if the Israelites totally feared The Almighty God’s name, so that out of respect they only wrote down four letters rather than the full word, I would hardly think it is very likely the writers would be sloppy enough to mix this very revered and very specific name of **their** specific Almighty God with a word to mean ‘mighty god’ in this very important position within the text (for example Mighty Yahweh – or rather **Almighty** Yahweh) unless it was used to mean something else very specific within the context!
However with that obvious statement being made (and Trinitarians cannot avoid answering this perfectly valid comment), let us just ‘press this possible idea home’.

The best practice in this situation is **to put the verse back into context** and see what the writer has used in other places. I shall include the word ‘Lord’ and ‘Immanuel’ as well – to investigate official Christian translated utter confusion.

To be fair with everyone I will start and finish one chapter either side of the quotation used.
(Note: For those who are reading the pdf version – please try the Word document version for the symbols).

Quotation Position	English (NIV) translation	Hebrew Word (s)	Hebrew Meaning	<u>Correct</u> English Translation
8v1	Lord	????	Yahweh	The Almighty God
8v3	Lord	????	Yahweh	The Almighty God
8v5	Lord	????	Yahweh	The Almighty God
8v7	Lord	????	my masters	my lords
8v8	Immanuel	??????	god is with us	Immanuel
8v10	God is with us	??????	god is with us	Immanuel
8v11	Lord	????	Yahweh	The Almighty God
8v13	Lord Almighty	????	Yahweh	The Almighty God
8v17	Lord	????	Yahweh	The Almighty God
8v18	Lord	????	Yahweh	The Almighty God

8v18	Lord Almighty	???	Yahweh	The Almighty God
8v19	God	????	god of him	god of them
8v21	God	??????	and to a god of him	and to a god of him
9v6	God	??	god of	god of
9v7	Lord Almighty	???	Yahweh	The Almighty God
9v8	Lord	???	my masters	my lords
9v11	Lord	???	Yahweh	The Almighty God
9v13	Lord Almighty	???	Yahweh	The Almighty God
9v14	Lord	???	Yahweh	The Almighty God
9v17	Lord	???	my masters	my lords
9v19	Lord Almighty	???	Yahweh	The Almighty God
10v12	Lord	???	my masters	my lords
10v16	Lord	????	The Masters	The Lords
10v16	Lord Almighty	???	Yahweh	The Almighty God
10v20	Lord	???	Yahweh	The Almighty God
10v21	God	??	god of	god of
10v23	Lord	???	my masters	my lords
10v23	Lord Almighty	???	Yahweh	The Almighty God
10v24	Lord	???	my masters	my lords
10v24	Lord Almighty	???	Yahweh	The Almighty God
10v26	Lord Almighty	???	Yahweh	The Almighty God
10v33	Lord	????	The Masters	The Lords
10v33	Lord Almighty	???	Yahweh	The Almighty God

Note: Where NIV has put Lord Almighty, it has been where the English translation of Yahweh (to be incorrectly translated as ‘Lord’ - more later) has been followed with the Hebrew word (tsaba) translated to Hosts (to mean ‘warfare’ or ‘service’ – likened to an army)

Well let us investigate what we have within the table above now that it is in front of us.

Firstly we can see consistencies and inconsistencies within the translation (perhaps better described as consistent inconsistencies)!

We also see the unique word of the Israelites (Yahweh) to mean The Almighty God has been lowered to “Lord”. The dictionary definition of “Lord” and “God” makes “Lord” lower than “God”. So this is the first confusion to the reader. Now outside this discussion I have asked a large number of people:

“What do they consider is the lower form of hierarchy – ‘God’ or ‘Lord’?”, and the answer is always the opposite of that translated – so an obviously poor translation for ‘the masses’ – I refer to this later!

We then have a further consistency within the translation, but using this actually sows in more confusion to the now hapless reader, in as much The Almighty God earlier lowered to be less than God has now been elevated to be above God by putting Almighty after Lord !

We further have inconsistent translation of totally different Hebrew words to come out as the same word!

See 8v5 and 8v7 quoted above but in reality, one is the Hebrew’s unique word for The Almighty God and the other actually means ‘lords’. Now I fully understand the Hebrew writers often used the pleural of a word to promote the fact it was more special than just the singular form, but why confuse the reader here?

And all this faulty translated material is gathered from only 3 chapters of The Bible!

So we can conclude from my demonstration above - the translation could be improved!

Thus further - if I have demonstrated that this translation could be improved just in these three chapters, then a natural and logical extension to this would suggest that improvement might be just made elsewhere!

Let us now delve a little deeper in the other texts quoted above. The texts that now include the Hebrew word for “god”. It is nice to see the translators have at least got the translation a little closer to the original Hebrew in this section!

Firstly let us count up the ratio of the words “Yahweh” to “Lord” to “God”.

19 : 8 : 5

So clearly we have 19 “Yahweh” that can only mean “The Almighty God” by definition – given to Moses.

We have 8 “lords” or “masters” – this is less clear to what they could be. Either ‘Almighty God’ or a ‘lesser god’ or even perhaps, an elevated human.
Finally we have 8 “god” references, again we have evil powers called ‘gods’ so this word is used to refer to spiritual entities on a plane outside earth (= extraterrestrial), thus this word can range from both extremes – ‘The Almighty God’ at one end, to ‘Satan’ at the other.

Let us together, now stand back from the writing and meditate carefully upon what we now see before us.

I also invite the Trinitarians to follow my argument **very closely** and see at what point **they** might **part company** from my line of reasoning.

Isaiah was no fool and he was a Prophet– not any prophet but a foremost prophet of Almighty God - Yahweh. He wrote down the inspired word of The Almighty God faithfully with fidelity as a scribe and not author. Would The Almighty God want Isaiah to use the specific word “Yahweh” when He did, to mean Himself and other words when perhaps He wanted to show a different aspect of Himself?

Would anyone disagree with anything so far? Perhaps not!
So Yahweh would wish Isaiah to use words such as “Lord” and “God” to mean exactly that!
But we also know that these words such as “Lord” and “God” have different meanings other than The Almighty God – “Yahweh”, else God would have used His unique name to mean Himself - Yahweh in the writings; – where certainly He did here - because he used it more times than the other two words put together!

Hopefully we are all still in an agreement – or have we parted company yet?
So if Yahweh used His name more often than all the other words put together – then why did He not use it all the time within the writings, when He specifically referred to Himself within the passage?

This could be shaky ground for Trinity proponents – who may retort:
“Oh perhaps He did not have too! Just use His Name “Yahweh” the once at the beginning and thus save having to do it again in the following position – no need to keep repeating yourself, etc.” – Close one – But the hole is getting deeper for those outside my line of reasoning!
At this juncture, let us examine God’s Word being The Bible again:-

Does it show this line of reasoning – **No!**
We see bursts of “Yahweh” being used and then a couple of “Lords”, the word “god” used together a couple of times.

What message does this give to the reader?
Let us repeat here the background; The Almighty God - Who was The One that singularly created The Universe, provides and fulfils prophecies, able to raise entities from death is hardly likely to get mixed up over words - is He! - Especially if He is dictating them to Isaiah!

So where are the Trinitarians?
It is not possible to disagree with anything that I have written; else you are denying the true power of The Almighty God (and becoming like The Pharisees, being “the blasphemy” that Jesus spoke of).
I also ask – Would Almighty God actually put in lies within The Bible to mislead people (particularly those who are searching).

A most emphatic **No!**
Thus God’s Word is the whole truth and factual!

So where does this logical deduction actually take us?
There are only a couple of conclusions:

- 1. It is written to display another form of Yahweh.
- 2. Or another entity is being referred to within the text - having the qualities so described.
- 3. Or perhaps Yahweh does not exist or is hopelessly muddled. (These two options we can discount).

Let us now go into detail and so work through the examples together.
Some (as shown below) I wish to group them for the only reason to just reduce the amount of repetitive writing:-

8v7 Lord **???** my masters my lords.
This is clearly spoken by Yahweh of Himself as multiple masters / lords (in multiple to show His power), exercising His power as Master over the river and consequently overwhelming the King of Assyria and his army.

8v8 Immanuel **?????** god is with us Immanuel
8v10 God is with us **?????** god is with us Immanuel

Different aspects of calamity overwhelming mortals from the exercised action as master from 8v7 above, but now the request is of Yahweh to be a saviour to humans in and amongst this calamity. The piece is still about Yahweh and so these three verses link together to show two aspects of Yahweh (power and saving) – because Yahweh is speaking about Himself.

We now have five consecutive mentions of “Yahweh” – the separator to the next section.

8v19 God **????** god of him god of them
8v21 God **??????** and to a god of him and to a god of him

This section has to be read so very carefully and put very definitely into context with the preceding eight verses, else the sense will be wrong.

Starting at 8v11, Yahweh is speaking about Himself (*as Yahweh*), repeats Himself again in verse 13 (*as Yahweh – to show that there is to be absolutely no confusion about who He is speaking of*), then Yahweh moves onto The Truth causing people to stumble (*interestingly – it is happening today in Bible translations*) in verse 15. Then Yahweh says: “Bind up the testimony” (and seal) in verse 16, into 17; we then see the words:- ‘I will waitwhile Yahweh hides His face’.

We now have Isaiah saying, “I (*Isaiah*) will put my trust in Him (*Yahweh*) and goes on to say “Here am I (*Isaiah*), and the children Yahweh has given me (*This can mean Isaiah’s acolytes – and those who played a prophetic role within The Old Israeli Nation = those part of “The Other Flock” that Jesus spoke of*), etc to the end of verse 18. These are the selected people used as a sign to the ‘rest of the house of Jacob’ being Israel who had rejected Yahweh.

The Bible shows us Isaiah 8v1-4 Maher-shalal-hash-baz **named prior to conception**. To mean “Hurry O Spoil! He has made haste to plunder”. This shows a further prophecy to occur at the end times when **Jesus** will cause this to occur (spoken of via Isaiah). To be further linked by Isaiah’s son spoken of in Isaiah 7v3 called Shear-jashub to mean, “A mere remnant will return”. I speak much more of this later.

So we now move into verse 19.

There is nothing about Yahweh coming back to Israel again until Chapter 9v1.
Thus it must be assumed that Yahweh is not in the scene any more! Because:-
We see the Israelites seeking spiritualists and gods other than Yahweh.

During this whole passage we see verses 19 and 21 bring the word to mean ‘**god of them**’.
What does the reader think – Would you think it is any reference to Yahweh?

Clearly not!

It is written as a sarcastic comment along the lines of: Who do you think you are seeking Yahweh? – No! Just the ‘**god of them**’, that is to say the “god” that they want to choose for themselves. Thus this “god” is nothing to do with Yahweh, and thus everything to do with Satan!

The reader has to be careful here because the translators have used a capital “G” for god in both positions and thus they become confused to whether the text means “Yahweh” or some “heathen god” (though the sarcastic comment could justify a “G” for v19, but perhaps not for v21 depending upon how you read v21).

9v6 God **??** god of god of
This one I will leave to last!

9v8 Lord **????** my masters my lords

This refers directly to Yahweh of the previous verse. This verse is a direct follow on from 8v7, Yahweh is again showing, He is multiple masters / lords effecting a message against the whole of Israel. No need for further expansion.

9v17 Lord **????** my masters my lords

Yahweh is the continuous subject of this piece taking action and non-action, in total control of the situation, specifically as multiple masters and lords (to indicate multiple power) - continuing His message to the house of Israel. No need for further expansion.

10v12 Lord **????** my masters my lords

In context here “When my masters / my lords (as the one Yahweh) has finished all His work against Mount Zion and Jerusalem.” (See Glossary to find out what these words really mean in prophetic terms).

Clearly the final link here to the proceeding uses of the word **????** saying Yahweh has now finished with Israel, before He now moves onto the King of Assyria.

Then Yahweh goes on to say if you think that was bad, then I am going to give Assyria such a calamity that it will be at double of my power that I used against Mount Zion, because we come onto the double use of master and Yahweh used together in the examples below:-

10v23	Lord	???	my masters	my lords
10v24	Lord	???	my masters	my lords
10v33	Lord	????	The Masters	The Lords

As explained above in the previous paragraph - the King of Assyria gets a double portion hence The Bible is showing the double power of Yahweh, to say while I hit My people hard through a form of chastisement, I will hit external people far harder as retribution on behalf of My people’s sake.

The last quote 10v33, is to finalise the statement The Masters, to conclude this section in the Bible. The next Chapter goes on to speak of Jesus Christ and his specific attributes.

Interestingly enough that leads onto Jesus now with:-

9v6	God	??	god of	god of
-----	-----	----	--------	--------

Nowhere up to this section has **??** been used (the 10v21 example – I will move onto later), but now. This very fact should be interesting to the reader; it is very different and specifically used now – alarm bells should be ringing in the reader’s head – Yahweh is pointing to something different to what has gone on before. We have seen examples (and others in The Bible) – where “gods” have been used to describe a different entity. The word Yahweh is definitely not used, so Yahweh is telling us it is **not** Yahweh here. Yahweh has not called Himself God anywhere except as Master and Lords in these accompanying chapters. So it is either “Yahweh” or “Master” and not “God” in this sectional area of Isaiah.

So why does Yahweh do it **now** - and very interesting, in the only other specific places detailed below?

Clearly these places have specific significance **and** are **directly linked** elsewhere within The Bible in exactly the same manner. I will now explain in detail why, because we should all agree on one thing - The Author was not human, but The Greatest Entity within The Universe, so clearly everything should be clear and not a mystery or be inconsistent! It is also not in Yahweh’s interests to mix everything up. It has to be totally consistent and logical because Yahweh is The Absolute Pinnacle of Perfection.

So when there is a problem that cannot be explained, then we must collect more evidence.

Let us now look through the entire book of Isaiah and find out all the instances of “Yahweh”, and the position of “god”. It must be noted by the reader that while English translations add a ‘G’ or ‘g’ to the beginning of god, Hebrew does not! So the capitalization of the letter ‘g’ can only be inferred by the translator from the contextual information. Thus to limit confusion I will use ‘g’ for all below, especially when some of the ‘gods of them’ for example, are actually referring to Satan’s group! Also the NIV translators also took the liberty to translate ‘him’ to be God (thus I have included these distractions in the list below for the sake of completeness).

There are a total of about 478 “Yahweh” names in Isaiah, and the use of “god” in 108 places shown in the listing below.

(Note: For those who are reading the pdf version – please try the Word document version for the symbols).

1v10	?????	god(s) of us	25v9, 26v13, 35v2, 36v7, 37v20, 40v8, 42v17, 52v10, 55v7, 59v13, 61v2, 61v6
2v3	????	god(s) of (me)	7v13, 17v6, 17v10, 21v10, 21v17, 24v15, 25v1, 29v23, 30v18, 36v18, 36v19, 36v20, 37v12, 37v16, 37v21, 37v5, 40v28, 41v17, 45v3, 9v4, 2v12, 54v5, 57v21
5v16	???	and the god	
5v19	?? ?	Let him hasten	
7v10	????	god of you	37v4 x2, 37v10, 41v10, 41v13, 43v3, 51v15, 52v7, 54v6, 55v5, 60v9, 62v3, 62v5, 66v9
8v19	????	god of him	58v2
8v21	?????	and to god of him	
9v6	??	god (of)	10v21, 12v2, 14v13, 31v3, 40v18, 44v10, 44v15, 45v14, 45v15, 45v21, 46v9

13v19	????	god (of men)
		35v4, 37v4, 37v17, 37v19, 44v6, 45v5, 45v14, 45v15, 45v21, 46v6, 46v9, 53v4
21v9	????	god of her
25v11	??' ??	and he will bring down
26v1	? ??	he makes
34v11	???	and he will stretch
35v4	????	god of you
37v16	?????	the god (of men)
		45v18
37v19	?????	god of them.
37v20	????	by yourself
40v2	?????	god of you
		40v9, 59v2
40v3	??????	for god of us
40v27	?????	and by god of me
		48v1
44v8	???	god of
44v17	???	into god
45v22	?????	god I am
49v5	????	and god(s) of (me)
50v10	?????	on god of him
51v22	?????	and god of you
		60v19
58v2	????	god of you
		64v4
61v10	????	in god of me
65v16	????	by god of

The reader may thankfully like to know at this point, I am going to disregard everything above except ?? in my discussions now, because the reader is quite at liberty to go to all the places in The Bible shown above to see that these other places clearly referring to (and **directed to** – see much later in the Greek section) Yahweh God within the text as **the specific God of Israel at this point in time on this earth BCE** (because Jesus was held in the background – spoken only in prophecy as *The Faithful Servant of God or The Redeemer*, etc) – or to its people, or in a few instances to Satan’s motley group. The word - Yahweh appears very close to these scriptural references.

However it must be noted that while humans were unaware of Jesus as JCg (see Glossary) all entities of The Universe were not unaware. Thus Yahweh would have worked through Jesus so as not to usurp Jesus’ position over his (Jesus’) creation (us humans). This subtlety must be understood by the reader to discern the logical contextual nuances within The New Testaments for the word “ho theos”.

However I wish to look **very closely** at ??

This word does **not** have any qualifiers attached – the translation is just “**god**”.

Nothing specific about it at all – just “**a god**”.

I must draw a sense of caution at this point, because many Biblical scholars warn – do not take any word out by itself – you must use Lexicons – thus providing assistance in ‘placing the word’ in its own ‘sympathetic’ rendering within the nuances of the original language.

This may be so in some instances, and I have many Hebrew and Greek Lexicons, and naturally use these Lexicons in my work, and though they give much background into the words and how they are to be used etc, they do not help that much when trying to get **under the superficial layer and into prophecy** – because that is on a totally different level (though the writers would strongly argue that is exactly what they are trying to do)! I consider it as ‘horses for courses’. Let me now repeat the occasions where this word appears:-

9v6	??	god (of)
		10v21, 12v2, 14v13, 31v3, 40v18, 44v10, 44v15, 45v14, 45v15, 45v21, 46v9

I need to now group these and then perhaps the reader will see a pattern start to show itself!-

Group 1 9v6, 10v21,

(a god [of] might) Identical words in both positions, used with identical textual positions. The first position is obviously Jesus Christ, but so is 10v21 – look at the text – “A remnant will return *(to make up the 144000 – the Elect)* of Jacob *(of Jacob because the small amount coming from Israelites to form the Elect with the rest from the Gentiles)* will return to the Mighty God (= Jesus and ‘the Elect’ as Jesus’ Bride, being the 144000).” So we clearly see the link between the two parts. This is not Yahweh God, because **if** it were then Yahweh God would have been used in both instances. But only in either side within the text we are able to see that Yahweh God is mentioned as The Underwriter, or Guarantor to ensure that this will occur – Yahweh has deliberately put Himself out of ‘the inner loop of action’. Yahweh God is giving Jesus The Might and power to exercise his free Will (just as we are, but fortunately with a little less power – else we would have destroyed ourselves long before now) to put his creation to rights, and so demonstrate to The World that He – Jesus **is** to be a fit ruler of this world (and not Satan now).

Finally shown in The Millennium when Jesus (in humility to his Father - Yahweh) hands ‘the keys’ (of the Earth) back to his Father in the heavens (as The New Testament so clearly tells us).

Why a god of Might?

Has the reader thought why?

Why should it be applied to Yahweh – we know that **Yahweh IS Mighty** – just goes without saying!

But **why** apply it to Jesus?

The very obvious reason is, as we see all through the Gospels – Jesus is meek and mild – like a lamb to the slaughter – that is why Jesus came the first time to earth in a fleshly body. We are told in prophecy (Gen.49v9-12) that he is “the Lion Cub” during his ministry.

Why does The Bible then say Jesus is Mighty – because we have not seen it?

It is precisely for that reason that we have not seen it – that we are **forewarned** of it!

When Jesus comes in the future – he shall come with **all** The Might and Power he requires from Yahweh (‘like a powerful fully grown lion’ and “rule with a rod of iron”). Thus it is for this reason – we are warned before it is too late! All quotes from The Bible.

Group 2 12v2,

(a god [of] salvation of me) Identical words to the second part of group 1. Clearly the same Entity is being spoken of! This Entity is Jesus Christ again – collecting his Bride. “A remnant will return *(to make up the 144000 = the Elect)* of Jacob *(of Jacob because the small amount coming from Israelites to form the Elect with the rest from the Gentiles)* Though your people. O Israel will be like the sand by the sea, only a remnant will return” *(again showing out of so many Israelites, only a few will be part of this very special people – to form The New Spiritual Israel = The Bride of Christ)* See Glossary to explain these terms.

Group 3 14v13,

(above god) Interesting comment – how could any Entity believe themselves to be greater than Almighty God Yahweh – Who created all things. But it could with certainty be reasoned that this specific entity, Satan who on a similar level to that of Jesus would and could consider himself greater than this god – Jesus, as clearly demonstrated in the three temptations (in the wilderness of Jesus by Satan as recorded in Matthew and Luke). Thus showing us - Jesus is this god. Again the Trinitarian believer has to answer the question: “If it was about Yahweh – the scribe (Isaiah) and Author (Yahweh) would have written ‘Yahweh!’” There is nowhere else in some 22 verses is Yahweh mentioned except at the very beginning and at the very end to Underwrite as Guarantor this textual passage, to say in My strength – All this shall occur.

Perhaps the Trinitarian may argue: But this god is to mean Yahweh some 10 verses away. However if this is the truth, then why was it not positioned next to Yahweh in some 100 examples above?

The Trinitarian cannot argue that it is a misprint – because Yahweh does not make mistakes!

Group 4 31v3,

(not god) All these seem very interesting because the English translations infer The Almighty God, by placing a ‘G’ for “god” as sarcasm upon the Egyptians (note in The Bible “Egyptians” in prophecy represent The Heathen World outside The Christian Nation during The Gospel Age). But although the text can be read both ways but perhaps the most reliable would be with a small ‘g’ in thinking them to be gods in their own right.

Why?

Let us analyse the text (must follow the rules - context first).

We have the Egyptians thinking that they each are invincible like ‘a god’ and can defy known earthly laws (speaking of the Egyptians following through the Reed sea [not Red] after the Israelites when they left Egypt). But the text here says they are not like ‘a god’ (being that each Egyptian thinking themselves to be a god) and their horses are of flesh and not spirit (Please review my writings “What is Spirit” and also the Glossary “Spirit”, “Holy Spirit”, “Heavenly Treasure”). Then Isaiah says: This is what Yahweh says to me:

Thus place it into context then a small ‘g’ seems more appropriate!

Group 5 40v18,

(compare to god) At last the Trinitarian can say this is The Almighty God – Yahweh, because no one knows what form Yahweh can take (as correctly translated in Ex 3v14, as we read about what Yahweh God says so wonderfully when Moses asks who Yahweh is, the reply comes back: “I [make] become – who/what I [choose to] become”. Thus correctly no human could ever possibly contain Yahweh within anything earthly. However so is Jesus, Satan and other sons of God (see Job 1), and also angels and demons – they too can take on any earthly form because they have spiritual bodies. Thus this text is more accurately and usefully rendered as “god” with a small ‘g’ (as per the Ancient Hebrew) rather than the translators inferring something unsubstantiated from the original text. And so cannot be justified using written proof to mean Yahweh God. I ask the reader to gain further evidence, to please look at:-
Isaiah 40v25-26, Exodus 33v20, John 1v18, John 6v46, 1John 4v12

Group 6 44v10, 44v15, 46v9

(idol) This section speaks of the foolishness of people making and worshiping wooden idols, I agreeing with Isaiah, would fully concur that this is so depressingly foolish, but it is an extensive practice of today particularly used in many Christian denominations – but also this reasoning could be extended to the television (or the car) as ‘the modern idol’ – because it takes much of our time and resources (thus like a form of worship – think about it – our time should be spent with Yahweh – but instead our time is spent elsewhere to become this other form of worship to dominate our ‘spare’ time) for self-indulgency!

Please see Glossary on “Idol”, “Works” and “Worship” for full understanding on these words.

Group 7 45v14, 45v15, 45v21

(with-you, no-other, hides, saviour god) Out of all the texts given above this is the most applicable to Jesus Christ. Before I answer why, let me just mention Isaiah 45v17 because it asserts:-

“But Israel will be saved by Yahweh with an everlasting salvation.”

Why does Yahweh say this immediately after all the other places where the word “god” is mentioned?

Clearly because Yahweh is **underwriting the activities of the god** mentioned in the previous verses. Yahweh is not mentioned in these verses and the word “god” is mentioned four times but not a single Yahweh! Then we have the next verse (17) starting off with “But Israel will be saved by Yahweh ...”

So who is this “god” in these verses?

At the superficial and current level (about 720 BCE) it could be argued as being Yahweh God, in as much; He is the directed God of the nation Israel (but see later for additional reasoning in the Greek – New Testament section), and no other God. He is apparently ‘hiding’ while these calamities befall the nation of Israel, and yet He will save them.

However the reader must realise that Isaiah was written about 120 years before Nebuchadnezzar overthrew Israel in about 600 BCE, the sacred temple destroyed and the epoch started from that time to become the steady demise of the Israelites. They have never really come back to where they were then – even to this day – with their homeland reclaimed in 1948. On a physical level now, we are running out of Earthly time before mankind destroys itself and thus before Israel can make itself to a position of its former relative glories of that period of around 1000 BC in the normally understood worldly interpretation (as per Orthodox Jews)!

So **what** could this **really** be referring to?

I would propose that we are now entering a prophecy working at the spiritual level.

Let me explain it.

Israel spoken of; is The New Spiritual Israel (See Glossary) made up of The Righteous Ones Elected/Chosen by Yahweh to be His people and to also become The Bride of Christ – as spoken of in many places within The New Testament. This god Jesus shall be their saviour and The Husband to his Bride, chosen/elected for him by his Father – Yahweh. One thing that all Christians can agree upon is that there is only one saviour and no other that allows us this **one pass from death to life**. Where Jesus has made the 1st death to become “Asleep” to be roused/awakened from what was “the curse of Satan” into the 2nd part of our life into The Millennium. However where we part company is that this is not everlasting, until **proved by works** within The Millennium based upon education. If a person performs sinful works (thus become iniquitous because all humans shall have The Knowledge) within The Millennium then that specific person will die everlasting = a non-existence from Yahweh = annihilation = The 2nd Death.

So far everything fits this text and also elsewhere in Yahweh’s overall plan - but what about this ‘hiding’?

As I have already shown in other sections on this Website, I have proposed (to explain apparent inconsistencies) the following scenario:-

Jesus ‘stood back’ (took ‘a back seat’) from his earthly creation (as requested by Yahweh) just prior to Adam and Eve’s ‘fall from grace’ in the Garden of Eden to allow another ‘Son of God’ – Satan to learn how to ‘do the job properly’ during a period of about 6000 years (considered as a ‘lease term’) for we are told in Luke.4v6 that Satan had been assigned this planet. Thus during this 4000 years BCE, Jesus ‘stood back’ while Satan has sway over this earth (as temporary governor of this planet) and as a ruler **chosen by humans**.

Isaiah wrote this piece some 720 years before Jesus came onto this Earth to reclaim ‘the high ground’ for his creation. Jesus in this action assumed responsibility for his creation, the timing as now requested by his Father – Yahweh. Thus Jesus was an entity “who hides himself (now, but later), O god and saviour of Israel” (Isa 45v15). Thus the text and reasoning mutually stand secure upon this reasoning. This reasoning also fits all other text found in both The Old and New Testament. I ask readers (with differing interpretations) to contact me on this website **with much detailed scriptural evidence** to explain their errant ideas! I will then digest their ideas and explain with further reasoning - obviously supported with a minimum of three places on each aspect of my reasoning if it is at variance to theirs.

So why have I written such a detailed essay?

I am just trying to dispel the fog that is covering everything so that people do not bump about in the dark hurting each other with the fall-out from of their false ideas/myths!

However, in answer to this - I wish to express a personal opinion.

What I am trying to ask of the reader is to ‘Look out of the box’, constrained upon them by certain religious leaders corrupting “The Word of God” through the distortion filters of their worldly reasoning (see Prov.3v5-8) exemplified by their hypocritical works! There is nothing inherently wrong with ‘The Word of God,’ but many of ‘the messengers’ of ‘The Word of God’ are ‘selling it very short’ and this distortion leads to confusion, which further leads to a falling away of ‘the masses’ – because God’s Word is seen as irrelevant in ‘today’s world’!

This ‘falling away’ is precisely what we witness today!

Either in apathy or at the other extreme in hype and fanfare to give nothing but froth with no substance!

Atheists see all the worldly sourced foolishness and inconsistencies of ‘the official interpretation’ and so ignore God’s Word, and further, other religious acolytes who are genuinely seeking The Absolute Truth see ‘the (worldly) Christianity as presented’ as no more than a sham – like their own religion, and so do not endorse it.

It is for this sole reason why I have written this website www.FutureLife.Org to pass on The Absolute Truth **correctly** and **accurately** explained directly from The Bible! To reach The Absolute Truth the investigator must start with a clean sheet of paper, bypass 2nd and 3rd hand ideas and go back to The Source and work from basic ‘first principals’ else you shall be lead astray. In this instance you must go back to the original language.

The Trinity doctrinal myth is such one of those worldly sourced distortions (that the epistles specifically warn us of occurring some 250 years after they were written) – because it leads to a great many inconsistencies within The Bible and consequential ‘dead-end’ mysteries!

A cynical person would almost assume that a conspiracy is afoot to confuse ‘the masses’ – but there is – it is called The Trinity master minded by Satan to befuddle The Reasoning Brain and waste millions (perhaps billions) of man hours talking about rubbish!

Does the reader really think that:-

The Almighty God Yahweh would approve of what is happening by his supposed representatives?

Clearly not!

If the result leads to confusion and mysteries!

And I have demonstrated it – Just ask people in the street (include in this group ‘everyday Christians’) – They are my Witnesses!

Do they understand The Trinity?

Those of any ‘belief’ understand there to be separate Entities – so why do ‘the church rulers’ try to browbeat this Biblical unsubstantiated reasoning upon us?

Because they are confined by their **own worldly** sourced doctrine!

Please read “Trinity (The)” in my Glossary to see who truly authored it!

Continuing my reasoning, I ask the reader to now look at other places within The Bible and apply my reasoning to these other places where just the word ‘a god’ is used within the text and see where it leads them!

Link this with The New Testament, to the places where as I have shown at the beginning of this piece where the words

ó ? ? ò ? (= “the specific god” of the context) appear and suddenly the readers have a most wonderful surprise for themselves! The Bible really comes to life, and so much more understanding comes out. It was not for idle want that these specific words were carefully placed within the text by The Author! Unfortunately if the translators just slap the word “GOD” in all these places to suit this **false Trinity preconceived idea** – as they have done – then the inner message is just ‘plastered over’ by the equivalent of thick paint and a fat paint brush, and the very fine detail of textual explanation underneath is lost to the reader! To be lost in “A Mystery” just as Satan would desire, so that we do not know how to come to Yahweh through Jesus.

Before the reader reads through The New Testament using my guide below to see the different aspects of these two different Gods, I would like to explain to the reader how the different attributes are assigned and so to recognise them within the text as they carefully read through The New Testament, to see and ‘feel’ the ‘up-to-now’, hidden nuances within the original Greek text.

1. The Almighty God (Yahweh / Jehovah) – written as (examples):-

- 1.1 ?? ? ??? ? (Of The God – Jehovah) likewise ??? ? (of God – Jehovah).
- 1.2 **t ? ?e?** (To The God – Jehovah) likewise **?e?** (to God – Jehovah).
- 1.3 **?ô? ??ô?** (The God – Jehovah) likewise **??ô?** (God – Jehovah).

Specific attributes:

All things come from **Of** Almighty God (including The Laws [of Nature **and Moral**] to which The Universe in its entirety is subject).
Everything in The Universe is reflected back **To** Almighty God.
And because of this then Yahweh is **The** Almighty God
Thus from above - All power, knowledge, wisdom, promises and the organising of salvation comes from “The Almighty God”.
All spiritual things (and angels) come from “**Of The**” and are reflected back “**To The**” Almighty God (Word emanating from God).
We **direct** our prayers to Him solely (But it is **through** Jesus – as recognition of Jesus’ position – see below).
Almighty God is The Head of The Universe, and obviously Head of The **Heavens** (plural).
Also Yahweh/Jehovah is The Head of The **Spiritual Family** and thus called **The Father**.
He is The Father to His Son - Jesus Christ.
He also initiates the removal of sinful humans (= aliens to His Desire) – (This being The Wrath of God – though Jesus may carry it out this ‘wrath’ upon his personal creation in due respect of Jesus’ position/responsibility to his own creation – when given the “go-ahead” by Yahweh).

2. Jesus Christ resurrected as a separate god – written as **only**:-

- 2.1 **ô ???ô?** (“the specific god” when the context refers to humans – thus = **a** god of The Universe).
- 2.2 **??ô?** (“specific god” as 2.1 above).

Specific attributes:

Jesus was (in BCE) and now is **A god** (*because there are other “sons of The Almighty God” = “gods” in their own right – see book of Job, and also next section just below*) in the **heavens** (see “Heaven” in Glossary)
Jesus used The Almighty God’s power to create **all** life on this planet.
Jesus **is** responsible for **all** life (*being of his creation*) on this planet Earth – and **only** he is.
Being **solely** responsible – Jesus **Pleads our cause** before The Almighty God (*else **we would have been destroyed long before now***).
Being **solely** responsible – Jesus speaks to us from The Almighty God (*the Word of God originates from The Almighty God – Jesus just voices it for Yahweh as our mediator*).
Because Jesus is being **solely** responsible – then we speak through Jesus (*he is our sole mediator and personal contact*) to The Almighty God.
Being **solely** responsible – Jesus then **acts** for The Almighty God to **carry out** Yahweh’s requirements upon his (*Jesus*)’ creation (*and may send Yahweh’s angels*). This is done so that Yahweh does not undermine Jesus’ respectful position over us.
From above we see that Jesus is a very **personal** god who is working for our (*his creation*) best interests.
Jesus is named as “**A** God” **now** in the **Heavens** speaking of his existence (*through his Apostles*) as Jesus Christ while he was on Earth.
Jesus has his **presence** operating within his apostles (144000 in number) during The Gospel Age.
Jesus was/is and will always be subservient to his Father – The Almighty God.

So I ask the reader to ‘package’ these **distinctly** separate attributes to the two specific Entities while they read through The New Testament. The wonder of the textual information which then oozes out to show us distinct characteristics of the two Entities, particularly that of Jesus as “the specific god” in his own right as a buffer – a mediator/intercessor between us and The Universe Master Reference Standard of **Absolute Purity of The Almighty God (Yahweh / Jehovah)**.

I would like to just point out one possible line of confusion the reader may encounter in the books below.

But this is what tells us that **ô ???ô?** is a “relative/floating index pointer” using context as the descriptor.

When the Greek speaks of Almighty God as:- The Lord God Almighty, the word god in Greek is **ô ???ô?** and not ??? ?
???. This initially may seem confusing! However within context of the Greek words it means “The Lord of “the

specific god” Almighty”. Thus means “**The Master of the god(s) and is Almighty**” (thus there must be other gods for Yahweh to be The Master of them!) – that is the textual understanding that I read from the Greek word arrangement. Consequently it fits in very nicely to the attributes given above. However be very careful, some translations (for example NIV) manipulate the order of words (in some places) to reinforce the Trinity idea – and are not quite as the original Greek text portrays! I show and explain this in several places later!

While I am on this subject, the reader will notice a large number of references at the bottom of most books below. This is where the NIV has interjected the word “God” into the text, when there is **no** reference to the word “God” within the original Greek Text. It goes in place of perhaps He, or I for example – I suppose the translators were trying to be helpful to the reader, but sadly it greatly devalues the authenticity of God’s Word.

(These are marked up as “**Additions**” at the end of each section).

It would not be so bad if the translators got it correct – but in many cases they get it very wrong – to add even more confusion upon God’s Word given to the hapless reader!

In one verse I noticed the NIV had a **totally different verse** to the one in the original Greek Text - it even had different people mentioned in it (marked as WW – wrong words)!

The translators did not realise there was a spiritual reason for the **specific words** given in the original Greek text – I will discuss that later! Again showing us that either trying to be too clever – or just too helpful, actually devalues God’s Word – something again that I warn readers about in many other sections of this website.

Thus in conclusion before we collect the evidence:-

“Ho theos” ὁ θεός, as a floating pointer is used in the following terms (depending upon context):-

1. Jesus as being the specific mediator between Yahweh and Mankind generally. Then it is Jesus who becomes The Subject when the context is “The World” (being Greek for = “The Adornment” = “The Life” and thus the direct responsibility of Jesus because he was our creator).
 2. This is the largest grouping and comes under the section “Both” in the listings below. This does **not** make “ho theos” a Trinity! Jesus as the specific mediator between Yahweh and Mankind where he is The Mouthpiece of Yahweh (and the “ho theos” points to Yahweh through JCg – see Glossary) to specifically those humans who are listening/doing, thus the context are those righteous individuals (True Representatives) of The Israelite Nation of The Old Testament and later when the context are those righteous individuals (True Representatives) of The Christian Nation of The New Testament (being ‘The two Nations of Knowledge’ separated by time). Where ‘the nation’ was/is instructed to impart this knowledge accurately to ‘the heathen’ of The World. But the vast majority of the populace within these two “nations” did/do not, and sadly very many religious leaders deliberately corrupt(ed) This Knowledge for self-gain. Ref Jesus’ comment: “Those who do the Will/Desire of his Father are his sisters and brothers” thus ‘on par’ with Jesus’ standard.
- Thus the crux is:
- You are **only** within this grouping if Yahweh accepts you – but today just stating you are a Christian Leader is no certainty – but more likely to make you a hypocrite before God – thus rejected out of this grouping!
3. Ho theos points directly to Yahweh when the context is specifically about Jesus (similar concept to item 2).
 4. Ho theos points directly to Yahweh when the context is of similar form to The Lord of “ho theos” Almighty.

If the reader takes onboard the rules given above (because it is used as a floating pointer) then all ‘the mysteries’ and apparent ‘contradictions’ of The Bible just disappear. And it will be seen that these rules are consistent within themselves and also compatible with precisely what we are taught elsewhere within The Bible – so that the textual understanding becomes very clear!

Very Important Note:

In some places ‘the context’ can be read two ways depending upon the Greek word structure and thus the pointer can be understood as either pointing to Jesus as the specific god of us or Yahweh/Jehovah as the specific God of Jesus. In these places I prefer the unorthodox interpretation and take the former (because it seems to bring the Bible alive and brings Jesus to The Fore as being responsible for his personal creation), but traditional Greek scholars would take the latter and derive what we read in many of the traditional translations (which pushes Jesus into the background) and often creates the confusion of The Trinity with all its Bible contradictions and mysteries (only because other passages in The Bible are utterly ignored/dismissed)!

So I now invite the reader to study God’s Word with the interpretations given below, as your handbook to guide you. I take some of the more interesting examples in each of the books and expand on them at the bottom to show the ‘new-found’ splendour of the text.

Additional notes:

1. Reiterating, the reader must appreciate the relative index pointer nature of “ho theos” – it is not an absolute pointer – look at the context to see where it is pointing. The section called “Both” in the listings below, being rule (2) above, is “ho theos” written from The Apostles’ point of view (being on par with Jesus) pointing to Yahweh through Jesus thus The Apostles are legitimately allowed to use “ho theos’ pointing to Yahweh because they have been accepted by Yahweh as “appointed to tell” The World by precisely imitating Jesus’ lifestyle/personality/spirit.

But the vast majority of humans only have Jesus as “the specific god” of them.
This is The Crux to The Scriptural Understanding behind the usage of “ho theos” in The Bible.
2. (1) Indicates the first occurrence in the verse, (2) indicates the second in the same verse, etc.
3. I suggest the reader has two coloured highlighter pens and mark all the God references pertaining to Jehovah with one colour and all the “god” references to Jesus with the second colour. The sense then stands out of the text quickly when you read through it.
I would like to make the following suggestions:-
1. Use yellow and orange because they are unlikely to penetrate the thin paper.
2. Use orange for The Almighty God position – because this is unique (and there is no requirement to colour over if in error with yellow).
3. Use yellow for the more generic form of god (ho theos) because this does change its form depending upon the modifiers around it. The yellow can then be over coloured with orange!
4. I have split the last column of “ho theos” into three groupings to help the reader into understanding the difference.
The 1st column in “ho theos” points to Yahweh/Jehovah (rules of 2,3,4 - see above).
The 2nd column in “ho theos” points to “Both” Entities depending upon the dual context applicable in the writings.
The 3rd column in “ho theos” points directly to Jesus (rule 1 - see above).

Finally a most Important Note on the heading of “ho theos”:
“Both” is a concept that only an Apostle can correctly use – because they have been accepted as “a son of God” on par with Jesus (by precisely imitating Jesus) – being elected/chosen by Yahweh as “appointed to tell” The World. This does not infer any ‘Trinity’ myth, but merely The Apostle can speak on behalf of Yahweh where Yahweh becomes “The Specific God” of them. They effectively become an appointed messenger of Yahweh, or in other words an “angel” of Yahweh (see Glossary).
That is how The Bible was written.
Else, how can The Apostles represent Yahweh’s Desire – except point to The Target?
All other humans do not have those qualities especially all worldly Christians particularly worldly Christian Leaders (who in the best instances are no more than social workers – saying things to please ‘the ear’) where we are told in The Bible: “Did we not do these things in your name” (thus can only be ‘Christians’) and Jesus replies: “Get away from me you workers of lawlessness!” Thus obviously these ones who claimed to come in Jesus’ name are rejected and have no legitimate right to claim Yahweh as “The Specific God” of them. Rejected only because they did not come in the personality/spirit of Jesus where the fulfillment of Yahweh’s Desire should be uttermost in the humans’ thought processes (rather than the indulgence of worldly self-gratification).

Matthew			Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus
			(As three <u>absolute</u> index pointers)		(This is a <u>relative</u> index pointer)
Genitive	Accusative	Dative			Nominative
Of the God	The God	To the God			“the specific god”
?? ? ??? ? (??? ?)	??????? (????)	t ? ?e? (?e?)			ó ??ò? (??ò?)
1					1v23
3	3v16				3v9
4v3, 4, 6	4v7, 10				
5v34	5v8				
6		6v24			6v30
8v29					
9	9v8				
12v4, 28(1,2)					
14v33					
15v3, 6	15v31				15v4
16v16, 23					
19v24		19v26			19v6
21v31, 43					
22v16, 21(1), 29, 31	22v37	22v21(2)			22v32(1,2,3,4)
23v22					
26v61, 63(1,2)					
27v40, 43(2)	27v43(1), 54				27v46(1,2)

1v23 The context is us humans (being The World = The Adornment/Decoration of this planet = all Life) and thus Jesus (formally “JCg” – see Glossary) becomes “the specific god” of us.

3v9 I carefully explain in John.8v41 that when the context is about Abraham (because of his special qualities) then “ho theos” points directly to Yahweh. See Glossary on “stones” (and elsewhere on this site) to understand what this terminology means.

6v30 It was Jesus as “the specific god” of us that created all life on this planet over the eons of time, but Jesus could only do it by using Yahweh’s holy spirit (being the knowledge and power) freely expressed through Jesus. Thus the context can be taken as either (1) just life itself thus points to Jesus when he existed in his former state, or the context can be taken that (2) Jesus himself is stating that he recognises that all things come from Yahweh (being relative to himself) during the creative process – thus “ho theos” points to Yahweh. I prefer option (2) but through Jesus – showing the duality (more later) of this term and why it was used.

Chapter 4. All examples are Jesus reflecting everything back to his Father (Jehovah) in Heaven.

15v3 and 15v4. Very important section to understand.

I will introduce this to the reader now. **This configuration will be seen extensively throughout the New Testament** examples below specifically when The Old Testament is quoted, and thus needs explanation. The commandments originate from The Almighty God – Yahweh/Jehovah.

Jesus Christ is Jehovah’s spokesperson to those on earth who are to represent Yahweh to the rest of the world, because Jesus is The Mediator between us and The Originator – Yahweh. In this instance prior to Jesus’ ministry then The Israelite Nation performed that role (and thus Jesus spoke to them as a relay – see explanation below. However after Jesus’ resurrection The Christian Nation taken out from all the nations of the earth now became responsible for Yahweh’s Word with again Jesus now as The Intercessor – or Communicator. However just like the Old Israelite Nation where most of its inhabitants were **not** strictly faithful to Yahweh’s Desire, then likewise with those claiming to be part of The Christian Nation over the last 2000 years (in perhaps the same ratio – because statistically ‘the mind-set’ of humans desiring to fulfil Yahweh Desire in any specific grouping claiming to represent Yahweh would be no different in any specific time frame)!

Thus 15v3 “The command (*originating from*) of Jehovah God” and then in 15v4 Jesus Christ was the Entity relaying this information to his creation – us (through his obedient/faithful representatives – prophets/apostles), so “For Jesus as “the specific god” of us (being the mouthpiece of Yahweh) said (*on Mount Sinai - BC*) “Honour your Father and Mother”. If the reader applies this principal to their reasoning throughout The New Testament text it will be like a 3 dimensional image coming out of a 2 dimensional picture (the reader may have seen these types of pictures). This is accurate in all of the many hundreds of examples of the word ‘god’ in the references given for all these New Testament Books (I will explain the overlapping areas – the most common one is the example given at the beginning “Lord of the gods Almighty” which is utterly consistent throughout – with different writers – thus an accurate interpretation). Just clarifying another aspect of this because it is extensively used in the New Testament when referring to Yahweh via Jesus of the Old Testament.

The Old Israelite Nation represented Yahweh to The World	Likewise	The Christian Nation represents Yahweh to The World
The Intercessor was Jesus.	Likewise	The Intercessor is Jesus.
Thus Israelites operate through Jesus Why?	Likewise	Thus Christians operate through Jesus.

Because while humans in their sinful/unrighteous state can never speak directly to Yahweh for we are told we must be accepted as being righteous (= equitable to His Standards) before that can occur – thus throughout all time Jesus has been that intercessor between ‘those people who claim to represent Yahweh’ and Yahweh Himself. However those entities [Jesus/Gabrielle/Prophets/Apostles] accepted by Yahweh as His True Representatives (Angels = Messengers = Prophets/Apostles) have “The Specific God” of them as being Yahweh, while all other humans being generally termed “The World” have their “specific god” as being Jesus.

These True Representatives are those specific humans elected/chosen/{pulled out} of ‘The Pool of Representatives’ being The Old Israelite Nation before Jesus’ Ministry and The Christian Nation after Jesus’ Ministry being the two small flocks of sheep that Jesus spoke of during his ministry. These two flocks are representative of the 24 elders in John’s Revelation being 12 ‘heads’ (representing the faithful flock of The Old Testament ‘elected out’ of the 12 tribes of Israel), plus the 12 ‘heads’ (representing the faithful flock of The New Testament ‘elected out’ of the 12 = tribes of The Dispersion = The Christian Nation).

Again, why the difference between The True Representatives (pre/post ministry) and of The World (heathen)? Because the two different groupings have a different expectation – ‘The Belief’ based upon ‘Demonstrable Works’. Thus those True Representatives accepted by Yahweh look forward in The Expectation for Yahweh as their “specific God” (demonstrated by acceptable works) – thus are effectively in this respect on par with Jesus (see Mark 3v35). But The World only has ‘the vain expectation’ for ‘The Now’ and can only hope for a resurrection to learn true

righteousness (being to imitate Jesus = demonstrable works acceptable to Yahweh) under their future “specific god” being Jesus in The Millennium.

Can the reader so the supreme logic behind Yahweh’s entire Plan explained by the words used?

Being what The Bible specifically shows us but is **never** taught!

Thus we can conclude the context sets To Whom points the pointer of “ho theos” being Yahweh but spoken through Jesus hence “ho theos” to **distinguish the two Entities and roles** (thus a subtle but most important understanding).

19v6 Clearly this is the realm of Jesus as “the specific god” of us setting things up in The Garden of Eden – working with his creation (consistent when repeated by different Gospel writers – a good sign for this interpretation!)

Chapter 22. This shows the power of this understanding of interpretation being written in the context as the explanation as given in Matt.15v4.

Mark

Of the God	Yahweh/Jehovah The God	To the God	Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus “the specific god”
1v14, 15, 24			
2v26	2v12		2v7
3v11, 35			
4v11, 26, 30			
5v7(1)	5v7(2)		
7v8, 9, 13			
8v33			
9v1, 47			
10v14, 15, 23, 24, 25		10v27(1,2)	10v18
11v22			10v9,
12v14, 17(1), 24, 34	12v30	12v17(2)	12v26(1,2,3,4), 12v27, 29
13			13v19
14v25			
15v39			15v34(1,2)
16v19			

Additions:- 7v11, 10v6, 12v33

2v7 This is a useful one to pick up here. Jesus is able to forgive our sins – because of his sacrifice and The Responsibility he accepts for his Creation. He carries our sins and offers us (only those who accept Jesus as their saviour – now or the one only last opportunity in The Millennium) as being acceptable and so protects us from The Wrath of Almighty God from Whom only perfected [covered by Jesus’ Ransom Sacrifice] entities survive). See the same incident as described in Matt.9v5 and who was speaking at the time being the specific point “what is easier to say” and to whom the Pharisees were listening – because it certainly was not Yahweh – but His representative Jesus. So it was The Representative forgiving the sins – being The One who covers our sins before Yahweh – so that Yahweh is in the position to overlook our sins to turn a ‘blind eye’ to our former sins (else Yahweh is contravening His Decree on Sin). This is a very important distinction between the two entities and also how very important Jesus is to our salvation.

3v35 Jesus is showing that we are all subservient to His Father – including Jesus – termed as his brothers – implying the same level – important to link with Matt.15v4!

10v9 Context is relative to humans and thus points directly to Jesus as our Creator (in his JCg former state).

10v18 Context is Jesus and thus “the specific God” points directly to Jehovah/Yahweh. But there are two pointers given in the text to show us this:

1. The obvious clue being the context shows us that “ho theos” is referenced to Jesus (being the subject of the question) and thus points to Jehovah.
2. Also the word ‘**one**’ in front of the Greek word for God tells us to read the text as the writer asks us to read **One God** – which is obviously Jehovah, and clearly there are other gods, else the writer would not have been so specific in the reporting of Jesus saying the **One** God to mean the Unique Father God. The Bible tells us in very many places that Yahweh/Jehovah is The Master God. And logically for there to be a Master God, then there must be other gods to be “The Master” over (as we are told in the 1st chapter of the book of Job)!

Greek text goes as follows:-

the And Jesus said (to the) him why me (you) exclaim/state (ideally) good; No one (is) (ideally) good if not (= *except*) one “the specific God”.

Clearly this defines the God to be **The One** – there is only one (Supreme) God – that being Jehovah.

Jesus is not speaking of himself – he again deflects any acknowledgement of himself being (ideally) Good and directs it entirely back to his Father. Again another instance to show his independence and subservience – all good things go to his Father!

This part of the text also shows that there are two distinct Entities who are capable of separate reasoning (because One is ideally good and the other not) and thus logically destroys The Trinity myth where it implies the single God of three parts!

12v26,27 Covered in Matt.15v4

12v29 The universal example I gave at the beginning “The Lord (master) of ‘the specific god’ of us (= JESUS and The New Spiritual Israel of 144000 to become Sons of God) is **One** Lord”. Thus saying “The Master of ‘the specific god’ Jesus is only One Master” thus points to The Almighty God - Jehovah. Total consistency/harmony of text and understanding.

It almost goes without saying (but for completeness I will write it out), The text could not read “The Lord (master) **of the specific God** (Yahweh) is **One** Lord”. It is just nonsense for the text to read “The Master of The Almighty God” – you just cannot have two masters – even The Bible specifically tells us that!

Thus for the sake of repeating myself - the text reads for clarity,

“The Master over (other entities, one of which happens to be the specific god of us = Jesus) is Lord (master)”.

Greek text goes as follows:-

That/because first (of) all/whole of the commandments: Hear/hearken Israel, Lord Master ‘the specific god’ (of the) us, Lord/Master one is.

My translation is exactly as the Greek Text – as demonstrated.

I have seen other examples of official Greek textual translation within other places of the NIV translation supporting this textual structure, thus giving credence to this particular interpretation.

So in conclusion it depends whether the reader wants to read the words as written or just mix them up to suit what you want them to mean (as given in many of ‘the official’ inept translations as we shall see later)

13v19 Consistent with my understanding that Jesus created all forms of life on this planet using Jehovah’s Holy Spirit.

It is assumed that all life makes up the definition of ‘The World’.

15v34 This is where the context is Jesus and “ho theos” points to Yahweh

The question could be asked:

Why would he be referring to “the specific God” again and not to His Father Almighty God in the wording (by using one of the three absolute pointers to The Almighty God)?

The normal (nominative) word “the specific God” is used here for two additional reasons.

1. Because Jesus is quoting Psalm 22 (often used at Jewish Funerals). The words of psalm 22 use the word “god” – not Yahweh (in BCE times), but ‘the specific god’, and exactly the same ‘the specific God’ that the Israelites called to when they called to their “specific God” (of Whom they claimed to represent) as I demonstrated (within the document “The Trinity – What is it”) in the Hebrew text – it is exactly the same word ??. Thus Jesus is reaffirming to His Father Jehovah (now in AD times) take me through the same mediation route but I am Your Son in a fleshly body as a human dying in the same way as all other Israelites but I am perfect and can call to You as ‘the specific God’ (of me (= Jesus) without the need of a mediator)! Thus “The specific God” of me (in context as the relative pointer based at Jesus pointing to Jehovah) since it was he (Jesus) who was calling to his Father and the only true God would have been Jehovah.”
2. Secondly, Mark the scribe knew that the word ?? was used in the Hebrew, and so translated it to be “the specific God” as written in Psalms and obviously the word Jesus called out in his intense pain on our behalf. Thus repeating again, the ?? ‘base’ word has exactly the same meaning as ó ? ?ò? , and both meaning ‘the specific god’ (of the context), which by now the reader knows to be Jesus as “the specific god” of us, mediating on our behalf.

It is a convenient place here to add the additional comments.

”Why have you forsaken me?” The Israelites obligated themselves to abide by The Mosaic Law. Since they were unable to abide by The Law of Moses, thus they came under the curse of The Law. To remove this special curse from them, Jesus must be hanged like an accursed criminal (as humans were / now – and did not realise it!). Jesus would have felt this special closeness and Jehovah’s empathy with him being removed as Yahweh moved His Holy Spirit out and away from Jesus, to allow Jesus to die more quickly than most criminals – so that his bones would not be broken to cause suffocation. The perfect sacrifice lamb must not have any broken bones (as prophesised).

Due the closeness between the two separate Entities, then Jesus would have felt Yahweh’s presence (Holy Spirit) leave him (as it moved in Mark.5v30), thus this temporary withdrawal of Yahweh would test Jesus’ integrity to the limit and so cause Jesus to cry out in the manner he did. This is covered in Gal 3v10-13 (and at the tail end of the Gospels were I discuss this).

16v19 I had to add this one! For an entity to sit at the Right Hand of The Almighty God, means the Entity has to be separate to the Almighty God in his own right! Suggests to me – two individual Entities! Especially when we are told that it is not for Jesus to choose who sits at the right of left of him – so does that equally make True Christians ultimately part of The Trinity? – Is there a ‘trinity’ word for 144003? (See “144000” in Glossary).

Luke

Of the God	Yahweh/Jehovah The God	To the God	Jehovah OR Both OR Jesus “the specific god”
1v6, 8, 19, 26, 35, 37	1v16, 78, 64	1v30, 47	1v32, 1v68
2v40	2v13, 20, 28	2v14, 38, 52	
3v2, 6			3v8
4v3, 9, 34, 41, 43	4v8, 12,		
5v1	5v25, 26		5v21
6v4, 12, 20			
7v28, 30	7v16(1), 29		7v16(2)
8v1, 10, 11, 21, 28			8v39
9v2, 11, 20, 27, 43, 60, 62			
10v9, 11	10v27		
11v20(1,2), 28, 42, 49			
12v6, 8, 9	12v21		12v20, 24, 28
13v18, 20, 28, 29	13v13		
14v15			
15v10			
16v15(2), 16		16v13	16v15(1)
17v20(1,2), 21	17v15	17v18	
18v4, 16, 17, 24,	18v2, 43(1)	18v27, 43(2)	18v7, 11, 13, 19
18v25, 29			
19v11	19v37		
20v21, 25(1), 36	20v37(1,2,3)	20v25(2),	20v38
21v31			
22v16, 18, 69, 70			
23v35, 51	23v40, 47		
24v19	24v53		

Additions:- 11v42, 18v14, 19v44, 21v5

1v32 A repeat of before (Mark.12v29). The Greek text gives us The Lord (Master) of gods. Thus correctly the translation tells us that the servant (Jesus – as spoken of in Isaiah) shall be given the throne of his ‘fleshly bodied’ predecessor king David. That is to say: Jesus shall be given the throne within the Spiritual Israel to rule over the Earth in The Millennium by The Lord (Master) of gods – being The Almighty God who has power over all (= everything in The Universe) – thus able to make (and ensure) this prophecy to occur. Thus again clearly The Almighty God giving to another entity (Jesus) the position of kingship over everything pertaining to this planet – being of his (Jesus’) creation. But most importantly not kingship of The Universe – that title only belongs to The Father = The Almighty God = Yahweh = Jehovah.

1v64 All praise and honour is directed by all Entities in “the Universe to The One = Yahweh / Jehovah God.

1v68 Clearly Yahweh (see Matt.15v4), He spoke directly to Ancient Israel through Moses and the prophets (being those special people to become part of “The Other Flock” that Jesus spoke of when speaking of the future “Small Flock” of The Gospel Age to become as a Bridegroom). However it was Jesus who came and redeemed his people (= only his people who presently know and want to be redeemed – now [being the 144000 to be resurrected as Kings/Priests] or those remaining who have learnt about Jesus and righteousness in The Millennium [after The General Resurrection]. These ones resurrected in The General Resurrection must now make a very serious ‘forever life’ or ‘forever death’ choice = no sinning forever)!

3v8 Points to Yahweh for several reasons.

1. Context of Stones (not life) even though metaphorically they represent those humans with guaranteed life (= The Other Flock + The Small Flock represented by the 24 Elders of Revelations) being future Sons of God point to Yahweh.
2. Context is Sons of Abraham thus again reference to Abraham points to Yahweh.

5v21, 25, 26 The reader will see this more clearly in later examples – this wonderful split in the text between Jehovah God and Jesus. Firstly, we see from the text that Jesus (= “the specific god” of us) is able to forgive sins (and Jesus tells us just this) from his works (preaching, healing and his ransom sacrifice), but we see that all praise in the two other words for God (verses 25,26) is directed onto The Almighty God = Jehovah.

7v16 Again the split is so obvious. In the first - All praise is directed to The Almighty God, and in the second example Jesus is “the specific god” in his Own unique right with respect to his creation = to assume responsibility for their sins

8v39 The context can be read both ways and thus point to either Jesus or Yahweh. The power of the holy spirit originated from Yahweh and was displayed via Jesus as the context. But viewed from the position of the man having the

demons banished, then he would have directly related the experience with the entity that was immediately obvious to him - being Jesus relative to the man, thus I take this incident relative to the man, but Jesus was speaking relative to himself but using Yahweh’s holy spirit thus showing the duality of the “ho theos” floating pointer.

12v20, 21, 24, 28. A repeat again of this wonderful proposition. We have verse 20 showing us: Jesus said to his creation (this man), Verse 21 we are able to see again all things are reflected to The Almighty God Jehovah (not Jesus here because if Jesus accumulated this wealth on behalf of others – it idolises Jesus, and as I have said before: All things are directed back to The Father). Verses 24, 28 show us that Jesus provides for his Creation.

16v15. Jesus is The Mediator peering into each of his creation’s heart and knows what is there to relay to his Father, knowing the things that are detestable to his Father’s sight! Also we know that one reason why Jesus went back to the heavens to be with his Father was to distribute his Father’s Holy Spirit into those humans whom Jesus liked the look of (Bridegroom fancying a future mate lets his Father know for a matchmaking process) – show promise to be a steward given talents to invest. It is then The Father (Yahweh/Jehovah) who judges the result to see if this (member of the) potential future Bride is worthy for His Son Jesus – to be resurrected as a Son of God to be with Jesus (as “The Bride of Christ” Jesus).

18v7 Can be read two ways. It is Jesus who (“on white horse” = metaphoric for “Righteous Army/{War Machine}”) dispenses Yahweh’s holy spirit on Jesus’ personal creation – either in a positive fashion (to help spread Yahweh’s Word) or in a ‘negative’ fashion when metering out Yahweh’s Wrath (time at and for 3.5 years beyond the 1st 2nd C – see Glossary) to The World – thus points to Jesus. However the context is relative to The Elect (future sons of God – ‘brothers and sisters’ to Jesus) thus points to Yahweh.

18v11, 13 Context is that they are in “The house of Yahweh” being Jesus’ Father. Thus in this position the context teaches us that “ho theos” points to “the specific God” being Yahweh.

The context could be taken as humans thus point to Jesus, but we have two individuals one is accepted by Yahweh and the other is not – thus the accepted one could be an ‘elected one’ thus again points to Yahweh – being that the other is rejected (from Yahweh’s point of view).

18v19 Covered earlier – The text saying: The only one “the specific God” (relative to the context being ‘of the Jesus’) – can only be The Almighty God Jehovah.

20v38 Covered earlier – The context is relative to The Ancient Worthies and thus points to Yahweh.

John

	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah	OR Both	OR Jesus
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”		
1v6, 12, 13, 29, 34, 1v36, 49, 51	1v1(1), 2, 18(1)				1v1(2), 18(2)
3v2(1), 3, 5, 18, 34(2), 36		3v21	3v2(2), 33, 34(1)		3v16, 17
4v10			4v24		
5v25, 42	5v18(1)	5v18(2)			
6v28, 29, 33, 45, 46, 69			6v27		
7v17					
8v40, 42(2), 47(1,2,3)	8v41		8v42(1), 54		
9v3, 16	9v33	9v24	9v29, 31		
10v35(2), 36	10v33				[10v34] [10v35(1)]
11v4(1,2), 27, 40, 52	11v22(1)			11v22(2)	
12v43					
13v3(1)	13v3(2)		13v31, 32(1,2)		
14	14v1				
16v27, 30		16v2			
17	17v3				
19v7					
20v31	20v17(1,2)				20v28
21	21v19				

Additions:- 7v17

1v1 I have satisfactorily covered this classic and often a quoted deliberate misunderstanding earlier in this document (The Trinity – What is it?). However summarising the original Greek text tells us the following: That “ho theos” was “The Word” (= The Word of Yahweh spoken here upon the Earth), but that “The Word” was not Yahweh, but only with Yahweh, where we are told elsewhere that Jesus was alongside The Father in the heavens. This statement does not

make Jesus = to Yahweh, but rather They work alongside together with the same mindset to achieve the same goals being ‘the salvation of those humans who ultimately desire to imitate Jesus’ (in their now 2 part life). Please read this website www.FutureLife.Org where all this is copiously explained.

1v18 To reinforce my proposal we are able to see together in the same chapter; distinctly two individuals by the use of text. The first word for “God” tells us correctly we have not seen The Almighty God, then the second word for “god” tells us that the only one begotten “the specific god” (son being from the Father’s bosom) has declared The Father to us. It is worth noting here – The King James Version is almost spot-on with the translation. But ‘Oh Dear’ the NIV goes and puts the ‘Trinity Spin’ on the translation. Let the reader see for themselves:-

The original Greek text (1 - reconstructed by F.H.A. Scrivener 1894 based on Texus Receptus) goes as follows:-

God no one/person (has) seen {at no time}/never the only begotten son the (one) is/being in the bosom of the Father, that one explains/declared.

The original Greek text (2 – the 21st ed. Of Eberhard Nestles Novum Testamentum Graece) goes as follows:-

God no one/person (has) seen {at no time}/never (the) only begotten “specific god” the (one) is/being in the bosom of the Father, that one explains/declared.

King James Translation (being constructed upon the source of Greek text 1):-

No man hath seen God at any time; the only begotten Son, which is the bosom of the Father, he hath declared him.

NIV Translation (perhaps being based upon Greek text 2):-

No one has ever seen God, But God the One and Only, he who is at the Father’s side, has made him known.

Hopefully the reader can see ‘the spin’ put onto the translation to suit The Trinity doctrine/myth. But also look how confusing the translation now becomes! How can God the One and Only be at His Father’s side (being another God “the One and Only also!) if they are the same entity? The translation just breaks down into an absolute farce! Go back to the original Greek (and perhaps the King James) and it becomes so clear and lines up with my translation of there being separate Entities:-

My Translation:-

No man has ever seen God (*Jehovah*), but only the begotten son*/”specific god”* (*Son - Jesus*) at the Father’s side, has made Him (*Jehovah*) known.

? * depending upon Greek source.

It is all very clear now and also an accurate and meaningful translation of the original Greek.

We are now able to understand that:-

No one has seen The Almighty God. But we have seen our only “the specific God” (in context = of us) who can mediate on our behalf = Jesus. Jesus came to us and told us all about the wonderful things of Jehovah God. Now Jesus is resurrected and back by the side of his Father in the heavens (and getting things ready for The Millennium by assisting Yahweh to select his Bride over the 2000 year Gospel Age) .

Guess what – It all makes perfect sense and is wonderfully logical!

I will have to make an apology to the reader! I am so fired up about the miss-representation of The Almighty God’s Word that I may seem rather rude now! And I may be fired up again later!

I just have to ask the reader the simple question:

‘Why must we have this Trinity myth forced onto us by very worldly Christian Leaders?’

And more over, anyone who dares to question the idea are considered as heretics and disowned!

The Trinity idea does not make intellectual sense, it is most certainly not “a correct ‘sense’ translation” and as I am showing here it does not even line up with the words for “God” in the original Greek text!

However I have demonstrated my interpretation actually lines up with the interpreted sense at beginning and the end of this First Chapter of John – and identical words used for the beginning and identical words used at the end to describe it in their correct positions. But much more evidence will yet be gathered - please read on!

3v2 Context tells us that it is Jesus, thus “the specific God” points to Yahweh.

3v16 and 17. To be honest with the reader these two verses initially seemed to be the two most difficult to explain in the whole New Testament. However, being difficult to explain does not preclude them from explanation! I must reason that The Almighty God does not make mistakes in His Word, being that every word is carefully placed in The Bible (being the original language and not the plethora of useless translations we have today). Every other position in the New Testament for the translation of the two words for “god” fit with precise accuracy within, and across The Gospels – and also the Epistles (letters) written by many different scribes, so it cannot be an error in God’s Word just in this one place. So what could it mean?

The problem is that we (including myself) are (for want of a better group of words) ‘Brain-Washed’ to the accepted and established ideas, that any other translation just seems unthinkable – but let us just think away from established ideas and put in what we have learnt so far within this document.

Traditional idea is: ‘That Almighty God so loved the world that he gave / sent his Son Jesus to be the ransom Sacrifice to save us’.

There is absolutely nothing wrong with this statement and I fully accept it being a factual statement. However it can be modified to be more in line with the original Greek and still be perfectly valid and perhaps give us more

understanding about Jesus, especially in view of what we are now learning directly from God’s Word at this very low level.

Best thing when we are in this situation is go very directly to the original Greek word.

Greek text goes as follows (3v16 and 17):-

(v16) so For loved ‘the specific god’ the world so as the son (of the) Him (*Yahweh*) the only begotten (he) gave/bestowed that everyone the believing/committing into (the) him (*Jesus*) not perish but have life eternal.

(v17) not For commissioned/dispatched ‘the specific god’ the son (of the) him into the world that he judge the world but that (may) be saved the world through (of the) him.

The verse 18 goes onto to say:

Jesus is the only begotten son of The [One] God Almighty (?? u ??? u)

How could this be translated differently to the standard understanding?

For ‘the specific god’ (= *Jesus because the context points to his creation = us = Life = The World*) so loved this world, so His (*Yahweh*) as the only begotten son (= *Jesus again as The Son*) he gave/bestowed himself (= *Jesus*) that everyone believing (= *imitating what you know*) in him (= *Jesus*) may not perish but may have eternal life. For His (*Yahweh*) son ‘the specific god’ (= *Jesus again context = the world of us*) was not commissioned into the world that he (*Jesus*) might judge the world, but that the world might be saved through him (= *Jesus’ being The Ransom Sacrifice*).

What does this add or take away from the earlier original and universally accepted interpretation?

‘The specific god’ Jesus (now after his resurrection becomes the only begotten son of Yahweh) **wants** to redeem HIS CREATION **voluntarily**, and **OFFERS himself** (rather than be requested of by Jehovah God), to save his (= Jesus’) creation. The translation offers something more positive about Jesus than the generally accepted passive translation. It also tells us (by implication) Jesus was not sent by anyone (confers with new idea) to judge but to save. The text in the very next verse (18) then goes on to reaffirm that Jesus is the only begotten son of The Almighty God – hence now establishing the link spoken of earlier between the positions of the two entities.

I am bound to say it, but I genuinely believe that this offers a much clearer insight into the wonderful actions of our saviour Jesus Christ and the closeness and empathy that he has with us (as his creation). It shows us that he offered himself for us rather than as the accepted idea that Almighty God (Jehovah) was ‘forcing’ Jesus to go to earth and sacrifice himself because it was Jehovah who loved the world, rather than, it was Jesus loving us and offering himself before he was asked to (because he was of the same mind as his Father to redeem Jesus’ creation)! Though in other parts of the Bible it does suggest it was a ‘joint’ decision, in as much that it says The Almighty God sent Jesus – but then again it does not detract from the understanding given here but just adds more information (about Jesus’ wonderful character) than does the more universally accepted very passive interpretation.

Further, this new understanding removes all the contradictions about “judging” where under the faulty traditional worldly Christian Doctrine we have Yahweh and Jesus either judging or not judging depending upon context. However this website carefully explains that it is Yahweh who judges Christians in the Special/Greater judgement (during a human’s 1st part of their life) to become Sons of God but it is Jesus who judges in the standard judgement all humans in the 2nd part of their life to become his sons (of Jesus – being ‘the children of “The Special Marriage”’).

3v33, 34 The context here is specifically about “The One” (= Jesus) who has come to speak on behalf of his Father. Thus “the specific God” is relative to Jesus and thus points directly to Yahweh.

4v24 Context is specifically those humans who are trying to imitate Jesus and not The World generally. Thus points directly to Yahweh.

6v27 The context here is specifically about ‘The Food given by The Son of Man’ (= The Word of God given by Jesus) who has come to speak on behalf of his Father. Thus “the specific God” is relative to Jesus and thus points directly to Yahweh.

8v40 Jesus correctly telling the Jews he has heard the word from his Father (clearly because he was/is ‘The Word’ and hence obviously ‘our mediator’).

8v41 The Jews are trying to tell Jesus that they are part of The God Jehovah and use the term ??? ? to establish the point.

It must be understood that Abraham is a unique individual inasmuch his name means “The Loving Father” and is always an allegory of The Almighty God. Especially that he only had one son of The Family (being that Sarah his wife was his half sister) begets Isaac thus telling us in prophecy the same Joseph (But really Yahweh)/Mary begets Jesus! Thus Isaac begets Jacob to be renamed Israel (by Yahweh) and Jesus begets The New Spiritual Israel (hence the renaming of Jacob to the new “Israel” (= “perseverance” – see Glossary) – elected by Yahweh to become Sons of God) all in prophesy – it is utterly stupendous and completely missed by everyone!

8v42(1) Jesus is saying if “the specific God” (= Yahweh) being that the context is Abraham as “The Loving Father” then you would love me (being Jesus as our creator and True Ambassador of his Father – but rejected by the Jews - just like Adam in the beginning – thus not his father).

8v42(2) Jesus now says he (as an individual) comes commissioned from The Almighty God.

8v47 All three suggest ultimately all things belong to The Almighty God (through Jesus as mediator).

8v54 Again this could be difficult to understand because the “of you” could (1) refer to The World generally and thus “the specific god” points to Jesus; or (2) the context is about Jesus and thus points to Yahweh.

The original Greek text (v54-v55, 1st part) goes as follows:-

(v54) Answered Jesus if I glorified myself the glory of me nothing is(,) (it) is the father/forebear (of) me the (One) glorifying me whom you state that/because “the specific god” of you is, (v55) also not (you have) known him I and know him.

My proposed translation:-

Jesus answered, my glory is nothing if I glorified myself, it is my Father who glorifies me that you state is your “specific God”, and you have not known him, but I know him.

What do we see from this?

We can reason that the context is about Jesus pointing to Yahweh, being that it is Jesus who knows The Father being The Specific God and not what the Pharisees falsely claim – being that their god is the god of the present world being Satan.

9v29 Covered in Matt.15v4, thus points to Yahweh.

9v31 A very interesting quotation – and it is from a human stating the obvious that people today seem to ignore (perhaps just like the Pharisees during this formally blind person’s day)!

What is it?

The context speaks of Jesus being a person who listens to Yahweh and then repeats it verbatim both in words and action as we read here when Jesus cured this man’s blindness. This man states that Jesus must be sinless because Jesus is able to use the power of “The Specific God” to cure this man’s blindness. Thus points to Yahweh.

So what is my other point?

Look at the text (the original Greek)!

“we know And that sinful ones “the specific god” (= *Yahweh*) not hears ...”

Why does Yahweh not hear them?

Because they **are** sinful and thus we conclude that it is not Yahweh Who forgives sins, but rather it is Jesus who forgives the sins of humans by covering them in the midst of Yahweh – so that Yahweh chooses not to see the sin, because of Jesus’ covering sacrifice of atonement. Those humans who struggle to precisely imitate Jesus (in duress) **are** loved by Yahweh Who chooses not to see the sinful works only because the motivation of those imitating Jesus **is** to imitate Jesus, thus the undesirable works are accidents (and **not premeditated**) which thus can be ignored.

11v22 The request is directed through to The Almighty God as the final Recipient (of the request) as the first God, but the second being “the specific god” is Jesus who being close to us gives to his creation, thus points to Jesus.

The NIV as usual, looses this in its sloppy translation, by only keeping the one god, but the ‘good old’ King James translation is faithful with the two ‘gods’ being placed within the verse in the appropriate positions.

11v52 This reinforces my understanding of the 144000 only being this number (see another of my commentaries on this subject) and not many millions more (as per the standard false worldly Christian teaching). The text tells us of the scattered children of the God Almighty from around the world. Clearly these are few in number, and are Jehovah’s children thus to be Sons of God = Yahweh (and to become the Bride of Christ).

13v31, 32. This looks like a Trinity supporting scripture here as translated by the NIV to be:-

When he (Judas) was gone, Jesus said “Now is the Son of man glorified and God is glorified in him. If God is glorified in him, God will glorify the Son in himself, and will glorify him at once.

Except that the Greek text does **not** exactly say this! Let us go back to the original Greek text again!

The original Greek text goes as follows:-

when Then he went out states the Jesus now was glorified the son of the of man(kind) also “the specific god” was glorified in him If “the specific god” was glorified in (to) him also “the specific god” will glorify (the) him in himself also immediately will glorify (the) him.

My proposed translation:-

Then when he (*Judas Iscariot – the betrayer*) was gone, Jesus said: Now was the Son of Man (= *Jesus*) glorified (= *to be proved righteous*), and in him (= *Jesus’ work*) was glorified (= *fulfilled the first part of Yahweh’s Omnificent Plan*) “the specific God” (= *Yahweh – context is Jesus*). If “the specific God” (= *Yahweh – context is Jesus*) was glorified in him (= *Jesus fulfilling Yahweh’s Desire*), also “the specific god” (= *Yahweh – context is Jesus*) (*working the holy spirit*) in him (= *Jesus*), He (= *Yahweh – context is Jesus*) will be glorified (*demonstrating Yahweh’s holy spirit being used as the power of resurrection from an earthly human personality being placed back into a heavenly/celestial/spiritual body*) and now (= *the process now set in motion to*) glorify him (= *Jesus through murder and subsequent resurrection 3 days later as The First/Only Begotten Son of God = The First of The First-Fruit*).

So why should this be a more accurate translation than the official translation apart from the spelling of the words and their direct meaning?

Let us examine the logic of what Jesus is saying:

Jesus is telling his disciples (and us), he is now soon to leave his fleshly body at his murder in 12 hours or so time. Then in three days time he shall be resurrected into his heavenly/celestial/spiritual body (to return to his prior state - before his fleshly frame conception). Jesus thus tells us, Judas is going out now to make this

process occur (Jesus ‘cannot’ [desires not to] stop it), so Jesus both will be glorified as the first/only begotten Son in the heavens (taking on his role as mediator and King over this Earth), and glorified by his immanent death when all will view him (The example of the Centurion saying “This was the Son of God” [The Almighty]).

We have seen all examples of Jesus being at the right hand of God (always with respect to everything pertaining to this planet, and Jesus speaking of his Father being separate (except in the context of being of ‘one mind’ just as with the disciples – covered elsewhere).

So why should Jesus want to suggest now that he was going to be some integral part of his Father?

It would be more logical to say to his disciples about ‘the mystery of The Bible’ explained (see “Mystery” in Glossary) that he would have told them about, that he would now leave his fleshly body at death and be resurrected into his former spiritual body as “the specific god” of us (in context of The World = all life generally, but not those specific humans that The Father has already selected/elected from the age of time to become “sons of God”).

14v1 We see the separateness that I explained of in 13v31, 32 within the following text when Jesus says:

Let not your heart be troubled. Trust in ‘The God Almighty’; trust in me as well!

Clearly two separate entities – else why did Jesus put it like this (compare with 13v31, 32) unless he was trying to make this clear (as I have postulated). The text spelling tells us this – two separate individuals in both places. Surely the reader can see how Jehovah is trying to make this clear to us by spelling it out in these different places!

20v28 Fully explained in my Document “The Trinity – What is it”. But summarising – Thomas in his weakened state thinking like The World and thus characteristically representing The World collapsed before the resurrected Jesus (now as “the specific god” of us = JCg see Glossary) said:

“The Lord of me also ‘the specific god’ of me!”

This statement does not make Jesus The Almighty God of The Universe – and it is utterly foolhardy to suggest it – but merely what The Bible specifically tells us elsewhere: Jesus relative to us after his resurrection is The Only Begotten Son of Yahweh (Jesus being our creator and thus “the specific god” of us), which does not make him Yahweh!

Acts

Of the God	Yahweh/Jehovah The God	To the God	Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus “the specific god”
1v3			
2v11, 22(1), 23, 33	2v47		2v17, 22(2), 2v30, 32, 36, 39
3	3v8, 9		3v13(1,2), 3v18, 21, 22, 25, 26
4v19(1,2), 31	4v21, 24		4v10
5v39(1,2)		5v4, 29	5v30, 32,
6v2, 7	6v11		
[7v43], 46, 55(1,2), 56		7v20	7v2, 6, 7, 9, 17, 7v25, 32(1,2), 35
7			7v37, 42, 45
8v10, 12, 14, 20, 21			
9v20			
10v2(1), 3, 4, 31	10v2(2), 22, 46		
10v33(1,2*), 41, 42, 46			10v38(1,2), 40
11v1, 23,	11v17(2), 18(1)		11v17(1)
[12v22]	12v5	12v23	
13v5, 7	13v16, 26		13v17, 21, 23, 13v30, 33, 37
13v36, 43, 44, 46			14v27
14v22, 26	14v15		15v4, 7, 8, 12, 14
15	15v10, 19		
16v17, 32	16v14, 25	16v34	
17v13, 29	17v27		
18v11, 21, 26	18v13		17v24, 30
19v8,			19v11
20v24, 27, 28			
21	21v20		21v19
22v3			22v14
23v4		23v1	23v3
24	24v15, 16	24v14	

26v6, 22	26v18, 20	26v29	26v8
27v23		27v25, 35	27v24
[28v6], 23, 28, 31		28v15	

Additions:- 2v5, 3v19, 6v8, 7v4, 7v5, 7v44, **45WW**, 46, 12v24, 13v20, 44, 16v10, 20v32
 *Note: Some sources at 10v33(2) replaced 2nd “our God” with “our Lord”.

2v17 Quoting The Old Testament – see Matt.15v4. Note last days infers more than one day – that I propose = **Two** days. So what? We are in ‘prophesy mode’ here and thus one day = 1000 years. Thus the prophecy in The Old Testament is speaking of The Gospel Age initiated by Jesus’ ministry period. Where there shall be The Apostles doing many marvellous things purely in The Cause of accurately spreading The Word of God to The World. It is not to be used to validate any of the ‘Charismatic’ hype that I understand from careful analysis of The Bible is actually working against Yahweh’s Desire.

2v22 Context is of Jesus thus “the specific God” points to Yahweh.

2v24 The context can be read two ways.

1. The reference being The World, being the statement is made: Jesus was given up to The World being all humans, and thus being perfect at his murder loosed the pangs of Death for all humans (being The Curse of Satan upon Jesus’ creation – that Jesus has now redeemed) thus points to Jesus.
2. The reference being Jesus and thus points to Yahweh causing the raising of Jesus and thus loosed the pangs of Death on Jesus. Being how it is commonly understood.

However being the maverick that I am, then I prefer option (1) because it gives a greater sense of (principled) love that Jesus has for his personal creation, but I discuss all this section in great detail located within the document “The Trinity – What is it?”

2v30 Context as per Matt.15v4 thus “the specific God” points to Yahweh making a promise to king David.

2v32 Context is of Jesus thus “the specific God” points to Yahweh.

2v36 Again notice how the ‘ho theos’ can float and thus here points to Yahweh because the context is of Jesus.

The original Greek text goes as follows:-

assuredly Therefore let know all (the) house of Israel that/because also Lord also Christ him made “the specific god” this the Jesus whom you crucified.

My proposed translation:-

Therefore for certainty, let all the house (= family) of Israel know that both Lord and Christ him (*Jesus*), made “the specific God” (*context of Jesus thus points to Yahweh/Jehovah*), whom you crucified.

=

Therefore for certainty, let all the house (= family) of Israel know that “the specific God” (*Yahweh*) made him (*Jesus*) both Lord and Christ, whom you crucified.

Thus when I show the official Christian translation below, the reader will be able to see how it all lines up:-

Therefore let all Israel be assured of this: God has made this Jesus, whom you crucified, both Lord and Christ.

3v13 Covered in Matt.15v4.

3v15 I have explained the phraseology here in a similar place much earlier within this document (The Trinity – What is it?) where the section Acts 2v22 – 24 was greatly amplified to explain it in much detail, thus no need to go through it again (but note there is a different structure at 13v29 – however traditional scholars to just lump these differences together and lose the subtle inner meaning to force in The Trinity myth):

The Original Greek:

the And author of the life (= *Jesus who created “The World” = Greek to mean “The Adornment/Decoration” = “All Life” on this planet*) you (= *the religious leaders*) killed whom “the specific god” awakened/roused out from (the) dead (*ones = plural = many*) of which we (= *the apostles*) witness/martyr (= ‘*Living The Evidence*’ by imitating *Jesus in a hostile world*) are.

But insofar the text could be translated out of the Greek to read:-

The author of life (= *Jesus = The Word see John 1v1*) whom you killed, awakened/roused “the specific god” from the dead of which we are witnesses (*being The Living Evidence of imitating Jesus against all Worldly hostility*).

This is different to the official Christian teaching, but I am trying to provoke reasoning beyond what is generally accepted – though I accept the context could be taken as being Jesus (as The Author of life on this planet) so the pointer is directed to Yahweh.

However the context could also be taken as being awakened/roused from the dead ones/people so pointing to Jesus as being awakened/roused **as** “the specific god” of us (the dead ones now to have a future in Christ Jesus).

Why does my translation appear to be more accurate (and closer to the original Greek)?

The answer lies within the reasoning behind it. We know all power resides with Yahweh/Jehovah God. Yahweh will ensure that He shall awaken/rouse Jesus out from the dead people – so why say it again? But what **is** new to future listeners hearing Paul (which is precisely the reason why he is saying it) and to readers now, is that **This Jesus whom we all know about was changed from a human at death to be raised as The First Fruit (as only begotten from flesh to heavenly body) of more to follow (144000), and now the Spiritual God (of us only) to lead his people and**

to take a more exulted place in the heavens than his former position. And we apostles become The Living Evidence of this – because we too are prepared to face The Hostile World for The Expectation of The Same. Thus Jesus now, rather than just the former Creator – but now The Creator who through personal struggle redeemed his creation (being those humans who ultimately desire to imitate Jesus before Yahweh’s Edict on sin).

Thus we reason that from word structure and of the context

3v18, 21, 22, 25, 26 The references are explained in Matt.15v4 and references are relative in context to the prophets thus “ho theos” points directly to Yahweh.

4v10 Follows exactly the same as 3v15. All things are done through The Name of Jesus Christ and the power comes from Jehovah God. Just as witnesses, the Apostles saw the resurrected Jesus, and Paul (in vision), likewise in the name of this risen god Jesus (through him - the power of the holy spirit flows from Almighty God Jehovah) – this Jesus who is now alive as “the specific god” representing “the adornment” (= *life*) of this planet, in the heavens sitting at the right hand of Jehovah God, then heal this crippled man (as you did when you were alive in a fleshly body during your 3.5 years ministry). Thus the dual nature of “ho theos” and why it is specifically used in this context, though I would lean closer to it being Jesus risen as “the specific god” = JCG (see Glossary).

Original Greek:

known Let it be all to the people (of) Israel that/because in to the name of Jesus Christ of the Nazarene who you crucified who “the specific god” awakened/roused out from the dead (ones) in to this(,) this one stands before you whole/healthfully (= *consciously alive, but in a heavenly/celestial/spiritual body*).

5v30, 31, 32 Again the ‘official’ worldly translation is spun out differently from the original Greek words.

The original Greek text goes as follows:-

(v30) “The specific God” of the fathers/forebears of us awakened/roused Jesus, whom you {violently laid hands upon}/killed hanging (him) upon (of a) tree (v31) this one “the specific god” a ruler also a saviour exulted to the right (of the) him to give repentance to the Israel also remission/forgiveness (of the) sins. (v32) Also we are (of the) him witnesses/martyrs (= *The Living Evidence by imitating Jesus*) of the words (of) these also the spirit and the holy which gave/bestowed “the specific god” to the (ones/people) obeying him.

My proposed translation:-

The God (*Jehovah*) of our fathers/forebears awakened/roused Jesus (*from the dead*), whom you killed by hanging on a tree. This person (*Jesus*) (*is*) “the specific god”, a ruler and a saviour (*is*) exulted to the right (*hand*) of him (*Jehovah*) to give repentance to “Israel” (= *in prophecy =those humans [“persisting”] who know about Yahweh’s Desire*) and forgiveness of sins. Also we are martyrs (*having died to The World [inducements for indulgence] by imitating Jesus’ lifestyle/personality*) of the words (*following God’s Word*), holy spirit given/bestowed (= *originating from*) the God (*context is those humans obeying Jehovah = future sons of God thus points to Jehovah*) obeying Him.

The official worldly Christian Translation (NIV):-

The God of our fathers raised Jesus from the dead – whom you had killed by hanging him on a tree. God exulted him to his own right hand as Prince and Saviour that he might give repentance and forgiveness of sins to Israel.

I could expand this in so many places being “The Trinity” for one, and “The 144000” for another. But let us stay with the Trinity reasoning.

Verse 30 I have included for context and to show the reader the logic within my reasoning of the earlier explained verses, namely Jehovah God is The Source of the power to awaken/rouse Jesus (not declared earlier as a lead into those verses of chapters 2 and 3 – but is now declared in this context) thus leading into verse 31 to fully endorse my earlier reasoning (within chapter 2 and 3). And I agree with the official Christian translation of v30 – supports everything that I have said previously (only because it is an accurate translation).

But look at v31 and ‘the spin’ the official worldly Christian translation puts on it!

The words are all misplaced (and it is absolutely nothing to do with the natural original Greek order of words noun, verb, pronoun, etc), but compare with my suggested translation and see how that sits against The Original Greek!

What would the reader concur to be the most accurate rendering?

And, can the reader see the confusing picture this generates when put into context with all the other mistranslations within inept bible translations to bolster some credence to support this Trinity myth (and all those loose ends where the scriptures just do not quite ‘add-up’)!

Then v32 has the context of those humans obeying Jehovah (= apostles = considered righteous by Yahweh = Sons of God) and so the pointer naturally points to Jehovah.

Chapter (7). This chapter mirrors what I established in Matt.15v4.

I would suggest the reader takes two coloured high-lighter pens and just mark up the positions of “god” in the text, One colour for Jehovah and the other for Jesus speaking on behalf of his Father as given in my guide above. The word ‘God’ has erroneously been added in several places in the NIV – do not mark those – else you shall be deceived!

In 7v40 notice the textual separation from ‘Jesus as “the specific god” to these ‘false gods’ and the word for them being used as ‘top gods’ (with no recognition of Jehovah being the sovereign God). Also 7v43 **The specific** and unique (false) god of Rompha was given the word for the unique god.

Now read through the text, always bearing in mind the responsibilities and particular sovereignty of the two Individuals. Jesus is The Mediator to his personal creation, and performs the actions as an interface between us and Yahweh. But all things are referred back to Jehovah to demonstrate His ultimate sovereignty and leadership of this Universe.

The text now jumps out at you – it is not flat and everything makes perfect sense!

Chapter (10). I suggest to the reader repeat as per chapter (7) with coloured pens. Again the text jumps out at you! Comments 10v15 onwards – Jesus created all life (being “the adornment”) on this planet – thus the reference is to Jesus as creator god. Verse 40 is a repeat of the way Paul writes his words (repeat of 2v22, and later).

The original Greek:-

This one/person “the specific god” (*of us – being the whole point of the death/resurrection*) awakened/roused to the third day also gave/bestowed him (= *Jesus*) visible to become.

Which can be equally well translated as:-

This person “the specific god” (*of us = Jesus*) awakened/roused on the third day and made him (= *Jesus himself for our benefit*) to become visible.

I am fully aware classical Greek scholars would state: You must translate in the following manner (but the question must be: What drove this interpretation? – Did it occur at 325.CE? Being, was it The Language or The Religion!):-

The specific God (*Jehovah*) awakened/roused this person (*Jesus*) on the third day also (*Jehovah*) made him (*Jesus*) become visible.

Which would concord with my reasoning for the context being “the person” = Jesus thus “ho theos” points to Jehovah. However the alternative understanding is plausible – and why should we accept what they (Greek ‘scholars’ of the last few hundred years) state is ‘The Correct’ understanding – for they were not around then (some 2000 years ago) to understand precisely how the structure should be taken – look at today in the English language how it changes in structure over time. We know during the usage from the period of 400BCE to 0CE how the writing significantly changed – that is on record (spaces and punctuation)!

11v9 The context is relative to The World being cleansed – thus “ho theos” points to Jesus now as JCg in the heavens fulfilling Yahweh’s Desire and thus instructing the Apostles what to do next to accurately spread The Word of Yahweh.

11v17 The two different “gods” may be difficult to understand within this verse. Speaking after the outpouring of the holy spirit originating from Jehovah God upon the Apostles, how could Peter restrain the Holy Spirit from being given to Cornelius after Baptism – How could he restrain Almighty God from fulfilling His (Yahweh’s) Desire to a Gentile? To answer it you must put yourself into the position of the writer (Luke) for Acts. How do you convey to the listener / reader the concept:-

That Jesus Christ was a fleshly human on earth (that is why he was able to die – but because he was perfectly righteous before Yahweh’s Edict on Sin, so his death became “asleep”) and the new concept that he is now in the heavens within a spiritual body communicating to his creation about humans knowing him (via what they saw and know of Jesus while human) and thus learning to believe on him?

These are two halves of the same person – different only in time and type of body.

A pause is perhaps required here to mull over this conundrum!

The easiest way is precisely how it is said in the original Greek and how it is shown in my proposed translation:-

The original Greek text goes as follows:-

If therefore the equal gift gave them “the specific god” as also to us having believed/committed/imitated upon the lord Jesus Christ, I (and) who was able to hinder/prevent The God?

My proposed translation:-

If therefore “the specific god” (*Jesus*) was also able to give the same/equal gift (*Holy Spirit*) to us, having committed on the Lord Jesus Christ, Who am I able to hinder The God (*Jehovah’s Desire to spread His Word*)?

Note: It is always Jesus Christ in the Heavens who is on ‘the look-out’ for a human that shows promise as a possible faithful steward to take upon talents – Jesus as “The Master” of his responsibility (= all life on this planet). That is why he told us he must leave this earth – so that the holy spirit may come – because it is he (Jesus) who dispenses it! The Talents come directly from Yahweh but handed out to us via Jesus (as The Master – of us = his creation – as he deems appropriate). It is Yahweh Who then makes The Decision in “The Special/Greater Judgement” whether this individual is worthy enough from the investment made with these dispensed (*by Jesus*) talents to become one of His sons (= *The Bride of Christ*) at the end of their 1st part of their life.

This now makes sense of Acts 1v4-8, Jesus (in a spiritual body made visible) having to leave this Earth, and the Holy Spirit to be coming down as a power to work within the Baptised True Christians to truthfully spread God’s Word to The World. You can only become baptised “having believed on the Lord Jesus Christ” and wish to carry this further through works (being the investing part of the given talents), by using God’s power the Holy Spirit. There is no logical sense in becoming baptised to receive the Holy Spirit if then you sit down and perform no works as per the one talent man (and Jesus now our “specific god” – as mediator, can read our hearts and so know who to give the Holy Spirit to). See the various examples given in Jesus’ parables representing the yield from an individual’ works in “the ground giving its yield in The Sower” and “Servants given Talents to use”.

Thus we are able to see it is through the works of Jesus (preaching and Ransom Sacrifice) that he now as “the specific god” in the heavens is able to pass on to his creation (to those who deserve it) the Holy Spirit from his Father Jehovah

God. How can Peter stop The Father from issuing the holy spirit out to those that Jesus (as mediator) considers as needing it to effectively and accurately spread the True Word of the Father! The whole verse makes perfect sense! Thus we see the dual nature of “ho theos” and why it is used here in this specific context – being that the holy spirit originates with Yahweh, dispensed by Jesus upon Jesus’ creation – us humans – only to be used to fulfil Yahweh’s Desire – else it shall be removed - because we are told Yahweh cannot work within a two minded person – see James.1v5-8.

11v18 It is absolutely marvellous to see The Greek wording and structure to clearly show the two different Entities. Where we have The God (= Yahweh) (absolute pointer) to Whom all praise is directed, and then stating what “the specific god” of The World (= Jesus) (relative pointer) did to give repentance unto life – being the removal of The Curse of Satan over humanity.

12v22 We have Herod promoting himself before the people as “The God” to resolve their disagreements, so the people declared him to be “Of The God” being The Almighty come down to them (thus the word used - because the crowd clearly having no understanding of ho theos in relation to themselves). Notice how the translators have missed the obvious! They spin the translation by stating the crowd shouting out “This is the voice of a god, not of a man”, However the original Greek tells us that they considered him equal to Almighty God hence the use of “Of The God” rather than “the specific god” which would have been used if “a god” was being inferred.

Chapter (13). Action with the coloured pens is worthwhile. Note again the possible problems with the traditional translation in 13v30, 37 – I just go through it once more.

It can be read as:

“And “the specific God” awakened/roused him from the dead (*plural*)” **or**

“And him awakened/roused (as) “the specific god” (*of us – ‘being dead’*) from the dead (*plural*)”.

So the reader should ask the question:

What is the next course of action when the interpretation of the translation is possibly split two ways?

The answer is: Always go elsewhere to collect more information and to then see which translation fits what we know about The Bible and the picture it paints to us - the readers (see Acts.26v8).

But note as the original Greek sentence structure is different to that at Acts.2v22-24 and 3v15 then the context of this position for “ho theos” could most certainly point to Yahweh/Jehovah.

14v26, 27 Nice here to see the difference between the two “Gods”. In v26 we see the grace (meaning gifts/favours) comes from The Almighty God to be shared with all entities in the Universe. But in v27 we see the text showing us that while Jesus is now “the specific god” in the heavens dispensing his Father’s holy spirit to ensure that these apostles were successful in spreading The Word of God (having replaced Jesus’ ministry) to The World of mankind as The Master giving talents to his faithful slaves but ultimately “ho theos” points to Yahweh/Jehovah as The Source, but remembering that Jesus is The intercessor/Mediator.

See note (2) just before the start of Matthew where I carefully differentiate between the positions to understand “ho theos” in this context.

Now we can see how The Bible text comes alive – but sadly all this is lost with current inept bible translations, just because of 1800 years of misunderstanding by worldly Christian theologians duped in trinity worldly mythology!

15v4, 7, 8, 12, 14 We see Jesus is back channelling Almighty God’s holy spirit power through himself to the Apostles again in this very personal way as explained in 14v27.

17v24, 30 very interesting chapter. The Greek uses the word “kosmos” Strongs = 2889 to mean “the order” of “The Adornment” being “The Decoration” which means “All Life” on this planet that adorns/decorates with order on an otherwise barren rocky world – that Yahweh originally gave to Jesus to adorn/decorate with life. We are also told by the absolute words for theos that ultimately True Christians are to become sons of God (not sons of Jesus – but his bride). Then in the same verse we are told that ‘The God Head’ (**NOT** to be inferred as The Trinity – for there is no justification for this assertion – especially as holy spirit is not mentioned anywhere!) But rather it could be inferred that it is ultimately Yahweh, Jesus and The Apostles in context.

Then we are told “the specific god” ignores times of ignorance commanding men to repent (future tense) pointing to The World and not The Apostles (who have already repented). Thus this is of a future time where it is Jesus as “the specific god” of us is searching out people to become his stewards over his world, and when 144000 occurs then The Millennium will begin where The Resurrected World shall be called/cajoled to repent by Jesus and his proven stewards. All being specifically what The Bible teaches us here and elsewhere – being what this website www.FutureLife.Org teaches – but sadly **not** taught by those worldly religious leaders who claim in error to represent Yahweh and Jesus!

19v11 We see Jesus is back channelling Almighty God’s Holy Spirit power through himself to the Apostles again in this very personal way as explained in 14v27.

21v19 As per Acts.14v27.

22v14 As per Matt.15v4.

23v3 This is an unusual situation to put in context. It can be assumed that this priest was utterly deviant to Yahweh’s Desire and thus part of The World and so “ho theos” would point to Jesus (as “the specific god” of The World).

However it **is** because he was a self-claimed representative to Yahweh (just like so many worldly Christian Leaders are today) but was/(are) deviant to Yahweh Desire that they do not become accountable to Jesus (in The Millennium) but rather become accountable directly to The One they claim to represent (in “hypocrisy” as a “Charlatan” – see Glossary). **26v8** I referred to this verse earlier in the document. We are able to see the text telling us that Jesus is able to raise dead people in the context that Yahweh is given freedom now to operate without contravening His Edict on Sin to give all people the ability to RESET their synapse construction within a perfected body. The Trinitarians would argue – Thus this shows us that the proposed “god” (4) in Acts 2v24, must be God raising Jesus and not as I eluded it to be Jesus as “the specific god” awakened/roused (rising) from the dead!

How strong would this argument be?

Well to answer it – We must go back to the original Greek text. Moreover I wish to show the original Greek Text structure here on this document, because it involves the very position of the words involved.

Acts 2v24

O? ? ?e?? ???st?se? ??sa? t?? ?d??a? t?? ?a??t??

Whom the [specific] god resurrected loosening the pangs of the death

Acts 26v8

? ? ? ?e?? ?e????? ??e??e?

If the [specific] god dead persons awakened

The point I wish to make is that in two ‘almost identical’ situations (penned by the same scribe, Luke – and Author, Jehovah) one includes the subject (dead persons) to be awakened/roused (Acts26v8) between “**god**” and “**awakened/roused**”, but in the first (Acts4v24) the only subject is “the specific god” himself. Thus I maintain the resurrection in Acts 2v24 refers to “the specific god” being awakened/roused, rather than Jehovah awakening/rousing Jesus (because it being an automatic assumption by the scribe that the reader would automatically realise that all power to do these things comes from Jehovah – it is quoted all throughout the Gospels by Jesus) and Jehovah was perfectly prepared to allow the ‘ambiguity’ there to show mankind’s faulty understanding to encourage people to search for The Absolute Truth over the 2000 years which ultimately will be demonstrated where ‘understanding is given in due time’! So here we are being told that it is Jesus’ “Expectation” of “The Inheritance” in “The Millennium being the metaphoric “7th Day Sabbath” gave him the fortitude to ensure that he would succeed and shatter the 1st Death to make it “Asleep” as a demonstration that through him being “resurrected has loosened the pangs of the death”.

Notice how “The Death/Slain” is used as the form of the 1st Death (to represent “Asleep”) but The Bible also uses the terms “Destroyed/Lost/Perish/Annihilation” to mean The 2nd Death – which **is** the term used by all the writers to convey The Pharisees’ extreme hate of Jesus when they wanted to kill him (see “Dead/Death” in Glossary).

So going back to this, if the official interpretation was correct in assuming that the text was trying to tell us that it was Jehovah resurrecting Jesus, rather than Jesus himself being resurrected, then I would expect the text at Acts 2v24 to read:-

O? ? ?e?? t? ???st?? ???s??? ???st?se? ??sa?

Whom the [specific] God (*Yahweh*) of the Christ Jesus resurrected loosening

Where the **? ?e??** would be pointing to Yahweh because the context is speaking of Jesus Christ

or (but less likely) **? ?e??** would be replaced by **t?? ?eo?** to give us this:-

O? t?? ?eo? t? ???st?? ???s??? ???st?se? ??sa?

Whom **The** God (*Yahweh*) of the Christ Jesus resurrected loosening

Thus we are able to see from this analytical reasoning and deduction that:-

1. As stated in Acts 26v8 Jesus **is** able to resurrect dead people (Jehovah’s power given to Jesus over his (*being Jesus*)’ creation because Yahweh does not desire to usurp/undermine Jesus’ rightful position over Jesus’ creation before all other entities. Thus Yahweh is giving due respect to Jesus’ position in hierarchy even though Jesus is still below Yahweh in status – evidently shows The Righteous Nature of Yahweh/Jehovah). This was demonstrated by Jesus in The Gospels, when Jesus called to Yahweh over Lazarus as one of several examples quoted.
2. From the Greek text given in 2v24 it is referring to **Jesus awakening/rousing as ‘the specific god’ in a spiritual body** (*as the subject matter of the text*) and something very special for the readers, rather than just stating the obvious – being that Jehovah God raised Jesus.
3. See also the original Greek word sentence structure in Romans 8v11 and 1Cor.15v29 to support my reasoning of (2) above!

27v24 Exactly the same explanation as we read in 14v27. We see Jesus “the specific god” of us in the heavens (as the intercessor/mediator) is prepared within this human context to protect the sailors lives. All other references near here are naturally referred back to Yahweh/Jehovah being The Almighty God – as The Sovereign Ruler of The Universe.

Romans

Of the God	Yahweh/Jehovah The God	To the God	Jehovah OR Both OR Jesus “the specific god”
1v1, 4, 7(1,2), 10, 16, 17 1v18, 19(1), 23, 25, 32	1v21(1,2), 28(1)	1v8	1v9, 1v19(2), 24, 26, 28(2)
2v2, 3, 4, 5, 24, 29	2v23	2v11, 13, 17	2v16
3v2, 3, 5(1), 7, 18 3v21, 22, 23, 26	3v11	3v19	3v4, 3v5(2) 3v6,25, 29, 30
4v17, 20(1)	4v2	4v3, 20(2)	4v6
5v2, 5, 15	5v1	5v10, 11	5v8,
6v23		6v10, 11, 13, 17, 22	
7v22, 25(2)		7v4, 25(1)	
8v7(2), 9, 14(1,2), 16, 17 8v19, 21, 33(1), 34, 39	8v7(1), 27 8v28(1),	8v8	8v31 8v28(2*) 8v3, 33(2)
9v6, 8, 11, 16, 26		9v14, 20	9v5, 22
10v2, 3(1,2)	10v1		10v9
11v22(1,2), 29, 33		11v2(2), 30	11v1, 2(1), 8, 21, 23, 32
12v1(1), 2		12v1(2)	12v3
13v1(1,2), 2, 4(1,2), 6		14v6(1,2), 11, 12, 18	14v3
14v10, 17, 20, 22			15v5, 13, 33
15v6, 7, 8, 15, 16, 32	15v9, 17, 30		
16v26		16v27	16v20

Additions:- 1v6, 4v5, 4v21, 5v16, 17, 8v28(2),29, 9v18,19, 11v4, 12v13, 19, 15v18
*Note: Some sources at 8v28(2) omit this “God” reference.

Chapters (1 + 2) Your coloured high lighter pens can work ‘over-time’ here on these two chapters.
1v9 This is explained in Acts.14v27
1v19, 24, 26, 28. Context and explanation being a repeat as given in Acts.17v23,30. It is so clear that these righteous things are from Yahweh but unseen by The World, however it is Jesus as “the specific god” of us that makes these things seen – by working with those specific humans (144000) who desire Yahweh’s Knowledge. Those humans who practice unrighteousness, being **of** The World have been **ignored** by Jesus because he is only interested in those who ‘appeal’ to him as a future mate. These ones who have been ignored are to learn from the foolishness of their own actions operating within The World’s standards and thus be resurrected to learn/taught/cajoled righteousness in The Millennium – being their last opportunity ‘to get it correct’!
As I said before the text now comes alive and ‘grabs you’, when we know which individual Entity the writer is referring to within the text purely by spelling of the word “god”!
But again we must not forget that Yahweh makes things known to His sons of God – thus again the context tells us that depending upon the context (sons of God, or just The World) then the dual nature of “ho theos” is demonstrated – which is why the scribes used this technique in their writings.
2v3 Just a note of explanation here. The iniquitous ones knowing The Truth (being those iniquitous **worldly** Christian Leaders) but seriously mislead their congregation astray from Yahweh’s Desire either in apathy or hype for self indulgence shall be judged by Yahweh (not Jesus who only judges during The Millennium), hence the use of the absolute pointer (Of The) Theos. Thus these ones seriously fail during The Special/Greater Judgment and do not make sonship to Yahweh. I also feel they might not even be deemed worthy by Yahweh for a future resurrection because like Judas, they have turned their back upon Jesus’ once only sacrifice and thus have nothing remaining to hand in ready for The Millennium resurrection – thus they shall never be resurrected after their death in this present life during The Gospel Age! They have been forewarned!
2v16 Depending if “the specific god” is relative to those who are Apostles who are then judged by Yahweh in The Greater/Special Judgment at the end of the 1st part of their lives (for resurrection to be Sons of God) or if it refers to worldly humans who are then resurrected back only as fleshly humans into The Millennium then it shall be Jesus (and 144000 trusted stewards) to judge these people in the 2nd part of their life.
3v5,6 Are covered in great detail when I explain The Millennium period in another commentary.
All things righteously referred back to Yahweh (1st God ref), but it is Jesus (2nd ref to god in v5) who operates on his creation **what Yahweh Desires** (being that Yahweh does not usurp Jesus’ position over his creation – thus all things go through Jesus as The Mediator/Intercessor). In v6 we are told that **it is Jesus** (with his Bride of 144000) as “the specific god” of us ruling in The Millennium who shall judge The World of the resurrected fleshly humans. Note it was/is **Yahweh who is judging The Christian Nation during The Gospel Age** in The Special/Greater Judgment to elect/choose The (Future) Bride of 144000 for Jesus Christ. But **in the Millennium it is through Jesus** – this is what the text is telling us! This is the **additional** information that the writings tell us with this new understanding of “ho theos”.

3v23, 25, 26. No explanation is required, but it is wonderful to see how the spelling swaps over to demonstrate the two different Entities and Their respective positions to us! If this was not the case (as per Trinity argument) – then the writer should just use the same spelling because it would be the same Entity (and not two individuals)! It shows us that Jesus as “the specific god” of us in the heavens, forsook his safe position as a propitiation (sacrificial victim) to save his personal creation (being those humans who desire to ultimately imitate Jesus) before The Law of his Father. Thus it was Jesus who justified us before Yahweh’s Edict on sin – but not Yahweh (being the utter confusion of the Trinity myth), where Yahweh is now given the freedom (by Jesus’ action) to use His holy spirit to extract us from The Curse of Satan being the 1st death of annihilation – though not The 2nd Death being what will be our personal choice (rather than that made on our behalf by Adam and Eve)!

4v6 As per Matt.15v4.

5v8 Can be read both ways depending upon what subject is made the context. However I prefer my understanding where “ho theos” points directly at Jesus, where as “the specific god” of his creation seeing what a mess it was in, loved those of The World (being the Adornment/Decoration) who ultimately will imitate him when given the opportunity to “believe” (= commit/entrust = imitate) him.

8v3 This too can be read two ways again depending upon the subject of the context. Thus it might be best to read the original Greek:-

The original Greek:-

the For powerless/ineffective law of The Law in which (it was) weak/feeble through of the flesh “the specific god” the (of the) himself (= *Yahweh*) son (*Jesus*) dispatched/bestowed in likeness/similarity of flesh (of) sin also concerning sin condemned the sin in to the flesh.

Which is traditionally interpreted as being:-

But I offer as an alternative following Greek interpretation:-

For The Law (*of Moses being of Works*) was ineffective (*to save people – because they all committed sinful works to their neighbour during their lifetime – thus The Law condemned everyone to death*) through flesh (*acting as part of The World*) the son (= *Jesus*) of Himself (= *Yahweh*), “the specific god” (= *Jesus*) dispatched/bestowed in the likeness of sinful flesh, and concerning sin, condemned sin in the flesh (*entrapped sin in the old fleshly body – by giving us all a 2nd opportunity in a perfected body at our resurrection*).

I prefer the latter interpretation – because it gives ‘life’ to the text – and because it is different!

8v28 There are two Greek sources quoted here because one leaves out “ho theos” being the second reference to “god”.

The original Greek text (1 - reconstructed by F.H.A. Scrivener 1894 based on Texus Receptus) goes as follows:-

(we) know And that to the (ones/people) loving the God (*Jehovah*) all/whole things works together for good to the (ones/people) according to purpose {called out}/invited being.

The original Greek text (2 – the 21st ed. Of Eberhard Nestles Novum Testamentum Graece) goes as follows:-

(we) know And that to the (ones/people) loving the God (*Jehovah*) all/whole things works together [“the specific god” (*Jesus*)] for good to the (ones/people) according to purpose {called out}/invited being.

My proposed translation (1):-

And we know that to all the people who love Jehovah, all things work together for the good according to the purpose of those being called/invited (*to become part of The Bride*).

My proposed translation (2):-

And we know that to all the people who love Jehovah, all things work together for the good (through) Jesus according to the purpose of those being called/invited (*to become part of his Bride*).

Being that it is Jesus as The Master of his creation who places/dispenses the talents (holy spirit) of Yahweh within those of whom he likes the ‘look-of’ – but it is Yahweh Who makes the decision (in The Special/Greater Judgement) as to which human will become His son to join Jesus as part of The Bride of Christ (to make up the 144000).

8v31 As explained in Acts.14v27. A partnership through Jesus as “the specific god” of us linked directly to “the specific God” Yahweh.

8v33 Who can bring a charge/accusation against Yahweh’s Elect (Apostles)? When Jesus has already justified them!

Because these Apostles already having entered into the bi-lateral agreement with Yahweh and both parties are still honouring the agreement based upon “The Justification” on what Jesus did – not what Yahweh did – which is where all traditional worldly scholars seem to have missed the whole point of The Scriptures – in their errant understanding!

9v5,22 Both point to Jesus – the first (v5) is where Jesus now becomes supremely blessed by Yahweh because of what he did for his creation and the second (v22) being our creator then ultimately he can do what he chooses with his creation in The Millennium (as we are told – who can condemn the actions of a Potter over his earthen vessels?).

10v9 Similar construction in Acts.13v30,37 but very different to that in early Acts.

Thus this translation could most certainly point to Yahweh awakening/rousing Jesus from the dead ones.

11v1, 2,8, 21, 23, 32 Directed to Yahweh - but unknowingly to these prophets it would have been Jesus mediating on their behalf. But when Elijah appealed – it would not be to Jesus (being unknown to Elijah at the time), because Elijah would direct his prayers to The One Almighty God (*Yahweh*) that he knew about at the time.

Why? Because as we know from The Old Testament, the prophets only spoke of Jesus as “The Faithful Servant” / “Immanuel” and not of a god, they also looked but could not ‘see’ (= not understand) as Paul tells us.

12v3 See glossary on what “faith” really means – because most certainly it is not what the vast majority of worldly Religious leaders state it is (sadly virtually all Christians fall into this grouping equally)! Thus it is an innate desire to inculcate a wholesome personality, but a True Christian who tries to precisely emulate Jesus’ lifestyle and ministry towards their neighbour. Thus we understand that this “ho theos” has a dual nature. It is Jesus who dispenses Yahweh’s’ holy spirit into a prospective “son of God” and it is the True Christian who looks to Yahweh directly as ‘his Father’. This subtlety is never taught in worldly Christian sermons – only because worldly Christian Leaders pamper to ‘the ears’ of the congregations for a worldly ‘kick-back’. Sadly in doing this they are rejected by Yahweh from becoming sons of God – only because they have misrepresented His interests here upon the Earth being to create 144000 sons of God during The Gospel Age (see “Satan’s Desire” in Glossary).

14v3 Again depending upon the level that the person comes to “the specific god” of them denotes in context to Whom “the specific god” points towards. Clearly if it is a worldly human then they are looking to Jesus. However once they have made that contact and are receiving holy spirit through Jesus then they are looking towards Yahweh as “the specific God” of them (just as Jesus did during his ministry period).

15v4, 13, 33 This can be interpreted equally in two ways (showing the dual nature of “ho theos”). We see how all things are referred back to “The Specific God” = Yahweh. Thus we assume that ‘things done for them on their journey’ being where “them” are apostles and are demonstrably (by works as) not part of The World (consequently imitating Jesus), thus being bonded so close with Jesus by replacing him (now Jesus is in the heavens) in their obvious maturity, hence the context is at the same level as Jesus thus points to Yahweh (I cover this in item [2] just prior to Matthew). However we also have “the specific god” being that Jesus is now off this Earth in a heavenly/celestial/spiritual body, taken up his position as “the specific god” of us where Jesus is the mediator between us and The Almighty God (*Jehovah*) through whom all things flow – being the holy spirit operating through Jesus as ‘the dispenser’. Thus it does point directly to Yahweh but through Jesus only because The Apostles are truly imitating Jesus in hardship accurately promoting Yahweh’s Word, and thus have officially replaced Jesus on this earth in fleshly bodies for the same Expectation, as Jesus had to be resurrected as a Son of God. Thus the pointer should point to Yahweh (but through Jesus) only because the context is future sons of God.

16v20 Says it so clearly for us. Our only route to Almighty God (*Jehovah*) is through Jesus Christ as our mediator, thus two separate Entities as stated here!

1 Corinthians

Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus		
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”	
1v1, 2, 3, 4(2), 21(1)	21(2),	1v4(1)		1v9, 20, 21(3), 1v27(1,2), 28
1v18, 24(1,2), 1v25(1,2), 29, 30				
2v1, 5, 7, 10(2), 11(1,2), 2v12(1,2), 14			2v9, 10(1)	2v7(2),
3v9(1,2,3), 10, 17(1,3), 3v16(1,2), 23		3v19,	3v6, 7,	3v17(2)
4v1, 5, 20			4v9	
5			5v13	
6v9, 10, 11, 19	6v20		6v13, 14	
7v7, 19, 40		7v24	7v15, 17	
8	8v3	8v8	8v6	8v4, [5(1,2)]
9v21				
10v31, 32		10v20	10v5	10v13,
11v7, 12, 16, 22		11v13	11v3	
12v3				12v6, 18, 24, 28
14v36		14v2, 18, 25(1), 28		14v25(2), 33
15v9, 10(1,2), 15(1,2), 50		15v24, 57		15v28, 38

Additions:- 1v21, 7v20, 11v19, 14v16, 16v1

All in Chapter 1 are self explanatory and eminently applicable (highlight pen useful again) - except 1v9 may need explanation – particularly with the official Christian translation that the reader may encounter from their own Bible.

1v9 Again we need to refer to the original Greek text else the official translation spoils the exactitude of the original Greek wording.

The original Greek text goes as follows:-

Faithful “the specific god” through whom (you) were called/invited/summoned to fellowship of the son of Him (*Jehovah*) Jesus Christ the Lord of us.

The official translation links ‘of Him’ in the text to “the specific god” at the beginning of the text, which is certainly a possible assumption, thus deem “the specific god” here to be The Father (Yahweh/Jehovah). I must be careful here because Christian doctrine says Jesus and The Father are of the same Entity – hence leads to all the classic confusion and “mystery”. However there is another interpretation. Again the reader has to put this text back into context (the golden rule which is ‘always’ ignored by worldly Christian leaders). The verses either side are all speaking of Jesus. “The specific god” in this verse runs on immediately from Jesus in the previous verse. If the writer meant to put “The specific God” (*Yahweh*) in the position of ‘the specific god’ then as we have seen all through the previous examples then he would have done just that! So we can conclude that perhaps it could just mean another type of “the specific god” being that it now links directly in context to “the son of him” being Jesus. Thus I would suggest “the specific god” “of the fellowship” (of us) means Jesus – make this assumption and then everything else in context fits into place because you are called/invited to the fellowship through Jesus and not through Jehovah. It is Jesus as “the heart knower” (as we are told by Luke in Luke and The Acts) who is dispensing Jehovah’s holy spirit into those of whom he likes the look of (= “fancies” - as a prospective ‘groom’ does of a ‘girl’). But it Jehovah makes The Resultant (effectively to make the final decision whether you are worthy to become one of His sons) – and so becomes the aim (target or “Prize” as Paul tells us) for us all to seek with our devotion and praise. Jesus was faithful to The Cause being to fulfil his Father’s Desire. Jesus was ‘a means to an end’ – so to speak.

My proposed translation:-

You were called/invited to the fellowship of the son (*Jesus*) of Him (*Jehovah*) through the faithful “specific god” Jesus Christ the Lord of us.

This makes much more sense and again I show how I believe the official worldly interpretation is thoroughly flawed.

1v20-28 “Ho theos” refers directly to Jesus (being The Wisdom of Yahweh expressed in his ministry/lifestyle) related directly to the sinful world – being his responsibility. But all things are directed to Yahweh as being The Source within this chapter where the absolute reference to Yahweh is appropriately used.

2v7 This is such a **wonderful verse** and shows us so clearly the relationship between these two Entities and of theirs to us.

The original Greek text goes as follows:-

But we speak a wisdom (of the) god in mystery the (having been) hidden which predetermined “the specific god” before of the ages into (of the) glory of us.

My proposed translation:-

But we speak of the wisdom of The God (*Jehovah*) in a mystery, it having been hidden, but destined by “the specific god” (*Jesus*) before the ages (*before the creation of Life*) - for the glory of us (*Apostles becoming Sons of God*).

What does this mean?

All wisdom comes from Almighty God. Before the creation (before the adornment/decoration of The Earth) Jehovah spoke to Jesus ‘along these lines’:-

“Jesus here is a bare planet called Earth – fill it with all variety of life over the next two billion years. In the end you will create a species and, just some (a “remnant”) of this species will converse with you and recognise you for your characteristics/authority/abilities. This same remnant of (= The New Spiritual “Israel”) humans will show you so much love and affection that they will go through all manner of pain and suffering just to be close to you – as their creator! These ones shall be, in The Culmination, become your brothers, and because of their **demonstrated works** of love for you – I, as Jehovah, shall make them just like you are now (within a spiritual/celestial/heavenly body = The Bible Mystery = Jesus’ creation of flesh becoming like him, spiritual) in recognition of their love, through works, towards you.”

That is precisely what that verse means – that is why it is just so wonderful!

2v9,10 Context points directly to Yahweh but operating through Jesus (thus as described in Acts.14v27).

3v6, 7, Context points to Yahweh through Jesus – see rule (2) located just before Mathew explanation. But the dual nature of “ho theos” is used because the holy spirit would not be in the person had it not been for Jesus (as JCg) putting it in the person in the first instance. Thus the growth is attributive to both Entities – which is why “ho theos” is used.

3v17 Again, see the split in textual spelling of “god”. The building of the temple (in BC) was dedicated to Yahweh God, the High Priest then (of the Levites) was in waiting, for the real High Priest – Jesus Christ to come. Thus as the text says: Anyone who destroys the things dedicated to Yahweh / Jehovah (remember **True** Christians become the **living** temple of God during The Gospel Age) then Jesus (second reference in this verse) will take action upon those deviants of his creation when the time appoints itself, because all temples are ultimately to bring people to the knowledge of Yahweh = the third reference of “God”.

Can the reader see 3D picture coming out of the original 2D text?

4v1 Just had to highlight this – continuation of 2v7.

4v9 Similar idea as we have seen at Acts.14v27. Jesus issued The Command to ‘spread The Gospel over the whole world’, following Yahweh’s Desire, and similarly as apostles they can look directly to Yahweh (as being a future Son of God) only because they imitate Jesus’ lifestyle to fulfil Yahweh’s Desire – which is more than can be said of worldly Christian Leaders!

5v13 Points directly to both Entities. If the person pretends to be a Christian but is iniquitous – then Yahweh will judge that person in The Special/Greater Judgement at Jesus’ 1st 2nd C, however if it is merely a worldly person then Jesus (as JCg) will judge them during The Millennium in the 2nd part of their single life.

6v13, 14 Covered in earlier sections! It is Jesus who calls us as “the specific god” of us, but once accepted (because they are Apostles) then they are in covenant with Yahweh – hence pointing to Yahweh. This is why the floating context pointer is used at these specific points. It is the two separate natures being two separate Entities where the 1st is of Jesus choosing who he likes to place Yahweh’s holy spirit within – as appropriate, and then 2nd it is Yahweh Who then makes the decision whether they are worthy to be resurrected as Sons of God – just as Yahweh did to His First/Only begotten Jesus (from the fleshly World – this is what “First/Only begotten” means and has nothing to do with what worldly Christian Leaders state in error – being Jesus’ beginning)! Please see “begotten” in Glossary.

7v15, 17 As covered in 6v13, 14.

8v4, 5, 6. This section may initially appear confusing (only because the Trinity idea has blurred/fogged everything), but take that idea out of your head and then re-evaluate at this text (with effectively a clean sheet of paper).

What the textual spelling shows us is the relative/floating index pointer form of “god” to mean “god” or “gods” in a general sense where we now look at the context. Thus looking at the context of these verses to see what is being conveyed to the reader, then it is best go back to the original Greek text:-

The original Greek text goes exactly as follows (8v4,5,6):-

(4) concerning of the eating Therefore of the idolatrous sacrifices (we) know that no idol in (of the) world also that no “the specific god” other if not one (5) also For if exist (being) called/stated gods either in heaven (*singular = pertaining to the zone of the earth*) or upon the earth even as there are gods many and lords many (6) but to us one “the specific god” the Father of whom the (things) all also we into him also one lord Jesus Christ through whom the (things) all also we through (of the) him.

My proposed translation:-

(4) So concerning the eating of idolatrous sacrifices? We know that there are no idols in the world, also no other “specific god” except one (*Jesus representing The World*). (5) Even if there are gods either in heaven (*singular, thus = sky*) or upon the Earth, (*and now moving from the earth to the heavens plural to mean in The Universe the reference being to The One God later*) even as there are many gods (*sons of God please see the book of Job*) and many lords (*of The Universe controlling/responsible for other life-forms and planetary systems*); (6) but to us there is one “specific God” (*Yahweh/Jehovah*) – who is The Father of all things and we are in Him (*live for Him*), and one Lord Jesus Christ who all things come through him and we in him (*live for him*).

What is being said here?

The text is using the generic “god” terminology and solely relying upon context as the pointers.

The first “specific god” (v4) has a context of The World and thus points to Jesus telling us that there is no other mediator to Yahweh being the second “specific God” (v6) in the context of The Father.

Paul is making the specific point that there is no other gods in ‘the sky’ (singular heaven) or the earth but Jesus as “the specific god” of us (the world) and then extends it to The Universe in the second part to state that here that it is only The Father Yahweh/Jehovah as “the specific God” of the Universe.

The Paul then goes full circle by bringing Jesus in at the end again by stating that we live through Jesus as “the specific god” of The World being our only link to Yahweh.

The text tells us things originate from The Father (being The Absolute Ruler of The Universe), but come through Jesus to us. Thus supports this idea that everything is reflected back to The Father and that Jesus is our mediator – this is what I have written here and everywhere else on this website!

Also note how The “heaven” singular to just mean “the immediate zone encompassing the earth” is used for the first “even”, and then at the second “even” as a certainty that there are other gods in “The Universe” to support the understanding that there are other life forms in The Universe subservient to “other gods” but we have no interaction with them because of our pariah status (and naturally they abide by Yahweh’s command not to contact us until we have been perfected).

10v5 Explanation as given Matt.15v4

10v13 Now we know that this “the specific god” here is pointing to Yahweh but specifically through Jesus – just as I have explained in Acts.14v27 (as explained in rule 2 just before Matthew section). The text has now meaning to us – because Jesus was tested like those faithful apostles are now – he had to go through it in a fleshly body as a human. He really can feel for those specific humans faithfully fulfilling Yahweh’s Desire in a hostile world when those apostles are bearing up to trials and tribulations because he has already been there (and ‘tasted it’)! Thus the dual natured pointer “ho theos” is used to show that both Yahweh and Jesus work together to assist.

11v3 Here we read “the specific God” is actually referenced to Jesus (and not us) and thus points directly to Yahweh/Jehovah.

12v6, 18, 24, 28 The text clearly stating that it is Yahweh as “the specific god” working through Jesus within those specific humans who **imitate** Jesus’ ministry and thus fulfil Yahweh’s Desire within their lifestyle. Yahweh presently has **no** interest in The World at large and turns His ears / eyes away from them as The Old and New Testament so clearly tell us (so contrary to what **worldly** Christian Leaders tell us in their desired ignorance)!

14v25(2), 33 As I state earlier, it is Jesus dispensing Yahweh’s holy spirit within the congregation provided all the members within it are imitating Jesus’ ministry – else they will be ignored (as stated earlier in the scriptures) because Yahweh will **not** work in those who “are mad/rave” – by being two minded (James.1v6-8).

15v28 It all depends how the reader attaches the “god” antecedent in the text to appropriate pronoun. Thus a couple of subtly different translations are possible from the original Greek.

The original Greek text goes as follows:-

when And (is) subjected (to the) him the (things) all/whole then also himself the son (will be) subjected to the [one] having subjected (to the) him the (things) all/whole that/{in order} may (be) “the specific god” the (things) all in all/whole.

My proposed translation that has a different spin to the ‘official translation’:-

And when all things are subject to him (*Jesus*), then also the son himself (*Jesus*) will be subject to the One (*Jehovah*), having subjected to him (*Jesus*) all the things in order to be “the specific god” (?) of all things in everything.

The question is what “the specific god” (?) does this refer to? The Greek text spelling in context (relative to all things of The World) suggests it should be Jesus. However the official Christian translators have seen the word god, then saw ‘One’ and just assumed all things subject to Jehovah God – which is most certainly possible. But the Bible is precisely consistent – so why put the spelling of “the specific god” here in context to mean Jesus (though a poor argument could be made that the context could refer “god” to ‘The One’ earlier in the text). Thus we can actually read more into the text and make it fit other parts of the scriptures.

So how could it link directly with Jesus. Why / how?

First the background:

Jesus was assigned all responsibility for The World on this planet Earth by Yahweh – Jesus was The Master Creator and put everything (life) into place on this Earth. It was spoiled by Satan when he was allowed temporary custodianship (I have explained precisely why and how in other places on this website), thus things have ‘got out of control’ when humans ran amuck during these 6000 years (following the delusion of their selfishness), - however when in the future Jesus has brought this situation under control and all things are then sorted. Jesus can then re-assume his position over his personal creation – relative to and on this planet to then become “**all things in all**” (but **not** in The Universe because that is outside Jesus’ remit – The Universe is for Jehovah only). Thus this “the specific god” within the text at 15v28 should refer directly to Jesus and not Jehovah (as given in faulty bible translations).

This is further supported by Jesus quoting twice Psalm 110v1-2. Where we are told that Yahweh gives Jesus the power of the holy spirit (being Yahweh’s expressed Desire exercised) to subdue Jesus’ enemies and thus makes them his footstool. It is at this point that Jesus having now full control over the planet Earth after The Millennium, when perfected, then Jesus hands over his now perfected creation – by his actions (in using Jehovah’s Holy Spirit) to Yahweh as an offering – to show that all things pertaining of Jesus ultimately belong to Yahweh. Yahweh utterly pleased with what Jesus has done as reviewed in The Culmination, will thus hand everything pertaining to the now perfected planet Earth back to Jesus effectively saying: “You have done well Jesus – You have perfected your work, being some 12 billion perfected humans on a restored earth and also gave Me 144000 sons like you. Here is your work handed back to you – well done!”

Thus Yahweh will state to the entities in all of The Universe: “This is My son in whom I am well pleased!”

Note: Jesus (now as JCg) has and will always faithfully/correctly attribute everything back to Yahweh/Jehovah, unlike Satan who tried to take away praise and worship that should be directed to Yahweh.

15v38 This points directly to Yahweh – for it is Yahweh’s holy spirit that awakens/rouses us into a body that Yahweh Desires depending upon His personal decision at The Special/Greater Judgement. If we precisely imitate Jesus to the very best we are able (by being dead to the influences of this world that lead us away from fulfilling Yahweh’s Desire) then we shall be as the 5 wise virgins who shall receive Jesus (the Bridegroom) at the 1st 2nd C (see Glossary) in a body like his - being a heavenly/celestial/spiritual body over which The 2nd Death ahs no power. If however we have tasted the world and feel ‘comfortable within it’ then we shall be resurrected as we are now in fleshly bodies – but **perfected** so as not to have any ailments – as demonstrated during Jesus’ ministry period. But being still a fleshly DNA body that has been perfected to live an eternity – it still needs the ‘Tree of Life’ else it (on an individual basis) shall experience systemic decay in the system support DNA (being that which controls telomere length, cell shutdown in cancerous growth, etc) within the specific human genome.

However all this was **only** made possible (for Yahweh to Righteously use His holy spirit without contravening His Edict on Sin) by His son Jesus being our personal creator who placed his very existence on the line to save those individuals who ultimately desire to imitate his personality when they understand why it should be beneficial for society as a whole. Those True Christians who choose to look to the future Inheritance (being a better society) as a vision imitate Jesus now – because they can see it – but for those who cannot because the world looks OK as it is now need the

vista of The Millennium to appreciate how much better it is and why they need to imitate Jesus during the 2nd part of their life.

2 Corinthians

	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah OR Both OR Jesus		
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”		
1v1(1,2), 4, 12(1,2)	1v23	1v9, 20(2)	1v18, 21	1v3,	
1v19, 20(1)					
2v17(1,2,3)		2v14, 15			
3v3, 5	3v4				
4v2(1,2), 4(2), 6(2), 7, 15					4v6(1) [4v4(1)]
5v1, 18, 20(1), 21		5v11, 13, 20(2)	5v5, 19		
6v1, 4, 7, 16(1,2)			6v16(3,4)		
7v1, 12	7v9, 10, 11		7v6		
8v1, 5		8v16			
9v14	9v13	9v11, 12, 15	9v7, 8		
10v5		10v4	10v13		
11v2, 7			11v11, 31		
12v19			12v2, 3, 21		
13v14	13v7, 4(1,2)		13v11		

Additions:- 6v2

1v3_(1,2) This can be read two ways. First let us look at the original Greek:
The original Greek goes exactly as follows:-
Blessed (be) “the specific god” also father/forebear of the lord of us Jesus Christ the father/forebear of the compassions also “specific god” (of) all/whole comfort.
This could be interpreted as standard bibles:
Blessed be God, even the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, Father of mercies, and the God of all comfort.
Or my interpretation when placed into context with the other verses:
Blessed be “the specific god” also forebear of our lord Jesus Christ (*thus telling us that Jesus was “the specific god” of us before his conception in Mary*) the forebear of compassions (*Jesus’ compassion as the specific god of us that he left his safe position in the heavens to rescue humans from The Curse of Satan*) also “specific god” of all comfort (*being that Jesus has succeeded in releasing humans from the debt of Satan’s curse and thus prepared, and able to help in the future*).
Why is this interpretation more valid in the context of other verses?
We have v1 telling us that The Ecclesia belongs to The God Yahweh (hence rule 2 given just before Matthew) – being those (“saints”) who imitate Jesus to the best they are able.
We have v2 telling us (let us have it in the original Greek):-
Grace (to) you also peace from God (the) Father/Forebear (of) us also (of the) Lord Jesus Christ.
Which tells us precisely what this website www.FutureLife.Org tells The World being that Yahweh (as The Absolute God - pointer) specifically was the Father/Forebear of Apostles also of Jesus. Thus this statement substantiates the rule 2 as given earlier.
So with that lead-in then why repeat exactly the same thing again in v3 **unless there is a difference!**
Why not use The Absolute God pointer again? - Unless Paul was trying to tell us something different!
Thus he was making the point through these three verses:
1. Firstly place The Ecclesia as being part of Yahweh (all good things directed to Yahweh).
2. Then places Yahweh as being The Forebear of the Apostles and likewise Jesus Christ.
3. Then places Jesus in context with his former past and now present glory as being “the specific god” that has done so much for The World of his Creation – being all forms of Life on this planet – where we are able to rely on him for compassion (over our former state) and future comfort (support in what we do).
This understanding gives so much more depth than the standard **mis**interpretation as given in faulty translated bibles. And further it follows the Greek more precisely as the reader can read from themselves from the **pure** Greek.
1v18, 21 Explained as in Acts 14v27.
4v4(1) This refers to “the specific god” Satan (*being also a son of God [Yahweh] – but a rebel/adversary*), and the context is telling us that Satan is “the specific god of The World” in its present corrupted state (being a quotation of which, very **worldly** Christian leaders seem utterly oblivious)! Thus the context tells us what the floating/relative index pointer is actually pointing to – and this example just adds further strength to my assertion to what “ho theos” really means – being “The (god) Subject” of the context.

Thus in this context Satan is certainly not given the spelling of ?? u ??? u !

4v4(2) This refers to Jehovah God and is given the title of ?? u ??? u (*Be ing “Of The God”*), being that Jesus is ‘the image’ rather than being Jehovah Himself – again demonstrating the separate identities of the two Entities!

4v6(1) Points directly to Jesus speaking to The World.

5v5, 19 Similar concept as explained in Acts.14v27, being that it is Jesus giving Yahweh’s holy spirit to the Apostles, thus Yahweh is working through Jesus – where Jesus is The Intercessor working within his personal Creation – being those who are trying to imitate him within their life – being a total of 144000 accepted as such by Yahweh throughout the whole Gospel Age.

6v16(3,4) Reaffirms everything this website www.FutureLife.Org states. It is Jesus’ presence operating within those humans who have personally opened the door so that Jesus might dine with them (Rev.3v20). During this condition Jesus is dispensing Yahweh’s holy spirit within those humans who imitate Jesus’ ministry (thus = Apostles) of preaching “The Acceptable Year of The Lord” by their individual words and works to The World at large.

7v6 We have the same textual link with 1v3 where Jesus is dispensing “the comfort” because he is The Administer of Yahweh’s holy spirit being the fulfilment of Yahweh’s Desire within The Apostles - that the Apostles might be comforted by Titus coming to them. “Yahweh’s Desire fulfilled” being that The World might come to know of Yahweh’s Acceptable Year – which sadly is not taught today by those worldly Christian Leaders who claim to represent Yahweh!

Why can I state this with utter certainty? Because the evidence is in their fruitage! – At the time of writing 2007, no one has even heard of Yahweh’s Acceptable Year – let alone understands what it really means !!! Please see Glossary.

9v7, 8 This points directly to Yahweh through Jesus. The context is of those humans who are trying to imitate Jesus.

10v13 As 9v7, 8.

11v11 Again Paul is speaking through Jesus as the specific god of us directly about Yahweh knowing all things – especially about those apostles who are to become future Sons of God.

11v31 Let us take the original Greek Text.

“The specific god” also father/forebear of the lord of us Jesus Christ knows the (one) being blessed into the ages that not I am lying.

This can actually be read two ways:-

1. The official translation:-

“Being that ‘the specific God’ (*Jehovah*) is The Father/Forebear of The Lord Jesus the one being blessed into the ages, knows that I am not lying.”

However think carefully about this translation (perhaps rather interpretation), because it seems to kick Jesus out of ‘the intercession’ part of the loop. It appears that Paul is usurping Jesus and calling directly to Jehovah. This is not specifically what The Bible teaches. Clearly all good things come from and go to Jehovah but (as I state at Acts14v27) Jesus is part of that loop speaking on our behalf as mediator/intercessor. Also note how “of us” = “our” is missing out of the official translation.

So actually I prefer this alternative interpretation of the translation:

2. My proposed alternative suggestion that is actually closer to the original Greek as the reader can clearly see:

“Being that ‘the specific god’ (*Jesus now as ‘the specific god’ of us in the heavens dispensing Jehovah’s Holy Spirit upon those whom he sees fit to have some – just as The Parables tell us*) is the forebear of our Lord Jesus (*telling us that Jesus’ personality/spirit is now back into his pre-human existence spiritual/celestial/heavenly body – thus ‘the specific god’ of us in the heavens being the only intercessor on our behalf*) the one being blessed into the ages (*actually this is far more appropriate to Jesus rather than Jehovah – because the context is ‘a projection forward into the future only’, but in Revelations when it speaks of Jehovah it infers all time past, present and future [“Ancient of Days”]*) knows that I am not lying (*thus Jesus as our direct link with us during our imperfect state is the one that is directly connecting/communicating with us – for Jesus is “the heart knower”*).

This is supported by the use of the floating/relative index pointer being “the specific god” where the context is relative to Paul (“of us”) pointing to Yahweh but through Jesus.

We notice that the absolute pointer for Jehovah is not used – thus further indicating that Paul is not speaking about Jehovah directly but rather going to the immediate link he has to ‘the specific god’ of us being Jesus now as our heavenly representative of his creation.

However, clearly it could be argued that “the specific god” in context relates to Jesus and thus points to Yahweh/Jehovah to validate the official translation of (1) given above. Thus ultimately the interpretation could come out on both sides – but I am trying to get the reader to think outside the constraints of irrational dogma with all its inherent and illogical mysteries!

12v2, 3 This is where Paul is speaking about being caught in third heaven, again it is the personal (son of God) relationship that Paul has with Yahweh through Jesus – where Paul precisely imitates Jesus’ lifestyle.

12v21 Paul speaking directly about Yahweh working through Jesus as “the specific god” of us humans.

13v11 As 12v21

<u>Galatians</u>				
	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both* <u>OR</u> Jesus	
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”	
1v1, 3, 4, 13, 20	1v10, 24			
2v20, 21		2v19	2v6	
3v17, 21, 26		3v6, 11	3v8, 18	3v20
4v7, 9(2),14	4v 9(1)		‘4v4’, 6	‘4v4’
5v21				
6v16			6v7	

Additions:- 1v15, 2v8, 3v5

2v6 Paul is using the “both” concept here where Jesus did not regard the face of humans (ethnic/hierarchy) during his ministry, neither when he dispenses his Father’s holy spirit into a person that he likes. And also Yahweh is no respecter of faces when it comes to accepting a specific human as becoming an Apostle – to become a future Son of God.

3v8,18 Covered in Matt.15v4

3v20 I cover this elsewhere. But reiterating, the official Greek text:-
the And mediator of one not is, the “the specific god” one is. *(where “god” here = Jesus)*

That I interpret as being:-

And the mediator is not *(any)* one, that one is “the specific god” *(Jesus Christ)*.

The mediator is referenced to us (being our mediator), and is not any mediator (being the worldly created ‘saints’ and “Mary” that some false Christian Leaders state – because at present **they are still dead!**), but rather only “The One” which the Bible tells us here and elsewhere being “the specific god” of us that directly points to Jesus Christ. That **is** the subject of the context of the verses around this specific verse. The context is not about Yahweh, but rather what Jesus has done for us – and this comes out directly in The Original Greek wording but utterly lost in ‘the official and wrong translation’

Thus we reason that the utter spin on interpretation the NIV places on the original Greek wording (and other worldly translations) means the worldly focused translators have missed the whole point of all the given text in this area – and thus enforce their faulty understanding (Trinity myth) of the original text!

4v4 This one can be read ‘equally’ two ways and the result from both could be ‘equally’ valid.

It will be best to go back to the original Greek.

The original Greek text goes as follows:-

when And came the fullness of the time dispatched/commissioned “the specific god” the son (of the) him becoming {from out}/of a woman becoming under (the) law.

My proposed alterative (possible) translation:-

But when in the fullness of time dispatched/commissioned “the specific god” *(Jesus)* – His *(Jehovah’s)* son, becoming *(born)* of a woman and becoming under the law *(of Moses)*.

or the standard understanding:-

But when the time had fully come, God sent his son, born of a woman, born under law.

But the reader must remember the spelling for the word ‘god’ is not the same extensively used elsewhere (as demonstrated) to be taken as The God Jehovah, but “the specific god” floating/relative index pointer that relies upon context to tell us which “god” is being pointed to. The global context of v3 and v5 is relative to humans but the local context is that “the specific god” is relative to “the son of him” and thus points to Yahweh being the standard interpretation – especially when v6 speaking about “sons of God” being on par with Jesus. But both are valid.

4v6 I would concur with traditional understanding of this text where the context is “Sons of God” thus it is Yahweh Who works with His Sons, where Jesus is presently dispensing Yahweh’s holy spirit in those of whom he ‘likes the look of’ as becoming a possible future mate (as The Bride of Christ).

6v7 I understand this to be “Both” depending upon the context. If the context is of a worldly human, then they shall be judged by Jesus (and his Bride) during The Millennium upon their works. However if the context is of a prospective Son of God (then as a Warning) Paul is stating Yahweh can see through your present lifestyle in that you might not be imitating Jesus and thus loose The Inheritance being rulership of The World as a Son of God representing Yahweh (alongside Jesus) during The Millennium.

<u>Ephesians</u>				
	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both* <u>OR</u> Jesus	
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”	
1v1, 2			1v17,	1v3

2v8, 19, 22		2v16		2v4, 10
3v2, 7, 10, 19		3v9		
4v13, 18, 30	4v24		4v6	4v 32
5v1, 6	5v5	5v2, 20		
6v6, 11, 13, 17, 23				

Additions:- 1v7, 14, 22, 2v6, (12), 3v12, 4v12, 5v3

1v3 This almost mirrors 2Cor.1v3 as explained there and must also be read in conjunction with the explanation given at 2 Corinthians 11v31 in the earlier pages.

This explains the reasoning behind the structural translation and subsequent interpretation of the original Greek text. Again this is a very interesting verse – and it needs to be read in context with the other verses – **Thus notice** 1v2 has the same words **but in different positions!**

Therefore we should not fall into the trap of taking a verse out of context!

So what is Paul telling us?

First we must understand what is in Paul’s mind. This is the opening of the Epistle – so what would the scribe do?

Obviously the sequence is as follows:

Paul puts Jehovah God **First** in hierarchy – **all** praise goes to Him first, and promotes His Position within The Universe.

Paul would then put Jesus next in line for praise – being a separate and lower entity, and further, then promotes Jesus’ specific position within The Hierarchy – making it again perfectly clear ‘Who is Who’ so to speak to his readers.

We must also remember two things:

1. Paul would be using his letters as ‘basic structure’ because the fill-ins and finer structure would be given when he was first with them – thus his readers would know ‘The Basics’ that I am trying to fill in for the reader of today that have been lost for the last 1800 years!

2. During the later period of Ancient Greek, parenthesis and punctuation was omitted.

Now I ask the reader to read those two verses again with this insight together with appropriate punctuation as

Paul would have written to make it even clearer – The wording is taken directly as written in the Ancient

Greek:-

Exact direct Greek translation in which the subtleties are different to standard translations that propose something else!

1v2 (The subject of this verse is *Jehovah*) Grace (to) you also peace from God [gen.sg.m.n.] (*Jehovah*) (**the**) father/forebear [gen.sg.m.n.] (of) us also (of the) lord/master Jesus Christ.

1v3 (The subject of this verse is now Jesus) Blessed ‘the specific god’ [nom.sg.m.n] (*Jesus*) also (**the**) father/forebear [nom.sg.m.n] (= *forebear = our direct creator for the “adornment” of the earth*) of the lord/master of us Jesus Christ the (*one*) blessed us in/with all/every blessing spiritual in to the heaven (*singular = sky = local to the Earth*) (places) in Christ.

(See also Phil.1v2).

It now makes absolute sense. Paul told us in v2 precisely Who Yahweh was being The Central Entity of all creation, and then in v3 he switches the case term “theos” to float on the subject of the verse to explain Jesus, being his special qualities and responsibilities relative to us (else why make Jehovah the subject of the text twice in the same opening of the letter?).

So let us explain the two verses through my interpretation of what the original Greek is actually telling us:

1v2 Gifts/favours to you brethren, also peace/tranquillity from Jehovah God being of the father/forebear of us, also lord/master Jesus Christ.

Thus:

“Jesus and us (*apostles*) are from (being **Of The**) Jehovah God from Whom all peace comes.”

1v3 Blessed/{be praised} the specific god Jesus also the forebear of our lord/master Jesus Christ (*thus telling us these are the same entities being that Jesus Christ had an earlier existence as an entity in his own right prior to his appearance to us in a fleshly body – but clearly separate to Jehovah – because it does **not** state anything other!*) the one (= *Jesus*) (who) blesses/praises us (*apostles*) in every/all spiritual (things) (*by Jesus as ‘the specific god’ of us being responsible for dispensing Jehovah’s Holy Spirit upon the apostles*) in to the heaven (*singular = the realm of the earth*) in **Christ** (*thus telling us that it is Jesus now as the Anointed One having responsibility over all things pertaining to The Earth and Sky who is dispensing these gifts/favours within Jehovah’s Holy Spirit upon the apostles on the Earth*).

Thus:

“The apostles are praising ‘the specific god’ Jesus (*who is now existing in the heavens*) (was) also **The** forebear (*thus telling us that Jesus is now in the same state he was before he left the heavens to enter a fleshly body*) of the lord/master of us Jesus (*thus telling us Jesus as “the specific god” of us in a heavenly body was also Jesus that the apostles knew while in a fleshly body*) the (same one = Jesus who also) blesses/praises us (*by being the dispenser of Jehovah’s Holy Spirit to those whom he likes the look of, and who also respond to his “knocking at the door” through works “of opening the door and having supper with him”*) in all spiritual blessings (because Jesus is now) anointed (*as being recognised by Jehovah as being utterly worthy to administer everything pertaining to The Earth with respect to the things belonging of Jehovah*).”

Sadly I have to ‘spell it out’ like this to the reader because the official worldly Christian doctrine is so ‘screwed up’ that it is just so difficult to untangle the utter mess that The Leaders of the official worldly Christian doctrine have got

themselves into – being The Trinity myth. And naturally tangle everyone else up in this web of deception to fuel their worldly myth of the Trinity – that these leaders are all too proud to declare is “a mystery to them”! And they surely will answer for this in The Millennium – I hope they have carefully honed their excuses when ‘put on the spot’ – ready for their future experience!

What a state of affairs this world has got itself into! I cordially invite those who desire to leave the ‘sinking ship’ of worldly Christian doctrine and jump aboard this ‘life raft’ of knowledge and understanding that I am trying to impart upon The World. Take your chance now as The Bible expressly asks all of us to do in Revelations Chapter 18 to leave ‘Babylon The Great’ being The Harlot to both Yahweh and to His son Jesus Christ, because these worldly religious edifices play around with The World of Satan rather than truly representing Yahweh and His son Jesus. See Glossary on “Harlot”.

You only have a decade or so from the writing of this to leave the sinking ship of worldly Christendom – you have been forewarned!

1v17 This is the floating/relative pointer form of “the specific god” and the reader must be careful

We see this in the original Greek text:-

The original Greek text goes as follows:-

That “the specific god” of the lord/master of us Jesus Christ the father/forebear of the glory (may) give to you a spirit of wisdom also revelation in knowledge of the him.

My proposed translation:-

In order that The specific God (= *Jehovah*) (because context =) of the Lord of us – Jesus Christ, The Father (*Jehovah*) of glory may give you a spirit (= *personality* = {*synapse construction*}) of wisdom and of revelation in a full knowledge of him (*Jehovah*).

The subtle difference between 1v3 and 1v17 is the following:-

V3 Blessed is “the specific god” **ALSO FATHER/FOREBEAR** of the lord/master of us Jesus Christ,

V17 That “the specific God” of the lord/master of us Jesus Christ, The Father/Forebear of the glory,

Notice how “also father/forebear” has been **added into** the text in the first instance to describe Jesus’ position now relative to us and his human position, being as his pre-human position, after Yahweh had been given ‘pride of place’ earlier as described in v2. However later in the letter, Paul is concentrating from where Wisdom and Revelation originates and how Jesus is involved within the loop.

The scribe added “**of the Lord of us – Jesus Christ**” to inform the reader of the link between The God and Father, being The Father of Jesus Christ our Lord. Thus the link is established between the word meaning “the specific god” used here and The Almighty God.

2v4, 10 The piece is speaking about “us” (as Apostles – the writers and doers of God’s Word but **not** worldly Christians) and thus points to Yahweh working through Jesus as The Intercessor in the heavens.

4v6 This can be read two ways – no doubt traditionalists will argue against me! However:

1. Traditional teaching just blithely links “ho theos also Father” together – that is the limit of theologian reasoning!
2. However closely analysing the text reveals something more stark!

Put yourself into the mind of Paul as he was scribing this:-

Context is the uniting of:-

v1 states that all are invited to ‘a calling’ (to become part of The Bride of Christ – by winning Paul’s race). To be part of this means we must have the following attributes as listed in v2.

v3 teaches us to be of one personality united in peace in one body (of Christ) also one spirit (of Jesus’ personality being of one with Yahweh’s personality – thus to have the same goals of fulfilling Yahweh’s Desire). Read “Yahweh’s Desire” in Glossary to find out what it really is because you will not get it from a worldly Christian sermon!

v4 We are told there is only one calling (to become a son of God) for The Expectation (of The Inheritance = ruling The Earth as a son of God to work hard in teaching The Resurrected World how to imitate Jesus – which is going to be very hard work to try and reform some people to imitate Jesus – many examples of infamous leaders throughout the ages!)

v5 Now we are told there is:- “one Lord (= fleshly Jesus on earth), one faith (being The Word of God given to us by fleshly Jesus on earth), one baptism (required action by fleshly Jesus on earth)”,

v6 Then we are told:- “one ‘the specific god’ also Father of all the (one) upon/over all also through all/whole also in all/whole.

v7 to one And each of us gift was given the grace according the measure of the gift of the Christ.

Then Paul speaks of Jesus having awakened/roused from death and thus being in the position to give these things to us by Yahweh granting Jesus The Authority to dispense Yahweh’s gifts of the holy spirit within those humans who desire to imitate Jesus’ lifestyle.

Now if we move the ‘v6’ as now suggested below (because the standard position was only proposed long after The Trinity myth had dug deep into mankind’s psyche) to have the following:-

(v5) “one Lord, one faith, one baptism, one ‘the specific god’; (v6) also Father of all, the (One) upon/over all also through all/whole also in all/whole.”

Then we can understand that Paul starts with Jesus as we know him on earth during his ministry doing those things quoted on earth, and then Paul jumps to “the specific god” as still being Jesus but now the link as JCg (see Glossary) in

the heavens being in a heavenly/celestial/spiritual body who is then “also” with his Father in the heavens Who Himself (Yahweh) is ultimately in control of everything through His holy spirit (see Glossary what “holy spirit” really means) being exercised as The Father (Yahweh/Jehovah) deems fit.

And to substantiate this understanding Paul then continues in context to explain the link between Jesus Christ (as the earthly person(ality) of JCg on trial) and now how Jesus became validated into the new position as the superlative JCg – to be in the position to hand out talents as Jesus deems fit to those humans who ‘he fancies’.

I only present this alternative understanding to get everyone thinking about the subject – rather than just blithely accepting what ‘everyone says’ – and you must accept it to be ‘part of our group’ – else you are a heretic!

I will place this “ho theos” under the column “Both” as I need more thought on this particular occasion.

4v32 Very much like 1v17, Paul is speaking of Jesus Christ in his new heavenly/celestial/spiritual body – as he was before he came to the Earth. It is JCg (see Glossary) who is dispensing Yahweh’s holy spirit upon those who he sees as a possible ‘future mate’ (to become part of The Bride of Christ). It is Jesus as ‘the specific god’ of his Creation being The World (= The Adornment/Decoration) of this Earth that is The One above all (pertaining to all things on this planet), through All and in All (where Jesus as the heart-knower) has given us gifts and favours through the gift of what Jesus (as a human) did enabling him (Jesus) to be given that position (as JCg) by his Father Yahweh, to operate in this fashion.

Also it is Jesus who is ‘forgiving us’ by covering our sins away from Yahweh’s vision so enabling Yahweh to righteously choose to see us as being clean/spotless only because of what Jesus did for his creation – being this once only covering of The 1st part of our Personality/Spirit Life. Thus it is not Yahweh who forgives us – but rather He chooses to ignore what He chooses not to see and Yahweh righteously can only operate like that because of Jesus’ Undeserved Gift of which no human can pay for it. It is this subtle understanding that worldly Christian leaders and theologians have utterly missed in their most imperfect understanding – demonstrated by what they preach to their congregations being a most unrighteous message leading to all sorts of logical inconsistency! They shall be made to account for their actions in The Millennium – before Jesus!

Philippians

	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”
1v2, 11, 14, 28		1v3	1v8
2v6(1),11, 15		2v6(2)	2v9, 2v13, 27
3v3, 9, 14			3v15
4v7	4v6	4v18, 20	4v9, 19

Additions:- 1v7

1v2 The text here shows the separateness of the two Entities.

Also compare the use of Genitive for “theos” here and the Nominative for “theos” in Eph.1v2-3. There is striking difference which then again adds support to my reasoning, being how the scribes use the floating form of the Nominative to either point to Yahweh or Jesus depending upon the context.

1v8 Here we see that Paul is referring directly to Yahweh through Jesus – Yahweh at his Throne (to be The Judge at the Special/Greater Judgement) is ‘peering through the glassy sea’ to choose His Sons of God (Rev.4v6) and in this instance becomes The Specific Witness to what Paul is doing in precisely imitating Jesus to the very best he is able. But clearly both Entities are witnessing what Paul is doing and Jesus (as JCg) is supremely attracted to his future mate Paul who will become part of The Bride of Christ (Rev.21v2, 2Tim.4v7-8) to rule along side Jesus as a king/priest during The Millennium!

2v9 The context clearly tells us that “the specific God” is relative to “(the) him” being Jesus Christ, thus points directly to Yahweh/Jehovah as The Absolute God.

2v3, 17 Context is of True Christians and thus points to Yahweh with Jesus as the direct intercessor working Yahweh’s holy spirit within these True Christians. Again it the dual nature in how these two Entities work together is the reason why the floating pointer has been used and why the absolute pointer has not been used because it only points to Yahweh.

3v15 As above in 2v3, 17.

4v9, 19 As above in 3v15.

Colossians			
	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”
1v1, 2, 6, 10, 15, 25(1,2)		1v3	1v27
2v2, 12, 19			
3v1, 6, 12		3v3, 16, 17	
4v11, 12			4v3

Additions:- 1v9, 19, 21, 2v13

1v3 Useful to bring this verse out only as a comparison to that introduction given elsewhere for instance in Eph.1v3.
1v27 I consider this as being directed to Yahweh (context being The Saints – not worldly saints created by the worldly Vatican – that has **no** mandate in The Bible to create saints but only Yahweh (see Matt.20v23) – for even Jesus cannot! Thus it is Yahweh, Who now has a mechanism through Jesus to create His own Sons of God from out of Jesus’ personal Creation – that is what this really means.
 Look how this verse cannot be misconstrued to support ‘the mystery of The Trinity’. For Paul tells us that these people have been given “and all the riches of the full assurance of the understanding into (the) full knowledge of the mystery of the God, also (of the) Father, also of the Christ.” We were told in 1v26 that the people before Jesus’ ministry did not know of this mystery (being what we are told that the prophets searched for but could not see). Clearly Paul has explained it to them, being what Jesus’ ministry was all about – being about The Millennium and how it was to operate – precisely as this website www.FutureLife.Org clearly explains – but sadly worldly Christian Leaders utterly ignore Jesus command to preach about it. They shall wail upon their resurrection: “Did we not do these things in your name?” And Jesus shall say: “Get away from me!”
 For I ask the reader:-

When have they last heard about these terms preached or taught in any of the sermons given before 2007 CE being the time when this website fully came on stream?
 Please read “The Millennium”, “144000”, “Mystery”, “Goal”, “1st 2nd C”, all are located within The Glossary that explains precisely what all these terms mean and also are exactly what Jesus taught during his ministry –but are **never** taught today by those who claim to come in his name! Please also read “Satan’s Desire” in Glossary to understand why this is **never** taught today!
4v3 This points to Yahweh specifically through JCg operating within the heavens. Conceptually it may be difficult to understand because this is ‘real time’ and Paul is speaking of Jesus presently alive in the heavens as the **true** “specific god” of us (and not Satan = the ruler of The World at present), “us” meaning those humans who are aiming to become True Christians. The only manner in which people are going to get onto the bottom rung of the ladder being to learn about Yahweh/Jehovah **is** by knowing of Jesus Christ and learning **all** they can about him. And the very best way for that to occur is for Paul to ask for “the specific god” of us (= *Jesus*) to help Paul with more holy spirit from Yahweh. That “god of us” was and **is** Jesus Christ imparting Yahweh’s holy spirit. That is why the spelling of “god” is the one used (being its dual nature) and why Paul asks of “the specific god” of us to open the door (just as we are told that it is “Jesus who is knocking at the door” through the True Christian’s accurate and extensive work imitating Jesus and Paul). “The Mystery of Jesus” is now that he is in a Spiritual Body raised from a fleshly body like ours in this, our present 1st part of our life (the 2nd being The Resurrection in to The Millennium).

1 Thessalonians			
	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”
1v3, 4	1v8, 9(1)	1v1, 2, 9(2)	
2v2(2), 4(1), 8, 9, 12,		2v2(1), 4(2),	2v5, 10
2v13(2,3), 14		2v13(1), 15	
3v2, 9(2), 13		3v9(1)	3v11
4v3, 16	4v5, 8	4v1	4v7, 14
4	4v9(taught)		
5v18			5v9, 5v23

Additions:- 2v16

2v5,10 Points to Yahweh as The Specific Witness (see Phil.1v8) of Paul’s activities making a judgement on Paul being Paul’s Special/Greater Judgment – is he suitable (spiritual/personality) material to be resurrected into a heavenly/celestial/spiritual body as a son of God and future Bride of Christ?
But clearly Jesus is also a witness and highly attracted to his future (part of) ‘bride-to-be’ - Paul.
3v11 As 1v17 in Ephesians but the construction of this further supports my ascertain that “the specific god” in all these positions is really referring to Jesus as “the specific god” of us now operating in effectively his previous spiritual/celestial/heavenly body alongside The Almighty God Yahweh/Jehovah. Thus being the floating/relative form of “god” written as such in the Eph.1v17 and Eph.1v2,3 and further the original explanation given in 2 Cor.11v31. The original Greek text goes as follows:-
[him]self and ‘the specific god’ also father/forebear of us, also the lord/master of us Jesus Christ may he direct the way of us to you.
Though clearly the context could be taken as being “us” (= The Apostles) being on par with Jesus (by imitating Jesus to the very best of their capabilities) and thus point directly to Yahweh through Jesus being from Whom the holy spirit originates dispensed by Jesus to guide the apostles. However I like my concept – only because it is different to established understanding!
4v7 With Rev.3v20 about Jesus knocking at the door, then it would seem to point directly to Jesus – especially as he invites/calls us (to become his ‘mate’/partner). However we know that it is Yahweh who finally elects/chooses at The Special/Greater Judgment for His Sons of God, and thus we can understand that this “ho theos” points to Yahweh but through Jesus, because essentially every entity within the loop (JCg and The Apostles) expressly desires to fulfil Yahweh’s Desire to expedite Yahweh’s Plan as precisely described on this website www.FutureLife.Org .
4v14 It would appear difficult to understand exactly who is doing what, thus it is good to refer to the original Greek. The original Greek text goes as follows:-
if For we believe that Jesus died and rose up so also “the specific god” the [ones] having slept through of the Jesus will bring with (to the) him.
Again this can be read two ways (as in Galatians 4v4) – the official translation or my proposed slightly modified one:-
My proposed (possible) translation:-
For if we believe that Jesus died and rose up, so also “the specific god” (*Jesus – as mediator – and having successfully completed his mission on behalf*) of the ones having slept through (*committed to, and in the knowledge of = True Christians, being part of the 144000*), Jesus will bring with him (*as the First-fruits as the Bride of Christ*).
Or if you change the order of words (and place a spin on “ho theos”) to obtain the official Christian translation:-
We believe that Jesus died and rose again and so we believe that God (*Jehovah*) will bring with Jesus those who have fallen asleep in him.
I leave the alternative translation with the reader.
However it looses ‘the personal touch’ or ‘connection’ that Jesus has specifically with those ones who belong to Jesus. – Thus the reader has lost the sense of the very special Bride of Christ being resurrected early and so it is thus lost into the General Resurrection – and subsequent ‘fog of misunderstanding’ of which this website tries to make clear again for us all to see through and thus understand Yahweh/Jehovah’s Real Plan.
Notice also how Paul has differentiated between “Risen” and “Asleep” Thus Paul is trying to hammer home the understanding that Jesus was “asleep” but now “risen” into the heavens and likewise Apostle humans (“in” = imitated him) are also presently “asleep” (being that the 1st part of their life is now complete) and shall be awakened into the 2nd part of their life “with” the risen Jesus at the 1st 2nd C (see Glossary) when Jesus as The Bridegroom comes back to collect his faithful Bride ready to start The Millennium in about 3.5 years after this point in time.
5v9 Context is of The Apostles and their service to The World to fulfil Yahweh’s Desire to extract those humans who feel motivated to imitate Jesus within their 2 part life (only made possible by Jesus’ Ransom Sacrifice). Thus points to Yahweh through Jesus.
5v23 Unequivocally points directly to Jesus, because it was/is Jesus who has sanctified (cleansed from sin = made holy) us by his ransom sacrifice before Yahweh’s Edict on sin. However it could still point to Yahweh (hence the use of “ho theos” to give us this double understanding) because it is Yahweh’s holy spirit linking in onto our metaphoric ‘RNA’ through the process of being “Born Again” (of our personality/spirit) that enables us to be perfected in a heavenly/celestial/spiritual body at Christ Jesus’ 1st 2nd C.

2 Thessalonians

Of the God	Yahweh/Jehovah The God	To the God	Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus “the specific god”
1v2, 4, 5(1,2), 12 2 3v5	1v 8 2v4(1,2)	1v1, 3, 6 2v13(1)	1v11 2v11,[2v4(3)]

1v11 Initially it may be assumed that this piece is in the context of The Apostles and thus points to Yahweh through Jesus being the JCg in the heavens dispensing Yahweh’s holy spirit as he deems appropriate – according to our abilities. However when someone “is called” they do **not** yet ‘belong’ and thus the context would actually be “The World” from whence the Apostles came and thus in actuality it was Jesus (as JCg) who did the calling and it was the (pre) apostles who responded by dining with Jesus (Rev.3v20). Thus this passage points directly to Jesus.

2v4 Even though the “god” in this text speaks of ‘the anti-Christ’, the spelling of the different gods is very appropriate. Where it relates to the things of The Almighty, then that “god” (1,2) word is used. Then the third “god” (3) word is used to reinforce to the reader what this entity is: “This entity is ‘the specific god’ of what he sees himself as of The World – thus being relative to of the humans.”

2v11 It is Jesus who is acting out his Father’s Desire upon those of his creation who prefer to follow Satan’s ‘spirit’ of self-indulgence, rather than putting ‘the neighbour’ first within our lives.

2v13 Again the appropriate split between the two Entities. The first is showing the reader that we direct everything back towards Jehovah God.

But the “ho theos” shows us that while it points to Yahweh everything is through Jesus hence ‘both’ is so appropriate here. It is Jesus who is close to us (his Creation) and it is he from (of the) beginning of his work that he is inviting a ‘peculiar nation of people’ to be his Bride from out of the world of ‘the masses’, and does this be dispensing Yahweh’s holy spirit within them as appropriate to their abilities.

But it is Yahweh/Jehovah Who makes the final election from amongst the crowd of people (much greater in number than 144000) that Jesus ‘fancies’ to become Jesus’ Bride, and thus an adopted/{grafted in} Son of Abraham (= The Loving Father).

2v16 The context is “of us” apostles (not worldly Christians who like the Pharisees believed themselves to be sons of Abraham, but Jesus rejected them – as he will of worldly Christian Leaders). Thus it points to Yahweh, but again through Christ Jesus.

1 Timothy

	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”
1v1, 2, 4, 11		1v17	
2v3, 5(2)			2v5(1)
3v5, 15(1,2)			
4v4, 5		4v10	4v3
5v4, 21	5v5		
6v1, 11, 13		6v17	

Additions:- 6v15

2v5 This is an interesting verse – I also include v6 to complete the sense.

There is the traditional understanding and there is my understanding

The traditional and universally accepted translation is as follows:-

For there is one God and one mediator between God and man, the man Christ Jesus who gave himself as a ransom for all men – the testimony given in its proper time.

However I wish to explore the original Greek:-

The original Greek text goes as follows (v5 and v6):-

(v5) one For “specific god” (?) one also mediator (of the) God (*Jehovah*) also (of) men, (the) man Christ Jesus

(v6) the (one) (having) given/bestowed himself a ransom (on) behalf (of) all/whole the testimony (in its) time own.

It could be argued that the context of “ho theos” being “the mediator” (= Jesus) thus points to Yahweh.

However I would like to explore this concept. While the context appears to be Jesus, actually the point of this verse (thus the context) is actually for The World (= mankind). Ancient Greek writings occasionally link the starting subject to the middle of the sentence – thus the link between “specific god” is actually referring directly to Jesus (being ‘the Jesus’ in the fleshly frame during his ministry on Earth) whose context is The World. Thus we can conclude actually Paul writing in ‘Real Time’ is speaking of the awakened/roused Jesus (actually = JCg) in the heavens as being The Mediator between Yahweh and mankind. Now The Bible becomes consistent.

Else it can be asked why did Paul write as he did if we end up with the traditional understanding of v5?

Because there is no “between” in the Greek to spin the interpretation to create the traditional translation, then my proposed translation appears more faithful to the original Greek:-

For there is one specific god (*Jesus*) also one mediator (*Jesus*) of the God (*Jehovah*) and mankind, the man Christ Jesus having given himself as a ransom for all mankind – the testimony given in the proper time.
Can the reader see that my translation is much closer to the original Greek than the standard traditional one!
So which translation is more likely to be the most accurate?
Both I feel are correct – but mine gives more information – and thus I believe to be what was in Paul’s mind.

So I must write out the obvious expansion here, because this verse is just so very important.
There is one separate Entity called Jesus Christ who mediates between Jehovah God (The Ruler of The Universe and as such a Separate Entity) and ‘the creation’ from the works of Jesus - namely mankind (and all other forms of life on this Earth). By being mediator Jesus assumed responsibility for his ‘fallen’ creation. He forsook his safe spiritual body in the heavens and came to this planet – Earth some 2000 years ago to take full responsibility for his creation, he within a potentially fallible fleshly body and thus subject to everlasting death. He had to prove himself to be perfect (without sin) during his 3.5 year assay period (immediately after his baptism) throughout virulent testing by Satan (custodian of this planet now). Finally after Jesus’ 3.5 year ministry (being his specific assay period – to be mimicked by all the resurrected fleshly humans at the end of The Millennium) he was unjustifiably murdered. On the third day Jehovah God awakened/roused Jesus in to a spiritual body as “the specific god” (of us humans) in his own right to resume his, but now elevated position in the heavens to be by the right hand of Jehovah God (to now become presently the First/Only Begotten Son of God, as The First Fruit awakened/roused as a heavenly entity from death on this planet). All humans that make up mankind now have this One ‘credit life’ offered to them (to create a 2 part life), for them to use (to most humans at present - this offer is unknown to them and so completely unrecognised by them termed “heathen”). This testimony of Jesus’ works shall be giving at the proper time - either now to those who hear and accept it in this life through demonstrable works in imitating Jesus (= 144000), or the remainder of humans (being the masses) when resurrected into fleshly bodies to being the 2nd part of their life later in The Millennium.

That is basically it!
My website fills in all the finer points and details giving full justification throughout all the particular topics.
4v3 The “ho theos” points directly to Jesus being The Creator of The World (= all life), but then in 4v4, we see the text to refer everything back to the Almighty God Jehovah seen by the absolute pointer for “theos”. Also the word ‘thanksgiving’ enforces this idea because all things are referred back to Yahweh – being The Source of all things.

2 Timothy

	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”
1v1, 6, 8		1v3	1v7
2v9, 14, 19		2v15	2v25
3v17	3v4(lovers),		
3	3v16(breathed)		
4v1			

1v7 Context is Apostles, and thus points to Yahweh but again it is through Jesus being JCg in the heavens.
2v25 Context is those of The World now (but ultimately in The Millennium as the last opportunity) and thus points to Jesus deciding to “knock at the door” now for the 144000, or teach/cajole The World as a whole during The Millennium.
Note: 3v4 and 16 are hybrid words combining God and Lovers, also God and Breathed.

Titus

	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”
1v1(1,2), 3, 4, 7	1v16		1v2
2v5, 10, 11, 13			
3v4		3v8	

1v2 The context “before times eternal” points to Yahweh, and the follow-on verse (v3) speaks of The Word always means Jesus speaking The Word of God (Yahweh) to his (Jesus’) creation.

<u>Philemon</u>				
Of the God	Yahweh/Jehovah	To the God	Jehovah	OR Both OR Jesus
1v3	The God	1v4	“the specific god”	

Saving myself for Hebrews!

<u>Hebrews</u>				
Of the God	Yahweh/Jehovah	To the God	Jehovah	OR Both OR Jesus
1v6				“the specific god”
2v4, 9	2v17		2v13	1v1, 9(1,2) 1v 8
3v12			3v4	
4v9, 12, 14				4v4, 10
5v4, 10, 12	5v1			
6v5, 6, 7	6v1, 18			6v3, 10, 13, 17
7v1, 3		7v19, 25		
8	8v10			
9v24		9v14	9v20	
10v12, 21, 29, 31, 36	10v31		10v7	
11v3, 4(2), 25, 40		11v4(1), 6(1,2)	11v5, 10	
11			11v16(1,2), 19	
12v2, 15	12v22	12v23, 28	12v29 12v7,	
13v7		13v15	13v4, 16	13v20

Additions:- 1v3, 5, 13, 2v8, 3v2, 5, 18, 4v3, 6, 10(1), 5v5, 6v18(1), 7v21, 8v8, 10v22, 27, 11v17, 18, 12v10, 13v5

1v1 The context is relative to the Ancient Worthies and thus “ho theos” points directly to Yahweh though it would have been through Jesus (we know they would not have been aware of The Mediator Jesus - thus the dual pointer given by “ho theos”). As explained in Matt.15v4.

1v2 The reader may ask: Why this verse – There is no “god” in it!

The reason is the King James translation infers Jesus made the **worlds**. The NIV goes one stage further and infers Jesus made The **Universe**. This is a very **bold** claim from what I read from the original Greek – Let me share it with you!

The original Greek text goes as follows:-

Into (of the) last of the days of these spoke to us in (to the) son (*Jesus*) whom (He) (*Yahweh*) appointed heir of all things through whom also the ages (he) made.

The NIV really goes over the top with embellishment:-

But in these last days he has spoken to us by his son, whom he appointed heir of all things, and through whom he made the universe.

The reason why I draw the reader’s attention to this – is that this mere interpretation attempts to destroy the separation that I personally make on this website between the two Entities and their individual roles in the creation of The Universe and, of just (life on) this world.

Science has already shown that the world is only about one third of the age of the Universe. **The Bible was written for us relative to the age of this planet Earth and not for a time outside it – that is to say - before its creation!**

Thus the presumption of the very worldly Christian translators of the NIV to translate this word ‘ages’ to that of “The Universe” is just anachronistic and very poor, but worse, deliberately producing a deceptive translation, to be no more than a very bad interpretation!

The correct translation should be the ages of **this world** - of which Jesus did create all things, in which we are specifically told that Jesus created “The Adornment/Decoration” of the Earth (and not The Universe – I welcome the very best worldly scholars to contact me to defend their very errant assumption – particularly those who represent or use the NIV interpretation as their ‘bible’).

The reader must be on their guard to be so careful of poor/sloppy translation and inferences drawn from this fact. But we all know that the Trinity doctrine holds sway in the very worldly influenced Christian community so this mythical doctrine now colours modern ‘translations’. But The Bible said this will happen in ‘the latter days’ and I have expressed this opinion with evidence elsewhere on this website. Thus it is impossible to trust these faulty translations for The

Absolute Truth being just mere worldly interpretations put out to deceive the masses (as this website clearly demonstrates with examples taken from worldly Christian sources)!

This website is here to show the reader where and how to look behind ‘the painted façade’ put there by those who operate within ‘the world’ by leaning on their own reasoning (Prov.3v5-8).

1v8 The context is of The World in The Millennium and thus clearly points to Jesus who is JCg.

1v9(1,2) The context is of those humans who are fervently imitating Jesus and thus points to Yahweh again through Jesus.

2v13 The context is very interesting and worldly translators have got themselves in a mess over this (perpetuated by worldly Christian Leaders) over the earlier quotations – only because they have ignored “Yahweh’s Acceptable Year” – which is precisely why it must be publicized – and precisely why Satan wants this knowledge hidden (see “Satan’s Desire” in The Glossary)!

Thus in context:

v11 is telling us that Jesus is sanctifying (humans by the ransom sacrifice) and the ones being sanctified (Jesus+Apostles into heavenly/celestial/spiritual bodies upon resurrection) by Yahweh – which is why they are called brothers (see Mark.3v35).

v12 is telling us that Jesus is announcing Yahweh’s name by words and works during his ministry.

v13 is telling us that Jesus fully entrusts on his Father to resurrect him back into a heavenly/celestial/spiritual body provided Jesus fulfills Yahweh’s Desire in that bi-lateral agreement of Baptism.

The context is of Jesus and ultimately his children from of The World during The Millennium that Yahweh brings to him. Thus “ho theos” points to Yahweh.

3v4 It is Yahweh who builds all things upon the foundations that Jesus became, thus “ho theos” points to Yahweh. We are told that it is the apostles who in copying Jesus’ ministry plant and water – but it is Yahweh’s holy spirit that makes things grow. Thus we understand from this and Jesus’ conversation with Nicodemus that Yahweh’s holy spirit uniting within a receptive human (dining with Jesus – Rev.3v20) the metaphoric RNA to form the new entity (metaphoric DNA) being the new personality/spirit to become like minded (= as one) with Yahweh in a gestation period during our life (of 2 parts – made possible through Jesus’ Ransom Sacrifice) may ultimately deliver a new entity when “Born Again” – ideally alive for The Culmination to enter Yahweh’s Granary and not a ‘still birth’ resulting in The 2nd Death of annihilation. Please read all of www.FutureLife.Org to fully understand Yahweh’s Plan of righteous salvation.

4v4, 10 Points directly to Jesus as JCg prior to his conception into a fleshly body. It was JCg who created “The World” being “The Adornment/Decoration” of the Earth. Notice how the text in between uses the absolute pointers that directly point to Yahweh – because ultimately it is Jesus who creates his Creation for Yahweh’s appreciation – being that Jesus has brought something that shall ultimately glorify Yahweh!

However this is all prophesy for The Millennium, being the Sabbath, the 7th day (1000 years) being a rest from Satan’s 6 days (= 6000 years) inept management style where selfishness for self-indulgence to the harm of one’s neighbour predominates.

Ultimately those humans who achieve “The Rest” from “The Curse of Satan” are those who (Heb.4v5-6) successfully become Sons of God because “The 2nd Death has no power over them”, but for the remainder being the vast majority of The World, then they are still subject to The Assay Period during The Millennium when Satan is released for a short while (Rev.20v7).

6v3, 10,13,17 The context being The Apostles and thus points to Yahweh/Jehovah instructing them through JCg in the heavens. Note v3 is always taken out of context – for The Caveat v6 is ignored and never taught! Thus destroying and twisting Yahweh’s Word to the masses – and thus those worldly Christian leaders who teach like this fall foul of v6 in their iniquitous behavior!

9v20 As 6v3.

10v7 As 6v3 (in the context of The Old Testament).

11v5 Clearly we have Enoch being the equivalent to a Gospel Age Apostle – thus “ho theos” points directly to Yahweh.

11v10, 16(1,2) The Builder and Maker of The City being Jerusalem – but rather The New Spiritual Jerusalem being Yahweh’s Organisation here upon the Earth during The Millennium (consisting of Jesus, The Apostles, etc) points directly to Yahweh.

11v19 Isaac being always archetypical of Jesus points directly to Yahweh. But also understand how Abraham as “the Loving Father” is always archetypical of Yahweh, thus we understand how Isaac looked up to his Father in faith that he would be safe after the experience. Clearly “ho theos” points to Yahweh.

12v7 Points to Yahweh in the context of his sons of God being those True Christians of the Gospel Age termed The Apostles and perhaps many others like William Tyndale as named examples filling the 144000 positions on The Scroll of (Guaranteed) Life. However in The Millennium it will be Jesus as “the specific god” (together with Jesus’ bride of ‘gods’ = 144000 sons of The Absolute God Yahweh) who shall cajole/discipline his future children (The Resurrected World) while teaching them righteousness. Hence the dual pointer action of “ho theos” and specifically why it is used in this context.

12v 29 As 10v7 – “consuming” means utter “annihilation” of ultimately “The 2nd Death” – which is precisely why Jesus gave illustrations of Gehenna in his parables to explain this is precisely what will occur to humans in fleshly bodies – to be utterly consumed as they were in The Valley of Hinnon – please see “Gehenna” in Glossary to explain what this really means.

13v4 This is a warning given out by Paul for all those who claim to be Christians but then defile ‘their engagement to Jesus’ by fornicating with The World of Satan. Thus the context is related to Paul speaking of The Special/Greater Judgment upon a Christian’s first part of their life for Sonship only made by Yahweh/Jehovah.

13v16 Again Paul is speaking of The Special/Greater Judgment where real sacrifices (by being blind to The World of self-seeking inducements) are what Yahweh Desires to see – being someone who precisely imitates Jesus to the very best of their ability!

13v 20 The context is all about Jesus but the Greek wording is constructed as such so as to include Jesus as being “the specific god” and is not using Jesus as a pointer to Yahweh.

Now why can I say that?

Look at the original Greek which goes precisely as follows:-

the And “specific god” of the peace the (one) (having) led from (out) (of the) dead (*plural*) the shepherd of the sheep the great in/with blood (of the) covenant eternal the lord (of) us Jesus.

Which directly translates as follows (as the reader can clearly see):-

And “the specific god” of peace, the one having led from out of the dead (*ones*), the shepherd of the sheep (= *apostles*) through the special/great blood covenant of our eternal lord/master Jesus.

Where it teaches us that:

Jesus is now the specific god of us (= *JCg*) because he has led (= *first awakened/aroused*) from the dead (*to become the son of God – thus = the first/chief begotten son of God from this world*), who was/is the shepherd of his sheep (*being ‘the small’ fold – John.10v1-12 and ‘the other’ flock – thus can only be Apostles and righteous ones prior to Jesus’ ministry respectively*) through the special blood covenant (*being Jesus’ Ransom Sacrifice that covers The Curse of Satan*) who is now eternal within a heavenly/celestial/spiritual body (*upon which The 2nd Death has no power Rev.2v11, Rev.20v6*) where Jesus (*as JCg*) is to reign (*eternally*) as Lord and Master.

Now compare this to the NIV.

May the God of peace, who through the blood of the eternal covenant brought back from the dead our Lord Jesus, that great Shepherd of the sheep.

I leave the reader to spot at least three errors in comprehension given in the NIV translation (which is not ‘bad going’ for only 27 words)! – Just think how many errors the NIV has when taking into consideration the whole Bible!

Also notice how the NIV has lost all that depth of information that is still retained within my faithful translation.

How on Earth is the reader going to learn anything in such a useless NIV translation?

Which is precisely why Satan wants these useless bibles used today because they are erroneous in what they teach!

James

Of the God	Yahweh/Jehovah The God	To the God	Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus “the specific god”
1v1, 5, 13(1), 20		1v27	1v13(2)
2v23(2)		2v23(1)	2v23(2) 2v5, 19
3v9			
4v4(1,2)		4v7, 8	4v6

Additions:- 1v12, 4v2

1v13 It is useful to distinguish between two words for “god” allowing us to understand the different nature of the absolute and relative pointers.

In context, the sentence v12 starts on the topic of temptation

The first use of “god” is for The Almighty God in v13. All things in The Universe are referenced to The Almighty God and thus the sentence starts about a man claiming to be working for The Almighty God. James is writing about a man accurately recognizing he must be refined for The Prize to become a king/priest (as one of the 1444000 “Sons of God”) through worldly persecution for his work in accurately promoting Jehovah’s Word to The World. However while this is true, this man must not then use this worldly persecution as the basis to infer that trials and tribulations come from Jehovah to either endorse the work that he is doing or to make him a better person! For Jehovah is all perfect and thus cannot be tempted, and as such will not tempt other entities.

The second use of the word “god” in the same verse is using the term “the specific god” of the context. Thus the context is telling that “the specific God” in this instance is referring directly to Jehovah and thus as the floating pointer points to Jehovah but through Jesus – being that it is Jesus who dispenses upon his creation what Yahweh Desires.

2v5, This passage is directed to Yahweh/Jehovah through Jesus. Being that it is Jesus who dispenses Yahweh’s holy spirit into those humans who he likes the look of (similar to the explanation given at Acts.14v27).

2v19 The context here might be confusing. The point James is making being that you Jews think that you ‘know’ Yahweh, but in reality you do not – because **if** you did, then you would respect/revere/fear/awe Yahweh in the same manner as the demons that themselves being much more powerful than yourself shake and quiver – because in actuality they **really** know Yahweh.

However the extension for The Christian Nation (that Paul was trying to bring the Jews into) of which most members are absolutely worldly and have no regard for Yahweh though they pretend to ‘come in the name of Jesus’. So the context is of The World and the pointer is towards Jesus. For as we know Jesus was assigned full power by Yahweh and thus has power himself (from Yahweh) over demons as we read in The Gospels (see Mark.1v25-26) to typify the power he will have over the whole Earth in The Millennium – Being Yahweh’s Acceptable Year – see also Mat 4v24, 8v16, 9v32, 12v22 particularly 8v29 (the “before time” is a ‘coded’ reference to The Millennium).

Thus the pointer of “ho theos” naturally points to Both Entities depending upon context – and that is why “ho theos” is used.

However I must comment on the sheer sarcasm of this verse – because it makes for wonderful reading and says so much about so many (self-claimed Christian) people (particularly the leaders) of today by the way they behave in front of their audiences claiming to have the power of Yahweh to promote their own vanity!

2v23 This seems to ‘fly in the face’ of everything that I have written within this document. The Almighty God has a relationship with humans – surely it should be through Jesus – for that is what I have been saying throughout! But there is one very special attribute that Abraham had, and we do not have. Abraham was called a “Friend of God” and also was accounted by Yahweh as “Righteous” being termed an “Ancient Worthy”, consequently, uniquely chosen by Yahweh to have a seed through ‘a nation’ through which He (*Yahweh*) could give The Promise of a deliverer for Israel (being those who choose to imitate Jesus either now or when resurrected into The Millennium). Abraham was so righteous (given the name “The Living Father” being analogous to Yahweh) that he was able to bridge the gap that is so necessary for us, namely Jesus Christ. In this manner the text uniquely reflects this very special “friendship”.

In Revelations we have the 144000 standing before the throne with God, Yahweh (see comments in 1John below), and the last few chapters of Revelations we have references to The Almighty God finally being among **His** people only because they are now perfect and can stand before The Almighty God. Abraham (whose name means ‘The Loving Father’), an Ancient Worthy, and The Head of Israel (to whom “the promise was made”) was archetypical of the people in this final scenario. That is why the spelling of “god” changes here and this is precisely what the writer is trying to convey to the reader.

4v6 This is a quotation from Prov.3v34 and thus points to Yahweh but again through Jesus who represents The World. Thus the dual nature of “ho theos” and why it is used as a floating pointer to allow the context to float and thus point to both Entities simultaneously – which again does **not** give any support to The Trinity – being many worldly steps too far!

1 Peter

	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”
1v2, 4	1v21(1,2)		1v3,
2v10, 15, 16, 19	2v12, 17	2v4, 5, 20	
3v4, 17, 20, 22	3v5, 21	3v18	
4v2, 10, 11(1)	4v 6, 16		4v11(3) 4v11(2)
4v14, 17(1,2), 19			
5v2, 6, 12			5v5, 10

Additions:- 2v9

1v3 We have a modifier to the floating/relative index pointer for “god”, it is ‘**also Father**’. We have seen this used in a couple of other places within the New Testament (see 2Cor.11v31, Eph.1v3 being a variant to v17).

The original Greek for the reader to compare goes as follows:-

(v2) according to (the) knowledge (of the) God (*Yahweh*) (the) Father/Forbear [m] in sanctification (purifying/cleansing/{making holy}) (of the) spirit into obedience also sprinkling (of the) blood (of) Jesus Christ grace to you also peace (be) multiplied.

(v3) Blessed be “the specific god” also father/forebear [m] of the lord/master of us Jesus Christ the (one) according (to) the great/much (of the) him mercy (having) regenerated us into expectation (a) living through (of the) resurrection (of) Jesus Christ out (from) the dead (*ones*).

To be a maverick, then my personal preference is that “the specific god” in v3 actually refers to Jesus as being JCg in the heavens, whose personality was placed (by Yahweh) within Jesus that we all knew here on the Earth as a ‘fleshly’ individual. Only because the construction of the Original Greek suggests that this is the interpretation. Though it could be argued that the pointer of “ho theos” in context of Jesus then points to Yahweh, thus I put it in the column marked “Both”.

4v11 The Greek should be looked at in detail here.

The original Greek text goes as follows:-

If any (one/person) speaks as words/oracles (of the) god (1) if any (one/person) ministers as by strength which supplies “the specific god” (2) that in all things may be glorified “the specific god” (3) through Jesus Christ to whom is the glory also the might into the ages of the ages amen.

My proposed translation:-

If anyone speaks it should be like the wise words of (*The Almighty*) God (1), if anyone ministers by the strength supplied by “the specific god” (2) (*Jesus – now in the heavens – assigned to dispensing Yahweh’s holy spirit – where the context is “the minister”*) that all things may be glorified “the specific God” (*pointing to Yahweh where the context is Jesus*) (3) through Jesus Christ to Whom is the glory and the might for ever and ever. Amen.

My proposed translation is much closer to the original Greek than the NIV translation, please see below:-

If anyone speaks, he should do it as speaking the very words of God. If anyone serves, he should do it with the strength God provides, so that in all things God may be praised through Jesus Christ. To him be the glory and the power for ever and ever. Amen.

The reader should look very closely at the words around the second and third words for “god”. My translation is so very much closer to the original Greek text, and is thus much closer to my presentation of Yahweh/Jehovah God being separate to Jesus, and Jesus is “the specific god” (as our only interface) in his own right (just as The Bible tells us). Look also at: ‘Speaking the words like The Almighty God’ (Jesus spoke the wise words of his Father), then how the next word for “god” to be the same as that as I have suggested should be directed specifically to Jesus, being the strength of the holy spirit that Jesus dispenses to his creation as its intercessor. The third “God” is telling the reader that Jehovah is “the specific God” because the context is related to (“through”) Jesus and through whom we can understand/appreciate Yahweh’s glory.

Note: For completeness, the context could still be related to us humans, and thus the 3rd “god” could point to Jesus who ultimately shall have all glory and power assigned to him forever in The Culmination by Yahweh ‘through the actions that he has done to prove his righteousness during his ministry period’!

5v5, 10 Covered in James 4v6.

2 Peter

	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”
1v1, 2, 17, 21			
2			2v4
3v5, 12			
Additions:- 3v15			
No comments required.			

1 John

	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”
1			1v5
2v5, 14, 17			
3v1,2,8, 9(1,2),			3v20
3v10(1,2), 17	3v 21		
4v1, 2(1,2), 3, 4,			
4v6(1,3), 7(1,2), 8(1),	4v6(2), 7(3)		4v8(2), 9(2),
4v9(1), 15(1)	4v10,12(1)	4v15(3)	4v11, 12(2), 15(2)
	4v20, 21	4v16(3)	4v16(1,2,4)
5v1, 2(1), 3, 4, 5	5v2(2)		

1v5 The context is of The Apostles speaking, also stating what they heard directly from Jesus, thus “the specific God” of them (and Jesus) would be Yahweh – thus “ho theos” points to Yahweh.

However also the apostles are announcing to The World the message of Jesus (being The Word of Yahweh) thus the context is also of The World and thus also points to Jesus being “the specific god” of The World generally.

Thus I have placed “ho theos” under the column “Both” because **it** is floating depending upon the dual context.

3v20 Interesting how the use of “god” changes from v17, 20 and then 21 where 17 and 21 are absolute pointers to Yahweh being from whence all things come but yet v20 is “ho theos” being the floating pointer. Thus we can read more into this section (just like many other sections) where The Scribe is teaching us that Jesus being now JCg alongside Yahweh knows our hearts and that is why he dispenses Yahweh’s holy spirit as he deems appropriate into individual humans. Also Yahweh too is able to read our hearts being the motive, zeal, ardor, drive being what the measure might be in how we desire to fulfil Yahweh’s Desire. Thus “ho theos” is correctly used because of its floating nature encompasses both Deities depending upon the context – being relative to the world or to the heavens.

Chapter (4) This is a **wonderful chapter** to use those coloured high-lighter pens on! It enables the reader to readily identify to whom the text is referring to. This is another one of those 3-D pictures that spring out of the chapter. There are a couple of references that require explanation.

4v4 As before we are able to see how things are directed back to The Almighty God. Clearly the writer is identifying **Sons of God** (**not Jesus** – Because he **too** is a Son of God, thus you cannot have ‘a son of God’ being the ‘son of God’). The reason **why** they are identified as being close to Jehovah, is because they are (similar to Abraham) close to The Almighty God. For the rest of us – we have to be one step removed and thus speak to The Almighty God via Jesus “the specific god” **of us**. I hope that makes sense! I use this reasoning in earlier sections.

Notice also the side swipe at Satan at the end of v4. The reassurance given to us on an extraterrestrial level being that “The One” in us (Yahweh through Jesus) is more powerful than “the one” (Satan) operating within The World (collectively).

4v8 The two different words for “god” may seem confusing. But the reader should address the conundrum like this. The first “God” is The Almighty God and an evil person will not direct his attention to The Almighty God – because he does not pray nor feel there is any need for him to direct his attention to anything – other than to himself. However to counter that, Jesus (the second “god” in the text) is “the specific god” of love displayed to his personal creation (as he demonstrated during his ministry) context being The World, and has provided himself as The Ransom Sacrifice, to give this evil person a second (and The **Last** Chance) in The Millennium to learn righteousness within a perfected society – that is **why** the text points to Jesus (relative to us) and **not** The Almighty God! Clearly Yahweh **is** The Source of all love but the text is relative to humans – particularly the one that presently is not able to love. But Jesus was in a fleshly body and was able to love being The Image of his Father as v9 goes on to state in context. Also we are told in John at the last supper, when Jesus was asked: ‘But we have not seen the Father’, and Jesus replied: ‘If you have seen me (= ingested/imitated Jesus) then you have seen The Father’ – thus telling us that Jesus is reflecting the love that Yahweh has of those who love (imitate Jesus) Him.

4v9 This is worth a mention because again The Trinity doctrine has ‘made’ the translators put a spin on the translation. As always, go back to the **original** Greek **first**!

The original Greek text goes as follows:-

In this (was) manifested/revealed the (*principled*) love of the god in us because the son (of the) him the only begotten commissioned ‘the specific god’ into the world that (we might) live through (of the) him.

My proposed translation:-

In this was manifested/revealed the (*principled*) love of (*The Almighty*) God (*Jehovah*) towards us, because the only begotten son (*Jesus*) of Him (*‘Him’ = Jehovah*) commissioned ‘the specific god’ (*Jesus*) into the world that we might live through him (*Jesus*).

My proposed translation (above) is **closer** to the original Greek than the NIV translation given below:-

This is how God showed his love among us: He sent his one and only son into the world that we might live through him.

The reader can see **three instant misleading errors** in the NIV translation (and the utter ‘flatness’ of the translation):-

1. “Begotten” does **not** mean “One and Only” as given in the NIV. Begotten means “born” and “monogenes” Strong’sTM = 3439 means “only/one born”, but The Bible tells us that there **are** other sons of God (see book of Job Chapter 1).
Further see Glossary to find out what ‘Begotten’ **really means** in worldly context – thus the implied first error. It must be remembered that the epistles were written many years **after** Jesus’ resurrection being “Born Again” from death in fleshly body to an awakening into a heavenly body, and this was **unique** – for it had **never** occurred before! This is the point the apostles were trying to convey in their writings to the reader.
2. Continuing Begotten from (1) above. The NIV translated Begotten to **one** and **only** (repeat of above) **but** ‘begotten’ means ‘of offspring that is generated by procreation’ – the word ‘son’ has been carried through thus

the NIV has not improved the translation but lost ‘the procreation’ aspect – because as I would suggest, it does not line up with The Trinity reasoning (ie to imply that ‘Almighty God’ could not be born again – thus ‘logically’ get rid of it out of the text – just loose/ignore it – because the original Greek text does not fit ‘their picture of things’)!

But see my other commentaries that expand on this. Jesus was born again in a spiritual body given to him by The Almighty God, because he was in a fleshly body (like us now) was baptized – proved himself perfect – murdered, then raised as The First Fruit in a spiritual body as “the specific god” of us (back as he was in his former existence - BCE). This is what Jesus was trying to explain to Nicodemus in John.3v1-10. And precisely why Thomas spoke as he did John.20v28.

Thus The Trinity doctrine ‘overshadowing the NIV translation’, has now lost this aspect of understanding by this modern ‘translation’ (bad interpretation) – as I warn elsewhere on this website!

Jesus then leads the way through this Mystery of the Bible to explain it. This is what Nicodemus was asking of Jesus – ‘How can a man be born again?’

Jesus answered it then and physically demonstrated it later!

This is what the 144000 will go through in the near future upon their resurrection.

3. The third error is translating the words around to suggest The Almighty God sent Jesus down, rather than implying as the original Greek text suggests that Jesus is ‘the specific god’ in his own right coming to Earth on a commission.

Thus I comprehend that Jesus volunteered himself to save his own creation (because Jesus “so loved the adornment/decoration of the Earth that as the son of God he came to this Earth”) rather than being conscripted by Yahweh to ‘do the job’ for Him!

All this additional information is lost in the official very worldly translations!

4v11, 12(2) Notice how the Greek text switches to “ho theos” when relative to humans – thus telling the reader that there are the two Entities depending upon the context of a worldly human or an Apostle. Thus if a worldly human then “ho theos” points to Jesus, but if an Apostle then “ho theos” points to Yahweh. Thus as I state elsewhere “ho theos” is used as a pointer to Yahweh from Whom all good/ideal things come – but it is through Jesus as The Intercessor to The World, but bridged by future Sons of God. As far as I know, this concept as explained here within this paragraph has never been realized by any Christian theologian during the last 2000 years – but it explains everything logically – and utterly consistent with everything stated within The Bible. I yearn for the world’s very best theologians to contact me if they disagree – obviously with copious quoted scriptural evidence to support their errant understanding – so that I might have even more material to work with!

4v15(2) Again as described in the paragraph above but always it is Jesus’ presence within the apostle dispensing Yahweh’s holy spirit – thus the dual nature of Jesus and Yahweh (as “ho theos” based upon context) working ‘hand in hand’ with a common goal being the salvation of all humans who desire to ultimately imitate Jesus.

4v16(1,2,4) As explained in 4v11.

Chapter (5) is identical to chapter (4) for the revelation given to us.

5v10 This is worth a mention (just like 4v9) because again The Trinity doctrine has ‘made’ the translators put a spin on the translation. As always go back to the original Greek text first!

The original Greek text goes as follows:-

The (one/person) believing into the son of the god has the witness (= evidence itself = Strongs 3141) in himself the (one/person) not believing to the god a liar has made him because not (he has) believed into the witness (= evidence itself = Strongs 3141) which (has borne) witness (= the Entity giving the evidence = Strongs 3140) ‘the specific god’ concerning of the son (of the) him.

My proposed translation:-

The one/person who believes in the son (*Jesus = believes by imitating Jesus*) of the (*Almighty = Jehovah*) God has this witness (*absolute belief, demonstrated by the works thereof = the evidence itself being Jesus’ ministry*) in himself (*demonstrable – for the world to see = imitating Jesus’ ministry + fruits of the holy spirit*). The one/person not believing (*thus not fulfilling The Desire of*) The (*Almighty*) God (*Whose Desire is that we are to imitate Jesus*) has made himself to be a liar (*to the absolute belief of fulfilling Yahweh’s Desire, thus demonstrated by false works being worldly religious works*) because he has not believed (*by not imitating*) in the **witness** (= Yahweh giving the evidence = holy spirit support) **“the specific god”** (= Yahweh) concerning/about/around **the son** (*Jesus*) - of Him (*Yahweh referring back to the earlier Almighty God – because HE is The Subject of the sentence*).

Again, my proposed translation (above) is much closer to the original Greek than the NIV translation given below:-

Anyone who believes in the Son of God has this testimony in his heart. Anyone who does not believe God has made him out to be a liar, because he has not believed the testimony God has given about his son.

I personally believe my translation is far more accurate and I go further to say how the very gross distortion of both the former and latter part of the NIV translation with respect to the original Greek actually leads to gross confusion within the readers mind!

Why?

Look at the NIV translation and ask yourself:-

- 1. First – “has this testimony in their heart” – what on Earth does this mean?
What is it doing there? Paul is making the specific point that it must be displayed to The World as evidence that we imitate Jesus! The average reader is going to think “Yes - I have the knowledge of Jesus on my heart – I am doing the correct thing”, and then leave it there (as the 1 talent man)! – Because they do not really know what Paul is trying to explain to us, because the NIV is such a lousy translation to enforce passivity – being precisely what Satan desires – so that 144000 people do not occur to fulfil Yahweh’s Desire of imitating Jesus! See John.6v64 when Jesus tells us that one (Judas) “does not believe” – a critical statement for it blows apart what worldly Christian leaders state to their congregations about “belief”!
- 2. Who is doing the personal witnessing (of The Word of God)? Is it Jehovah God in the heavens using His holy Spirit or Jesus Christ here on Earth in front of people as “The Personage”?

The confusion comes because **it was Jesus who is the only Entity who has seen The Almighty God, and he is The Personal witness here on earth demonstrating The Evidence to tell people about what he has seen in his prior existence. And, also it is Yahweh being The Entity Who is making all this possible through His holy spirit.**

But what does the original Greek text tell us and also what does my translation give?
Both give the same witness to Jesus Christ as being The Evidence in his/our lifestyle as True Apostles. And because the floating nature of “ho theos” teaches us that The Witness comes from Yahweh through Jesus – thus the double context of “ho theos” being used within the text.
Which then conveniently leads into Verse 11 in an accurate fashion.

Can the reader now understand why I am so against The Trinity myth created by The World for The World?
And also how shockingly bad the NIV is in its translation – being a very poor interpretation because it cannot even get that correct!
I mentioned the convenient lead in – let us read it together – in the original Greek:-
Greek text goes as follows (5v11):-

Also this is the witness (= *evidence itself* = *Strongs 3141*) that life eternal gave/bestowed us “the specific god”, also this the life in to the son (of the) him is.
Who gave us the life eternal?
The only person was (as the text says) “the specific god” of us.
And who is that?

- 1. It is Jesus. This is the witness (= the evidence itself) to us – He was resurrected and seen by witnesses – being the apostles.
Because if Jesus had not done this then Yahweh could not righteously resurrect any of us, because Entities in The Universe could call “Foul – Yahweh - You are unrighteous, by going against Your Universal Decree on Sin”.
Thus Jesus had to provide the escape route for us to enable Yahweh to maintain righteousness, but we must ultimately imitate Jesus, either now during the 1st part of our life to become a Son of God (part of the First Fruit) precisely the route as Jesus showed us, or we leave it to the 2nd part of our life where in a perfected society we can see it operating in our lives (mutually within all of society) and fully embrace it then.
So Jesus the son of Him (*Jehovah*) gives us life through him (*the him = Jesus, being The Ransom Sacrifice given to us by Jesus*).
- 2, It is Yahweh because firstly it was Yahweh’s holy spirit that put Jesus’ personality/spirit into a fleshly body and secondly it was Yahweh’s holy spirit that enabled Jesus’ personality/spirit to be placed back into a heavenly/celestial/spiritual body upon his awakening/rousing.
The whole text supports each other - both verses when explained and/from the original Greek in both verses and again the dual nature of “ho theos” based upon context – which is precisely why The Scribes used it!
But everything falls apart into inconsistency/mystery if The Trinity is slid into the proceedings by those translators of the NIV pushing in a worldly interpretation to support a worldly sourced myth!
5v11 Just clearly stating what was demonstrated through Jesus by Yahweh – only because Jesus proved faithful to Yahweh’s Desire as The Witness of Yahweh. Can the reader see how circular this is and specifically why “ho theos” had to be used in this instance to cover both Entities from different contexts.
5v20 Again the dual nature of “ho theos” used to represent the two Gods in their respective positions within the hierarchy – it does in no manner support The Trinity myth being only created because the early representatives demonstrably did not understand The Bible - for it was “a mystery” to them!
However what I have carefully explained on www.FutureLife.Org logically and righteously clarifies everything!
Any worldly religious authorities who disagree with what I have presented – please contact me with your errant understanding and naturally copious Biblical ‘supporting’ text taken within context. Then I shall expose all the illogical/unrighteous errors to The World when I reply in answer line by line and word by word on this website.

2 John

	Yahweh/Jehovah		Jehovah <u>OR</u> Both <u>OR</u> Jesus
Of the God	The God	To the God	“the specific god”

1v31v 9

All satisfactory.

3 John

Of the God	Yahweh/Jehovah The God	To the God	Jehovah OR Both OR Jesus “the specific god”
1v6, 11(1)	1v11(2)		

Easy to understand.

Jude

Of the God	Yahweh/Jehovah The God	To the God	Jehovah OR Both OR Jesus “the specific god”
1v4, 21		1v1, 25	

Consistent with what we know.

Revelation

Of the God	Yahweh/Jehovah The God	To the God	Jehovah OR Both OR Jesus “the specific god”
1v2, 9		1v6	1v1, 8*
2v7, 18			
3v1, 2, 12(1,2,3,4)	3v14		4v8, 11
4v5			
5v6		5v9, 10	
6v9			
7v2, 3, 15		7v10, 11, 12	7v17
8v2, 4			
9v4, 13			
10v7			
11v1, 11, 16(1), 19		11v13, 16(2)	11v17
12v6, 10(1,2), 17	12v5		
13	13v6,		
14v10, 12, 19	14v7	14v4	
15v1, 2, 3(1), 7, 8			15v3(2)
16v1, 9, 14, 19	16v11, 21		16v7
17v17(2)			17v17(1)
18			18v8 18v5, 20
19v1, 9, 13, 15, 17		19v4, 5, 10	19v6
20v4, 6			
21v2, 3(1), 10, 11, 23			21v22 21v3(2,3*), 7
22v1, 3		22v9	22v5, 6 22v18, 19

Additions:- 20v9

*Note 1: Some sources at 1v8 omit “ho theos” after “our Lord” in the middle of the verse.

*Note 2: Some sources at 21v3 omit the last “ho theos” and the word “of them” at the end of the verse.

1v1 Again I understand that the worldly Christian translators have skewed the reasoning (for the reasons given earlier), so again let us go back to the Greek see what the text tells us and then put it all back into context! The original Greek text goes as follows:-

(A) revelation (of the) Jesus Christ which gave/bestowed (to the) him ‘the specific god’ (to) show to the slaves (of the) him (things) which must/behoves to occur/{become to be} in/with speed also (he) signified dispatching/commissioning through of the angel (of the) him to the slave (of the) him John.

My proposed translation:-

A revelation of the Jesus Christ, which “the specific god” (of Jesus = Yahweh/Jehovah), which gave to him (Jesus), to show to the slaves (144000 = John + Apostles + True Christians of The Gospel Age) (of the) Him (Jehovah) things that must occur soon, and made this known by sending His (Jehovah’s) angel (which we know “angel” = “messenger”, which is precisely what Jesus is in the vision – giving John The Revelation as a message from Yahweh) to His (Jehovah’s) slave John.

A little explanation is required because we all have the preconceived ideas and as such, it is often just so difficult to think laterally out and away from the constraints these preconceived ideas force upon the reasoning centers of our brain. Firstly the context.

1. What is the reason for writing Revelations?
2. And ‘who’ is making known what, and to whom?

Let us answer these questions here to ensure that we start from a common ground point.

1. The purpose for Revelations is for all **True** Christians (= “to the **slaves**”) to understand what to expect in the future.
2. Thus Revelations was not for Jesus but for **John** (and **us** provided we imitate Jesus just as Paul imitated Jesus). It was a revelation given via Jesus to **John for us**. Thus Jesus is speaking on behalf of Jehovah (as quote: “The Word of God”) and passing this on as a revelation to John. Thus it was not a revelation that stopped at Jesus from Jehovah but a message through The “Messenger” (= “angel”). Hence ultimately a revelation from Jesus to John via Jehovah’s angel (= messenger) as a means of communication (being The Word of God = a title given to Jesus = a message from Jehovah). We all know that Jesus is “the specific god” of us (being The World) through whom we pray to The Almighty God (this is accepted by all – except the Trinitarians go further and say he is The Almighty God = a falsehood).

So nothing that I have explained/translated so far can be disputed!

Thus a revelation through Jesus (as The Word of The Almighty God) as a message from “**The Chief of all Messengers**” given to (Jehovah’s) slave (physically here on Earth) John for **us** to read.

Hopefully that logically explains exactly what the original Greek tells us (I most welcome and strongly encourage the very **best** worldly Biblical scholars to present a logical case against what I have just explained – obviously using The Bible in its original Greek text, in context to support what they declare in error – they have my email address)!

Who are the people called as slaves and to whom are they slaves?

If the reader has read my commentary on God’s New creation – The 14400 Sons of God, they will be able to identify that the only people who are slaves are these 144000 because they recognize Jehovah as Sovereign and dedicate their lives to imitate the actions of Jesus Christ (driven by a ‘perfected’ mind = a new personality) – and thus imitate The Accepted Son of God (the Bible tells us this)!

Therefore the slaves are the stated 144000 in number and slaves of Jehovah but not Jesus (because they will come in equal as “The Bride of Christ” married, joined as one, and thus not a slave to Jesus in The Millennium).

I am then assuming that as all spiritual things ultimately come from Jehovah (universally accepted) then the angel came from Jehovah, but also the message then through John - being the slave of Him. Which logically makes John an angel (from the Greek wording) being a messenger to us when he wrote down his vision for our edification. Likewise all True Christians throughout The Gospel Age can be termed “angels” and that is why we are instructed to be hospitable – because “they might be angels” being True Christians.

But all in all, my translation is just as valid a translation if not more so, than the bland (to the original Greek) interpretation given in the NIV below:-

The revelation of Jesus Christ, which God gave him to show his servants what must soon take place. He made it known by sending his angel to his servant John.

1v8 Assuming the some versions omit “ho theos” here through copyist error then it is still a consistent use of the relative/floating pointer of “the specific god” context modified with the word **Lord** (to mean “Master”) in front of it. Thus we read **Master** “the specific god”. There is only one Master Specific God and that is Jehovah God – hence total consistency.

3v14 Who is the Chief of Creation? And then why use the spelling for The Almighty God - because this document is saying Jesus created all life – hence a possible inconsistency?

Greek text goes as follows:-

Also to the angel/messenger of the church Laodicea write these (things) exclaims/states the Amen, the witness (=The One who testifies, speaks out – Strongs 3144) the faithful also true the chief/head of the creation of the god.

The Amen (= it shall {be so}/occur), the witness the faithful and true - clearly is Jesus Christ (these characteristics bear out what Jesus did here on Earth witnessing/preaching/testifying about the True Character/Authority of Jehovah God).

“The chief of creation” of The World (being The Adornment = Life) again is Jesus Christ – he is given that responsibility to create all forms of Life here on Earth by Yahweh/Jehovah in (the) beginning when there was just a barren planet. And at the end Jesus hands things back to Jehovah God to show that Jehovah is The Supreme Authority and that all things belong to Jehovah. Thus “of the God” means Jehovah - to show all things go back to Jehovah God – hence the spelling of this word “god” here to show this consistency. It also gives reference that Jesus used Yahweh’s

holy spirit (knowledge, power, ‘the building blocks’) to create life on this planet – hence all good/ideal things are referenced back to Yahweh/Jehovah.

4v8,11 This section as before uses ‘the specific god’ so that the modifier can be used to make the explicit distinction of Lord - Master God, over all other gods. Thus the correct use of the relative/floating pointer form of ‘The specific god’ context modified to mean Jehovah God, consistently in both places (and **all other places in The New Testament**).

Chapter (7). How nice again to see the differences between the words “gods”. All praise and honour is correctly directed to Yahweh/Jehovah God all through the Chapter, until at the end: – Who wipes away our tears – none other than our direct creator – our personal god (of The World) – the one who sacrificed himself for us – Jesus Christ!

11v17 Modified form of ‘the specific god’ within context by the words “Lord” and “Almighty”. Thus this Lord ‘specific God’ is The Almighty – to be Jehovah and the reasoning covered extensively elsewhere.

Just restating the consistency of text throughout The New Testament. It is illogical for the text to state “The Master of The Almighty God”, thus John changes the absolute pointer from being “Of The God” to become ‘the specific God’ which is the relative/floating pointer context modified by “Lord” = Master. Thus we read “The Master specific God” which logically can only be Jehovah.

15v3(2) As 11v17 above.

16v7 As 11v17 above.

16v14 The reader may see an apparent inconsistency here. All references in the Bible and including references to Rev.11v17 have The Almighty God word for “god” as the relative/floating pointer of ‘the specific god’ and modify it with greatness for example Lord (to mean Master) and/or include Almighty to mean The Most Powerful, hence totally consistent. However here we have The God used (where indicated) in the past to be The Almighty God without modifiers thus given here **?? ? ??? ?** instead as I have said in the past to be **ó ??ð?**.

However before the skeptic raises the issue, we should look at the text very carefully and put it back into context. Just restating: Other original Greek references in the Bible are of this form:-

 ? ??æ ? ?e?? ? pa?t????t??

 Lord the specific god the almighty

But this one reference is stated as:-

 t?? ?e?? t?? pa?t????t??

 Of the god of the almighty

No modifier for “god” being the word **Lord** in front of it (as in all instances before), and also look at the context that these words lie within.

The “Of The” actually refers to “(of) The (Specific Day)” of “The God Almighty”.

This “Day” is The Culmination of everything spoken of within the Bible – Evil being removed by The Power of The God Almighty (being the Holy Spirit emanating directly from Jehovah – being Jehovah’s Desire exercised in works) operating through Jesus Christ. It is Jehovah Who is The Only One, Who decides when this “Day” shall occur and is The One Who will ensure that this shall “become to be” exactly as He prescribes. Thus it is written thus because The Scribe is ensuring that there is to be no doubt within the reader’s mind Who exactly is going to do this! This is the reason why it is different in this one specific place – and thus quite acceptable.

17v17(1) As I have explained elsewhere why “ho theos” is used being the dual nature it can simultaneously take on depending upon context (thus the floating pointer concept modified by context). It is the holy spirit originating from Yahweh dispensed by Jesus within Jesus’ own creation being The World/Adornment/Decoration (upon this barren planet Earth). It is essential for the reader to understand precisely what is being stated here:-

Yahweh never undermines Jesus’ position and responsibility over Jesus’ own Creation, thus Yahweh works through Jesus both in the Old and New Testament, and Jesus is dutifully fulfilling Yahweh’s Desire within Jesus’ own creation. Thus “ho theos” is used because it is Yahweh’s Expressed Desire being fulfilled through Jesus onto The World. It is The Partnership between both Entities that makes all this work so smoothly.

18v5 As described in 17v17(1) – It is Yahweh through Jesus where Both remember what this ‘The Harlot’ within Jesus’ personal creation has done.

18v8 External modifier to the generic (nominative) word “god” tells us it is Lord (Master) God – see 11v7.

The text is telling us that this is The Wrath of Jehovah being expressed upon the false religions of The World and specifically (as the Special/Greater Judgment on The Christian Nation) occurring to these false representatives of Yahweh being iniquitous worldly Christian Leaders who fornicated with Satan’s world and thus became “The Harlot” in the eyes of Yahweh. These ones (who look most wonderful in the eyes of worldly Christian congregations) are most certainly not worthy (because their internal motives are wrong) to become “Sons of God” and a future ‘Bride to His Son Jesus Christ’! The Bible tells us that they have wavered from the narrow path and dragged many gullible people along with them by their sweet talking (compilation of quotes from The Bible).

18v20 The link is the ‘oneness’ that Jesus has with his ‘Bride-to-Be’. We have here the demonstrated fact that Jesus comes physically the second time into The World but this time as ‘the fully grown lion’ to clean up The World (using the power of the holy spirit sourced from his Father Jehovah). Thus this text is saying Jesus is physically removing The Harlot (see other commentaries and Glossary to discover the reasons why I am correct in stating who she really is) and the presently wicked worldly system. This is supported by The Bible in its other texts that tell us Jesus will come and

remove the evil on this planet when he comes this second time. Jesus is exercising Yahweh's Greater/Special Judgment hence the manner the original Greek text is written.

Thus we reason it is Yahweh's holy spirit operating through a dutiful Jesus yielding the dual nature of "Ho theos" as explained in 17v17(1).

19v6 As 11v17 above.

21v3 Depending upon the Greek source then an additional "ho theos" is added.

The original Greek (being the KJV source) text goes as follows (with the additional "ho theos" in the 2nd position:-

Also (I) heard voice great {from out} of the heaven (*singular, thus within the zone of the Earth*) exclaiming/stating behold the tabernacle (*meaning tent/housing = 'acceptable covering and thus presence'*) of the "god" with of the men (= mankind), also (he will) tabernacle (= *cover and protect*) with them also they peoples (of the) him will become also himself 'the specific god' {will be} with them (the) "specific god" (of) them (v4) also (will) wipe off "the specific god" every tear from of the eyes of them also the death (*Greek "thanatos" always points to the 1st Death = "asleep"*) not will be longer nor mourning/sorrow nor outcry nor pain not will be longer because the first (things) passed away (= *the things of the 1st part of our life = the 6000 year time period under Satan's inept management style – because we have entered into The Millennium*).

My proposed translation:-

Also I heard a great voice out of the sky saying: Behold the tabernacle (*thus the 'operating system' covering us*) of (*The Almighty*) God is with men, and He (*Yahweh/Jehovah*) will live with them (*through Jesus establishing a righteous operating system [= tabernacle] in The Millennium*) and they will be peoples of Him (*Yahweh/Jehovah now because evil has been removed*), also (*thus new entity*) the himself 'the specific god' (*hence Jesus = 'the specific **god** of The World*) will be with them (*as well as Jehovah earlier*) and wipe away every tear out of their eyes (*see 7v17 link*) also death/slain (*always = to The 1st Death – that Jesus made = "Asleep"*) shall be no more (*thus we are at The Separation between the 1st and 2nd part of our life*), nor sorrowing, nor outcry, nor any more pain/suffering because the former things (= *Satan's 6000 years of selfishness expressed within humanity*) have passed away (*because The World has entered into The Millennium*).

I personally think that this 'links in' much better with the original Greek as shown and it also lines up directly with the context here and elsewhere in The Bible – in a more convincing manner than the official Christian translation!

It also fully supports what I state on this website www.FutureLife.Org – in utter variance to the official **worldly** Christian Doctrine that is most unrighteous in what it preaches to the masses and ignored by the atheists – because they can see it is an illogical sham!

21v7 Again the dual (simultaneous) nature depending upon the dual context which is specifically why "ho theos" is used.

Firstly – 'the umbrella' concept is the Father / Son relationship – but there are two distinct periods where this might occur – hence The Dual Context.

If during the 1st part of our life we imitate Jesus, then upon the 2nd part of our life we become Sons of God (Yahweh) and thus "ho theos" points to Yahweh.

If, as for the **vast majority** of humans, we leave it until the 2nd part of our life before we precisely imitate Jesus' attributes/lifestyle/personality then we become accessed as becoming sons of Jesus being "the specific god" of his now redeemed world (being The Adornment/Decoration perfected).

Those who **freely choose to not imitate Jesus** – either during the 1st part of their life or when shown what The Perfect Society is like where we must edify our neighbours' needs before our own (mutual throughout all society) during the 2nd part of their life, and these people still rather follow Satan's act of rebellion where they put selfishness first for self indulgence over their neighbor, then they will be permanently removed from society thus being a resurrection to a judgment of life or death made over a single (but 2 part) life span. But those humans who do correctly choose to imitate Jesus are successful and thus make the 2nd part of their life an eternity.

Can the reader see the logic behind what I state here and it is utterly consistent with every verse in The Bible?

But moreover it is utterly Righteous for **every** individual human who has existed on this planet during the last 6000 years!

21v22 As 11v17 above.

21v23 We see the separation between Jehovah Who gives the light (the energy – the power – the holy spirit), and Jesus is the **mechanism for the light to be seen** (= Word of Jehovah, being 'the image', also through his actions on Earth).

The text tells us all this in the spelling of the words and text together in total consistency!

Chapter (22) shows consistency all through the text as previously described in the whole of The New Testament.

22v5, 6 The context are those True Christians who are to become Sons of God and thus point directly to Yahweh/Jehovah.

The last two words for "god" refer to Jesus Christ speaking of those individuals behaving as today (during the 6000 years of Satan's reign) to The Word of God are likely to be those in The Millennium who will loose their last chance to survive in a wonderful all-loving world, where we will be able to explore and fully use all the Laws of the Universe created by Yahweh / Jehovah, most of which we know nothing of yet!

22v18, 19 The context is of those being worldly and thus points directly to Jesus as JCg (see Glossary).

So what do we understand as a conclusion from the information presented?

1. Firstly worldly Christianity as a whole has ‘got it very wrong’! Their doctrine is badly flawed, being both illogical and unrighteous. But moreover it is not even consistent with every (or even many) verse(s) within The Bible. But what I state here on this website www.FutureLife.Org suffers from none of these problems!

2. I have shown on several levels here within this document and elsewhere on this website that Almighty God and Jesus are separate Entities, but with the holy spirit being The Power emanating from The Almighty God (Yahweh/Jehovah) exercised to fulfil His Expressed Desire. As a final statement of what I know with certainty are a series of facts (given to me directly from The Bible) that are:-

The Almighty God (Yahweh/Jehovah) is The Supreme Master Architect / Creator / Ruler / Commander of the whole Universe – thus all things are implicitly subject to Him.

Jesus Christ (the ‘Son of God’ and ‘the specific god’ in his own right with respect to us as the only means of communication to Jehovah) has been assigned initially a barren planet called “Earth” to then populate it with “Life” (which he has successfully done).

The “Holy Spirit” is “The Expressed Power” of Yahweh / Jehovah, to operate as works (often through individuals) – “to accomplish The Desired Will of The Almighty God”.

3. I know and have demonstrated to the reader that the translation of the whole Bible from the Hebrew and Greek to English is very suspect in certain areas because the translators have been blinkered by a doctrine that I know with absolute certainty is not supported by the very Biblical text from which it is purported to have come from. To substantiate my understanding I have taken every example used by The Trinitarians to support their idea (and many other examples) and showed through **logical argument based upon Righteous Truth** (because Logic, Righteousness and Truth are virtues from Yahweh) in every instance and at every level for worldly Christian Doctrine to be seriously flawed, and have also shown that all these particular examples in fact support my line of reasoning!

4. I am most desirous for further input from the very best Biblical Scholars in all The World who support the Trinity idea to contact me via the route given on my website producing a detailed defense of their reasoning with copious Biblical references within context. This will give me more material to work with to show The World its deficiencies. I will naturally analyse their errant reasoning and carefully explain where they are wrong, specifically using The Bible scriptures in context (using as much material as I deem necessary) in my ‘Answers to Readers Questions’ section of the website for The World to read.

So I now ask the worldly Christian community to answer what I state in this piece by use of The Bible taken in context - else be silent! If worldly Christian Leaders make snide comments and just instruct their congregations to ignore this website – then clearly they have ‘lost the argument’, and thus are complacently endorsing a false doctrine to be actively blaspheming against the holy spirit by opposing Yahweh’s Desire – being that Yahweh’s Acceptable Year be published throughout The World – then The Millennium shall be installed.

When we are resurrected into The Millennium – then all things shall be exposed – being for a start their false ministry!

Perhaps traditional scholars might state the following.

FutureLife.Org has found out nothing new – they have ‘always’ known that “ho theos” is a ‘possessive form’ of the noun “god” and clearly it has been used in the ‘possessive sense’ of the context.

To which I would then counter:-

1. Why have they not then put “ho theos” for “the specific god” back into context to draw out the same conclusions as I have demonstrably done?
2. Why have they not reformed (rather disbanded) The Trinity myth with all its inconsistencies in logic and unscriptural reasoning with the righteous and consistent understanding that www.FutureLife.Org has revealed to The World?
3. Why do traditional scholars still try to contend with me – when what they deliver is farcical, but what I explain is logical, righteous and perfect (to what we are told in all The Bible) – is it perhaps, to quote Jesus: “they prefer the old wine?”
4. Finally is it perhaps – people in these positions of scholarship/theology during the last 1800 years did not really care that there were inconsistencies within their reasoning, being only too content to hide within ‘a mystery’ – being that they could ‘not be bothered’ to search and discover a logical solution to The Scriptures – perhaps because they did not believe that The Sacred Scriptures warranted thorough searching!
Perhaps because they considered ‘god’ was merely a figment of the writers’ imagination?

But the charlatans were out there milking ‘the religion’ for all that it gave them from the duped masses – just as it occurs today – even though they sound ‘so nice and caring’ for the worldly self indulgence that it brings! Wait until The Millennium when all things shall be broadcast from rooftops – then The Absolute Truth will be known!

However what I really desire, is not to score any points over any human, but rather that they leave ‘the sinking ship’ of errant worldly Christian doctrine and come over to ‘the life raft’ of what The Bible specifically teaches us – because The End Times are so close and Yahweh is now allowing all things to be discerned by those who search – before He brings in The Millennium through His son and our Creator – Jesus Christ – now “the specific god” of us. Jesus who is now ruling as ‘a presence’ within those True Christians who are trying to imitate him during The Gospel Age, but he shall rule in majesty and power over all The Resurrected World as he cajoles all humans to start and then continue to imitate his lifestyle as taught during his first visit to us within his ministry period some 2000 years ago – else face The 2nd Death being one of utter personal annihilation.